

IN THE COURT OF SHAUKAT AHMAD KHAN
SESSIONS JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

SESSION CASE NO. : 34/2 OF 2021
DATE OF INSTITUTION : 28.09.2021
DATE OF DECISION : 29.07.2022

STATE THROUGH AJMAL SIDDIQUE S/O KHAWAJA MIR, R/O
CASTE UTMAN FEROZ KHEL, QIMAT KHEL, PO FEROZ
MIRAZI KHEL, AGED ABOUT 34 YEARS TEHSIL LOWER
DISTRICT ORAKZAI

------(Complainant)

VS

ABDULLAH S/O MORCHA KHAN, AGED ABOUT 33 YEARS,
R/O CASTE ZAKHA KHEL, KHAL FEROZ KHEL, DISTRICT
ORAKZAI

------(Accused Facing Trial)

Present: Umar Niaz, District Public Prosecutor.
: Khursheed Alam Advocate for accused.
: Akbar Yousaf Khalil Advocate for complainant.

FIR No. 91
U/S: 302/324 PPC

Dated: 17.07.2021
Police Station: Kalaya

JUDGEMENT
29.07.2022

The accused named above faced trial for the
offence u/s 302/324 PPC vide FIR no. 91, dated
17.07.2021 of PS Kalaya.

(2). The case of the prosecution as per contents of Ex.
PA Murasila based FIR Ex. PA/1 is; that on 17.07.2021
at 1000 hours at DHQ hospital Mishti Mela, the
injured/complainant, Ajmal Siddique, PW-8, made a
report to the local police to the fact that he along with his
father (deceased) were present in the veranda of Azan
Hotel when at about 1000 hours, accused facing trial
Abdullah duly armed with pistol came and made firing at


Shaukat Ahmad Khan
District & Sessions Judge
Orakzai at Baber Mela
29/07/22

them as a result of which his father got hit and died on the spot while he sustained injury on his left-hand palm. The complainant charged the accused for the commission of offence. The report of the complainant was verified by Arbab Khan s/o Lal Hakim (PW-7) by putting his thumb impression over the same. The Murasila Ex. PA was drafted by Shal Muhammad SHO/PW-3 which was sent to the PS through constable Raza Ali/PW-1, on the basis of which FIR Ex. PA/1 was drafted by Muhammad Ayyub AMHC/PW-4. The injury sheet Ex. PW 3/1 of the injured and injury sheet Ex. PW 3/2 and inquest report Ex. PW 3/3 of deceased were drafted by Shal Muhammad SHO/PW-3 and forwarded the deceased to the doctor for post-mortem examination, upon which Dr. Farzand Ali/PW-5 conducted autopsy on the dead body of the deceased vide his report Ex. PM. The injured/complainant Ajmal Siddique was attended by the same doctor vide medico legal report Ex. PW 3/1.

- (3). After registration of FIR, it was handed over to IO/PW-13, Aftab Hassan SI for investigation. Accordingly, after receipt of FIR, PW-13 reached the spot, took into possession blood-stained earth (P1) from the place of deceased and two empty shells of 9MM pistol (P2) vide recovery memo Ex. PC. He drafted list of LRs of the deceased. He prepared site plan Ex. PB at the

Shaukat Ahmad Khan
District & Sessions Judge,
Orakzai at Dabul, Mulla
29/07/21

109

pointation of complainant/PW-8. The IO/PW-13 took into possession blood-stained shalwar, Kamees and Chadar of the deceased (P3) vide recovery memo Ex. PC/1. Upon recovery of the weapon of offence from possession of accused by PW-11/Muqadar Khan ASHO, the IO added section 15AA in the FIR, sent parcels no. 1 to 4 to FSL vide his applications and road permit certificates through constable Nikzad Ali, recorded statements of witnesses and placed on file reports of FSL regarding blood-stained earth Ex. PK, regarding empty shells Ex. PK/1 and regarding blood-stained garments of deceased Ex. PK/2.

(4). The PW-11/Muqadar Khan ASHO during patrolling, on receipt of information regarding occurrence, raided the house of accused where the accused was found present in front of his house. He was arrested vide card of arrest Ex. PW 11/1. At the time of arrest, he was also found in possession of 9MM pistol bearing no. AT6407 along with a fixed charger containing two rounds and one spare charger which were taken into possession vide recovery memo Ex. PC/2.

