

Order. No. 04

29.09.2022

APP for the state present. Surety namely Sajid Ur Rehman present while surety Sami Ullah absent. Surety Sajid Ur Rehman stated that surety Sami Ullah is on official duty and lastly requested for lenient view contending that accused Noor Kareem has been handed over to the local police accordingly. Arguments heard.

Record reveals that Sajid Ur Rehman and Sami Ullah stood sureties for the accused Noor Kareem for an amount of Rs. 100,000/- each. During the trial, they failed to produce the said accused before the court so they were proceeded against U/S 514 Cr. PC. Sureties requested for lenient view. As per contention of sureties, they stood sureties for the accused on humanitarian ground and that they have not stood sureties for personal and financial gain.

There is nothing on record showing that the sureties have gained any financial benefits from the accused. Prima facie, they stood sureties for the accused on social and humanitarian ground. Sureties are government servants. They have surrendered the accused. Hence, a lenient view is taken of the matter and each surety is burdened with the fine of Rs. 5000/- each (Five thousand each). The sureties are directed to deposit fine of Rs. 5000/- each (total Rs. 10,000/-).

File be consigned to record room after necessary completion and compilation.

Announced

29.09.2022



ZAHIR KHAN
Judicial Magistrate-I, Kalaya
Orakzai