BA NO. 61/4 OF 2024 MUHAMMAD SHABBIR VS STATE CASE FIR NO. 82, DATED, 17.08.2024, U/S 302/201/34 PPC, POLICE STATION KALAYA

IN THE COURT OF SYED OBAIDULLAH SHAH, SESSIONS JUDGE, ORAKZAI

:	61/4 of 2024
:	11.09.2024
:	25.09.2024
	:

MUHAMMAD SHABBIR VS THE STATE

ORDER

DPP, Umar Niaz for the State and Sana Ullah Khan Advocate for accused/petitioner present. Arguments heard and record gone through.

2. accused/petitioner, Muhammad The Shabbir s/o Azeem Khan seeks his post arrest bail in case FIR No. 82, dated 17.08.2024, u/s 302/201/34 PPC of Police Station Kalaya, wherein as per contents of FIR, Said Bibi, the widow of deceased, vide daily diary no. 20 of 13.08.2024 made a report to the local police to the fact that her husband was mentally ill for the last 03 years and on that day when she entered her room, she found the deceased smeared with blood who succumbed to his injuries while shifting to the hospital. The report was verified by Azeem Khan (complainant). The matter was referred to the SHO for inquiry. During the course of inquiry, the father of deceased, namely Azeem Khan (complainant) in

District & Sessions Judge Orakzai al Baber Mela

Page 1 of 3

BA NO. 61/4 OF 2024 MUHAMMAD SHABBIR VS STATE CASE FIR NO. 82, DATED, 17.08.2024, U/S 302/201/34 PPC, POLICE STATION KALAYA

his statement recorded 164 CrPC before the court Judicial Magistrate on 17.08.2024 charged the present accused/petitioner along with co-accused for the commission of offence. Hence, the present FIR.

3. Learned counsel for the accused/petitioner argued that the accused/petitioner has falsely been implicated in the instant case to scot-free the actual culprit, that the medical reports do not support the version of the complainant. On the contrary, the complainant's counsel put forward his arguments that the accused/petitioner has directly been charged in the FIR, the offence for which the accused/petitioner is charged, is heinous in nature.

4. Upon reviewing the record, it's apparent that though the accused/petitioner is nominated in the FIR for the offence covered by the prohibitory clause of section 497 Cr.P.C on the basis of an inquiry, but no document to authenticate the inquiry conducted in the instant case is available on file. Moreover, at the time of initial report, the complainant had substantiated the version of the widow of deceased but later on deviated from his stance and charged the accused/petitioner along with co-accused Mst. Said Bibi besides repeating

District & Sessions Judge Oralizal at Baber Mela

8

Page 2 of 3

BA NO. 61/4 OF 2024 MUHAMMAD SHABBIR VS STATE CASE FIR NO. 82, DATED, 17.08.2024, U/S 302/201/34 PPC, POLICE STATION KALAYA

the same story of the deceased being mentally ill, before the court. There is no eyewitness of the occurrence. All these facts throw the case of the accused/petitioner within the ambit of further inquiry.

- 5. In these circumstances, the bail petition in hand stands accepted and the accused/petitioner is admitted to the concession of bail provided he submits bail bonds in sum of Rs. 100,000/- with two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of this court. Sureties must be local, reliable and men of means.
- 6. Order announced. File of this court be consigned to record room after its necessary completion and compilation. Copy of this order be placed on judicial/police file.
- 7. This order is tentative in nature and would have no effect upon the trial of the accused/petitioner.

Dated: 24.09.2024

SYED OBAIDULLAH SHAH Sessions Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela



Page **3** of **3**