Shaukat Ahmad Khan
District & Sessions Judge,
Crakani at Baher Mela
29/07/22

(5). Upon receipt of case file for the purpose of trial, the accused was summoned, copies of the record were provided to him u/s 265-C Cr.P.C and formal charge was framed against him to which he pleaded not guilty and

claimed trial. The prosecution examined as many as 14 witnesses. The gist of the evidence of prosecution is as follow;

I. Constable Raza Ali is PW-1. He received Murasila from Shal Muhammad SHO for taking the same to Police Station which he handed over to MHC Muhammad Ayyub for registration of FIR.

II. Constable Nikzad Ali appeared in the witness box as PW-2 being marginal witness of recovery memos Ex. PC vide which the IO has taken into possession blood-stained earth and two empty shells of 9MM pistol. He has also taken parcels no. 1 to 4 to the FSL vide road permit certificates and applications addressed to the incharge FSL.

III. Shal Muhammad SHO is PW-3. He has drafted the Murasila Ex. PA. He also stated that he had prepared injury sheets Ex. PW 3/1 of the injured, injury sheet Ex. PW 3/2 and inquest report Ex. PW 3/3 of the deceased and forwarded the same to doctor through constable Javid Ali for medical examination of injured and autopsy on dead body of deceased respectively.



Shaikat Ahmad Khan
District & Sessions Judge,
Orakzai at Baber Mela
29/07/21

IV. Muhammad Ayyub AMHC appeared in the witness box as PW-4. He has incorporated the contents of Murasila into FIR Ex. PA/1. Similarly, he has received the case property i.e., parcels no. 1 to 4 which was kept by him in mal khana of the PS. The details of case property were also entered by him in register no. 19.

V. Dr. Farzand Ali, CMO, DHQ Mishti Mela is PW-5. He has conducted post-mortem examination of the deceased vide his report Ex. PM. He has also examined the injured/complainant vide his report Ex. PW 5/3.

VI. Muhammad Alamzeb s/o Awaz Mir is PW-6. He has identified the dead body of deceased Khwaja Mir before the local police and doctor. He stated that the inquest report correctly bears his thumb impression.

VII. Arbab Khan s/o Lal Hakim appeared in the witness box as PW-7. He has verified the report of complainant by putting his thumb impression over the same.


Shaukat Ahmad Khan
District & Session Judge,
Orakzai at Baber Mela

29/07/22


VIII. Injured/complainant Ajmal Siddique is PW-8. He has repeated the same story as narrated in the FIR Ex. PA/1.

IX. Constable Khan Saeed appeared in witness box as PW-9 being marginal witness of recovery memo Ex. PC vide which the IO in his presence has taken into possession blood-stained earth and two empty shells of 9MM, packed and sealed the same in parcels no. 1 & 2.

X. Constable Javid Ali is PW-10. He has received injury sheets and inquest report which he has handed over to the doctor for post-mortem examination of deceased and medical examination of injured.

XI. Muqadar Khan ASHO was examined as PW-11. He stated that he has raided the house of accused and recovered one 9MM pistol along with a fixed charger containing two rounds and one spare charger used in commission of offence vide recovery memo Ex. PC/2 besides issuing card of arrest of accused Ex. PW 11/1.

XII. Fazil Hussain is PW-12. He is the marginal witness of the recovery memo Ex. PC/2 vide which the ASHO had taken into possession


Shaukat Ahmad Khan
District & Sessions Judge,
Guzrat at Babar Nola
29/07/22

9MM pistol along with a fixed charger containing two rounds and one spare charger used in commission of offence.

XIII. Aftab Hassan SI is PW-14. He has conducted investigation by visiting the spot, taking into possession blood-stained earth from the place of deceased and two empty shells of 9MM pistol vide recovery memo Ex. PC, preparing list of LRs of deceased Ex. PW 13/1, preparing the site plan Ex. PB. He has also taken into possession blood-stained garments of the deceased (P3) vide recovery memo Ex. PC/1, recorded statements of marginal witnesses, added section of law 15AA in the instant case, produced the accused before the court of Judicial Magistrate vide his application Ex. PW 13/3, prepared pointation memo on pointation of accused Ex. PW 9/1, sent parcels no. 1 to 4 to FSL vide his applications and road permit certificates through constable Nikzad Ali, produced the accused before the court of Judicial Magistrate vide his application Ex. PW 13/9 where the accused had confessed his guilt and placed on file medico legal documents of injured and

Shaukat Aftab Khan
District & Sessions Judge,
Bahar Mela
20/07/21

deceased, recorded statements of Arbab Khan, Bait Ullah and Muhammad Alamzeb and placed on file FSL reports Ex. PK, Ex. PK/1 and Ex. PK/2 regarding blood-stained earth, 9MM pistol and blood-stained garments of the deceased.

