

IN THE COURT OF REHMAT ULLAH WAZIR, SENIOR CIVIL JUDG, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Civil Suit No.

1.

23/1 of 2022

Date of Institution:

11.05.2022

Date of Decision:

02.09.2022

Rehman Ullah S/O Khayal Madar

R/O Qoum Sheikhan, Tappa Umarzai, Ghundakai, P/O Ghiljo, District Orakzai, presently Sangerh, District Hangu.

(Plaintiff)

VERSUS

- 1. Chairman, NADRA, Islamabad.
- 2. Director, General NADRA KPK Peshawar.
- 3. Assistant Director, NADRA District Orakzai.

(Defendants)

SUIT FOR DECLARATION, CUM PERPETUAL AND MANDATORY INJUNCTION

<u>SUMMARY JUDGEMENT:</u> 02.09.2022

Brief facts of the case in hand are that the plaintiff, Rehman

Ullah, has brought the instant suit for declaration cum
perpetual and mandatory injunction against the defendants,
perpetual and mandatory injunction against the defendants,
correct date of birth is 05.04.2001, according to Birth
Registration Certificate and School Certificate, while it has
been wrongly mentioned as 05.04.1997 in his CNIC No.
21601-8977591-1 by the defendants while the date of birth of
his mother namely Mst. Gul Jamina is 01.01.1984 according
to her CNIC No. 21603-1944773-2, thus, there is an
unnatural gap of 13 years, 03 months and 04 days

Case Title: Rehman Ullah VS NADRA, Case No. 23/1 Page 1 of 4



approximately between the dates of birth of the plaintiff and his mother, which is wrong, ineffective upon the rights of the plaintiff and liable to correction. That the defendants were repeatedly asked to correct the date of birth of plaintiff but they refused, hence, the instant suit.

- 2. Defendants were summoned, who appeared through their representative namely Syed Farhat Abbas, who submitted written statement.
- 3. During the scheduling conference within the meaning of order IX-A of CPC, it was revealed that the matter involved in the instant case is very petty in nature, which can be decided through summary judgement as per relevant record.

To this effect notice was given to the parties that why not the line in hand be decided on the basis of available record without recording lengthy evidence, as the primary aim and objective of Amended Management Rules in CPC is, "to enable the court to-

- a. Deal with the cases justly and fairly;
- b. Encourage parties to alternate dispute resolution procedure if it considers appropriate;
- c. Save expense and time both of courts and litigants; and
- d. Enforce compliance with provisions of this Code."

Learned counsel for plaintiff and representative for defendants heard and record gone through.

4. Record reveals that plaintiff through instant suit is seeking correction of his date of birth to the effect that his correct date of birth is 05.04.2001, according to Birth Registration Certificate and School Certificate, while it has been wrongly mentioned as 05.04.1997 in his CNIC No. 21601-8977591-1 by the defendants while the date of birth of his mother namely Mst. Gul Jamina is 01.01.1984 according to her CNIC No. 21603-1944773-2, thus, there is an unnatural gap of 13 years, 03 months and 04 days approximately between the dates of birth of the plaintiff and his mother, which is wrong, ineffective upon the rights of the plaintiff and liable to correction. The representative of the defendants was directed to produce the family tree of the plaintiff and his mother which he accordingly produced. The family tree reveals that ANATIC date of birth of the plaintiff is 05.04.1997 while that of udge Mela Baber his mother is 01.01.1984, thus there is a gap of 13 years, 03 months and 04 days approximately between the dates of birth of the plaintiff and his mother, which is very unnatural. So, family tree of the plaintiff clearly negates incorporation of his date of birth as 05.04.1997 in his CNIC. Also, the stance of the plaintiff is supported by the School Certificate and Birth Certificate. Further, there is no

Case Title: Rehman Ullah VS NADRA, Case No. 23/1 Page 3 of 4

countered document available with the defendants to rebut

(24)

the documents produced by the plaintiff in support of his stance. Hence, in these circumstances, the said documents are admissible and reliance is placed on it and is sufficient to decide the fate of the case and no further evidence is required to be produced by the parties. So, the available record clearly establishes the claim of the plaintiff.

- 5. Consequently, upon what has been discussed above and the jurisdiction vested in this court under order IX-A and XV-A of CPC, suit of the plaintiff succeeds and is hereby decreed as prayed for. Defendants are directed to correct the date of birth of plaintiff as 05.04.2001 in their record and in the CNIC of the plaintiff.
- 6. Parties are left to bear their own costs.
- 7. File be consigned to the record room after its necessary completion and compilation.

Announced 02.09.2022

(Rehmatel hah Givi Judge Jin, Senior Equit Hadge, Orakzai (at Baber Mela)

CERTIFICATE

Certified that this judgment of mine consists of **04** (Four) pages, each has been checked, corrected where necessary and signed by me.

Senjor Ghupper Mela) Senjor Ghuppudge, Orakzai (at Baber Mela)