

IN THE COURT OF SHABEER AHMAD, CIVIL JUDGE-II, TEHSIL COURT, KALAYA, ORAKZAI

Civil Suit No. 134/1 of 2022
Date of Original Institution: 27.09.2022
Date of Decision: 06.10.2022

Bibi Bakht Meena wife of Abdul Jabbar Khan, resident of Qaum Shiekhan, Bazeed Khel, Naka Mela, PO Mishti Mela, District: Orakzai.

(Plaintiff)

VERSUS

1. Assistant Director, NADRA District Orakzai. (Defendant

SUIT FOR DECLARATION, PERMANENT AND MANDATORY INJUNCTION

<u>SUMMARY JUDGEMENT</u>: 06.10.2022

Brief facts of the case in hand are that the plaintiff, has

- mandatory injunction against the defendant, referred hereinabove, seeking declaration therein that correct date of hereinabove hereinabove, seeking declaration therein that correct date of entered as 1982 in the defendant record, which is wrong, ineffective upon the rights of the plaintiff and liable to correction. That the defendant was repeatedly asked to correct the date of birth of plaintiff but they refused, hence, the instant suit.
- 2. Defendant was summoned, who appeared through their representative, who submitted authority letter and written statement.

Mst:Bakhtmeena Vs NADRA

- During the scheduling conference within the meaning of order IX-A of CPC, it was revealed that the matter involved in the instant case is very petty in nature, which can be decided through summary judgement as per relevant record. To this effect notice was given to both the parties that why not the case is decided on the basis of available record without recording lengthy evidence, as the primary aim and objective of Amended Management Rules in CPC is, "to enable the court to
 - a. Deal with the cases justly and fairly;
 - b. Encourage parties to alternate dispute resolution procedure if it considers appropriate;
 - c. Save expense and time both of courts and litigants; and
 - d. Enforce compliance with provisions of this Code."

Learned counsel for the plaintiff heard and record gone through.

Record reveals that plaintiff through instant suit is seeking correction of date of birth to the effect that her correct date of birth is **01.01.1970** while it has been wrongly entered as 1982 in the defendant record, which is wrong, ineffective upon the rights of the plaintiff and liable to correction. Plaintiff in support of her contention produced the copy of CNIC of her son namely Zait Ullah, bearing CNIC No. 21601-8491972-9, wherein, date of birth of her son is 01.01.1990 and date of birth of plaintiff is 1982, according to that there is a gap of 08 years, between the plaintiff and her son, which is an un-natural and against the SOP of NADRA.

Mst:Bakhtmeena Vs NADRA

The said document clearly negates the incorporation of date of birth of plaintiff as 1982 in her CNIC. Further, there is no countered document available with the defendants to rebut the document produced by the plaintiff in support of her contention. So, the available record clearly establishes the claim of the plaintiff.

- 5. Consequently, upon what has been discussed above and the jurisdiction vested in this court under order IX-A and XV-A of CPC, suit of the plaintiff succeeds and is hereby decreed as prayed for. Defendant is directed to correct the date of birth of plaintiff as 01.01.1970 in their record and in the CNIC of the plaintiff. This decree shall not effect the rights of other person or service record etc. if any.
- 6. Parties are left to bear their own costs.
- 7. File be consigned to the District Record Room, Orakzai after its necessary completion and compilation.

Announced 06.10.2022

<u>Shabeer Ahmad,</u> Civil Judge-II, Tehsil Court, Kalaya, Orakzai

CERTIFICATE

Certified that this judgment consists of three (03) pages, each has been checked, corrected where necessary and signed.

Shabeer Ahmad,

Civil Judge-II,

Tehsil Court, Kalaya, Orakzai