XIV. Mr. Rehmat Ullah, Senior Civil Judge/Judicial Magistrate, Orakzai appeared in the witness box as PW-14. The accused was produced before him on 19.07.2021 for recording confessional statement and the accused has confessed his guilt answering to a questionnaire Ex. PW 14/1.

(6). The prosecution closed its evidence whereafter statement of accused recorded u/s 342 Cr.P.C wherein he did not opt for defence evidence but wished to be examined on oath leading to the statement of accused u/s 340 (2) CrPC. Thereafter, arguments of the learned DPP for the State and counsel for the accused facing trial heard and case file perused.

Learned DPP for the State argued that the accused facing trial is directly nominated in the FIR. The case of the prosecution is also supported by a site plan Ex. PB which has been verified by the accused by making pointation on the spot. The recoveries made from the spot

Shaukat Ahmad Khan
District & Sessions Judge,
Orakzai
29/07/21
Baber Mera

in the form of blood and empties also support the case of prosecution. The medical evidence brought on record also favours the case of prosecution. The accused facing trial has confessed his guilt before the court of Judicial Magistrate. The complainant, the witnesses of the recovery and the IO have been produced by the prosecution as witnesses, whom have fully supported the case of the prosecution and their statements have been lengthy cross examined but nothing contradictory could be extracted from the mouth of any of the witness of the prosecution and that the prosecution has proved its case beyond shadow of any doubt.

- (8). Learned counsel for the defence argued that though the accused facing trial is directly nominated in the FIR but there is an unexplained delay of about 01 hour and 40 minutes in reporting the matter to police, the site plan Ex. PB also does not support the case of prosecution for, as per contents of report the occurrence has taken place in a veranda of hotel but in site plan no veranda has been shown in front of hotel and the blood has been recovered in front of shop no. 2, that despite availability of people on the spot no independent witness has been associated with the occurrence, that the story of prosecution regarding arrest of accused and recovery of alleged weapon of offence, is also fake and concocted as no person of

29/07/22

prudent mind would believe that as to how a person being accused of the offence of murder would wait with the weapon of offence for arrival of police in front of his house, that the confessional statement of accused being result of the torture, is not valid in the eyes of law, that the transmission of the case property from spot to Police Station and its safe custody is also nor proved, that samples have been sent to FSL with a delay of about more than 10-days, that there are various dents in the case of prosecution which lead to failure of prosecution to prove its case beyond shadow of doubt entitling the accused to honourable acquittal.

In view of the available material on file and the arguments advanced by learned DPP for State and that of learned counsel for defence, the points for determination of charge are;

- I. Whether the occurrence has taken place in the mode and manner as detailed in Murasila Ex. PA?
- II. Whether the report has been made to police in the mode and manner as detailed in Murasila Ex. PA?
- III. Whether the case of prosecution is supported by ocular account?
- IV. Whether the confessional statement of the accused has been made voluntarily, recorded after fulfilling all the legal requirements?

Chauhan Ahmad Khan
District & Sessions Judge,
Cochin at Baber Malia
29/07/21

- V. Whether circumstantial evidence supports the case of prosecution?
- VI. Whether the accused has any motive for the commission of offence?

(9). As per contents of Murasila Ex. PA, the date and time of occurrence is 17.07.2021 at 10:00 hours. The place of occurrence is Feroz Khel Bazar, Hussain Ahmad Market veranda of hotel "Khanzai", where the complainant/PW-8 along with his father (deceased) were present, when, at the time of occurrence, the accused facing trial duly armed with pistol has come and made firing at the complainant/PW-8 as a result of which the father of complainant has been hit and died on the spot while the complainant has received injury on palm of his left hand. After registration of FIR, PW-13/the IO has reached the spot where he has prepared the site plan Ex. PB on the pointation of complainant/PW-8 wherein he has confirmed the place of occurrence as Hussain Ahmad market situated in Feroz Khel Bazar. In the site plan the deceased Khawaja Mir has been shown at point no. 1 from where the blood-stained earth has been secured vide recovery memo Ex. PC. The complainant/PW-8 has been shown at point no. 2 adjacent to the place of deceased; however, no blood has been found over there. The accused facing trial has been shown at point no. 3 wherefrom two

Shaukat Ahmad Khan
District Sessions Judge,
Feroz Khel Bazar
17/07/21

empties of 9MM pistol have been recovered vide recovery memo Ex. PC/2.

The prosecution in order to prove its case, examined complainant as PW-8, the investigation officer as PW-13 and witness of the recovery memo Ex. PC as PW-9. In examination in chief of all the three witnesses they are unanimous on the point of place of occurrence. In cross examination the complainant as PW-8 has confirmed the place of occurrence and in this respect, he has been put suggestion in affirmative;

"It is correct that the occurrence took place at the veranda of the hotel. It is correct that the occurrence has been taken place near the shop no. 2".

He has been further suggesting that;

"The occurrence took place in the veranda which is in front of shop no. 2. It incorrect to suggest that the occurrence took place in shop no. 2".

The aforementioned cross examination on behalf of defence suggests that the defence also admits the place of occurrence with the objection that the veranda has not been shown in site plan Ex. PB, which in view of admission of defence does not cause any doubt regarding the place of occurrence, the mode and manner of the occurrence. All the three witnesses are also unanimous regarding the factum of arrival of the investigation officer

29/07/21
M. A. Khan
District & Sessions Judge,
Amritsar at Baber Nela

on the spot. The complainant as PW-8 in his cross examination told that he has remained in the hospital till 12:30 pm, the IO as PW-13 stated that he has left the PS at about 12:30 pm in a private motorcar and reached the spot of occurrence at 01:00 pm, where he has prepared site plane on pointation of complainant/PW-8. PW-9 constable Khan Saeed, the marginal witness of recovery memo Ex. PC, who was accompanied by the IO, has also confirmed that they have left the PS at 12:30 pm and reached the spot at 12:45 pm. He further confirmed that they have travelled to the spot in a private motorcar. The objection of the defence that the veranda has not been shown in site plan, the specific point has not been assigned to the place where the two empty shells have been recovered and the registration number of motorcar via which the investigation party has travelled to spot is not remembered to Investigation Officer and recovery witness, is not fatal to the prosecution case regarding the mode and manner of occurrence or the venue of occurrence for the reasons, that as discussed above the place of occurrence has been admitted by the defence, the specific point though has not been assigned to the place of empties; however, the Investigation Officer has explained that these were recovered near the place of accused while as a matter of routine the registration number of the motorcar being a

Ghaukat Ahmad Khan
District & Sessions Judge,
Orakzai at Baber Mela
29/07/21

minute detail is not expected to be remembered to the witness.

Keeping in view the aforementioned discussion, all the three witnesses are unanimous regarding the mode, manner and venue of occurrence. They have been lengthy cross examined but nothing contradictory could be extracted from them in this respect.

The report has been made by the complainant/PW-8 to Shal Muhammad SHO/PW-3 at DHQ hospital Mishti Mela. The date and time of report is 17.07.2021 at 11:40 hours, after about 01 hours and 40 minutes. Shal Muhammad SHO/PW-3 has reduced the report of complainant into writing in the form of Murasila Ex. PA. The Murasila has been signed by the complainant as a taken of its correctness which has been verified by one Arbab Khan s/o Lal Hakeem. It has been sent to the Police Station through constable Raza Ali/PW-1, where PW-4/Muhammad Ayyub AMHC has registered the FIR at 12:20 hours.

The prosecution in order to prove its case, examined the complainant as PW-8 wherein he has reiterated the contents of Murasila. He has also identified his signature over the Murasila Ex. PA. Shal Muhammad SHO, the scribe of report, has appeared as PW-3 wherein he has stated the report of complainant was drafted by him

Shaukat Ali Khan
District & Sessions Judge,
Original at Babur Mela
29/07/22

in the form of Murasila Ex. PA which is correct and correctly bear his signature. Constable Raza Ali who has transmitted the Murasila to the PS, has been examined as PW-1 while Muhammad Ayyub AMHC who has registered the FIR on the basis of Murasila has been examined as PW-4. In cross examination the complainant as PW-8 has confirmed that the report has been made by him at DHQ hospital Mishti Mela, that he reached the hospital within an hour and that the dead body was put in private vehicle; however, after about some distance the dead body was shifted to ambulance. The scribe of report in his cross examination as PW-3 stated that he reached the hospital at about 11:00 hours. Constable Raza Ali has confirmed in his cross examination that the Murasila was handed over to him at about 11:40/11:50 am and he reached to PS at about 1210/1220 hours and that he handed over the Murasila to Muharrir Muhammad Ayyub Khan. The Muharrir Muhammad Ayyub as PW-4 has not been cross examined on this point.

Keeping in view of contention of the complainant in the Murasila Ex. PA, all the above-named witnesses are unanimous regarding the date, time, place, mode and manner of report made by the complainant. In cross examination nothing contradictory could be extracted

Shaukat Ahmad Khan
District & Sessions Judge,
Orakzai at Baber Mela
29/07/21

from them rather they have confirmed the aforementioned facts in their cross examination.

With respect to ocular account, complainant/PW-8 has appeared as sole eyewitness of the occurrence who has also received injury as a result of firing. The injury sheet Ex. PW 5/3 has confirmed that the complainant has received firearm injury on palm of his left hand which proves the presence of complainant on the spot. The complainant in his examination in chief as PW-8 has reiterated the contents of Murasila Ex. PA. He has been lengthy cross examined but not a single contradiction could be extracted from him by the defence.

The accused facing trial has been arrested on 17.07.2021, on a very day of occurrence. He has been produced before the court on 18.07.2021 vide application Ex. PW 13/2 vide which one day police custody of the accused has been allowed. On 19.07.2021, he has been produced before the learned Judicial Magistrate for recording his confessional statement vide application Ex. PW 13/9 at 01:00 pm. The learned Judicial Magistrate after removal of all staff and the Naib Court, has given a time of about an hour to the accused to think over his statement. As per questionnaire Ex. PW 14/1, the accused has been asked certain questions and after satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate about voluntariness of the

Shaukat Ali Khan
District & Sessions Judge,
Orizal at Elaher Kalaya
29/07/21

statement of accused, has recorded his statement as Ex. PW 14/2 which has been thumb impressed by the accused facing trial. The learned Judicial Magistrate has appeared in witness box as PW-14 where in his cross examination he has explained that after writing of the statement of accused he has explained the same to him in Pushto language, that at the time of making statement the accused was alone in court room and that he has specifically inquired the accused about any torture by the police. The confessional statement of the accused as Ex. PW 14/2 is in line with the report of complainant Ex. PA. Moreover, the accused facing trial has recorded his statement on oath u/s 340 (2) CrPC wherein he has not alleged any torture on behalf of police nor he has spoken a single word regarding his confessional statement in his cross examination. In his cross examination he has admitted that he has confessed the guilt before the Judicial Magistrate. The relevant extract of his cross examination is as under;

“It is correct that I confessed my guilt during investigation before the learned Judicial Magistrate”.

Hence, in view of what is discussed above, it is held that the confessional statement of accused has been made by him voluntarily and the same has been recorded after fulfilment of all the legal formalities by the learned Judicial Magistrate.

The circumstantial evidence against the accused facing trial consists of recovery of blood from the place of deceased, recovery of two empties of 9MM from the place of accused vide recovery memo Ex. PC, recovery of blood-stained earth vide recovery memo Ex. PC/1, recovery of the weapon of offence from the accused facing trial vide recovery memo Ex. PC/2, the report of FSL Ex. PK regarding blood-stained earth, the report of FSL Ex. PK/1 regarding the empties recovered from the spot and pistol recovered from the possession of accused facing trial and report of FSL Ex. PK/2 regarding blood-stained garments of the deceased. With respect to recovery of blood and empties from the spot, the Investigation Officer of the case has been examined as PW-13 while one of the witnesses of recovery memo Ex. PC, constable Khan Saeed has been examined as PW-9, both the witnesses are unanimous regarding the mode, manner and venue of recovery and their statements have not been shattered in this respect. The weapon of offence has been recovered from possession of accused facing trial by Muqadar Khan ASHO who has been examined as PW-11 while the marginal witness of recovery memo Ex. PC/2 has been examined as PW-12. Both the above named PWs have alleged that on 17.07.2021, PW-11 during patrolling of the area received information regarding the occurrence

Shahid Ali Khan
District & Sessions Judge,
Orakzai at Baber Mata
29/07/21

at which they went to the house of accused where he was found present near his house. He was arrested via card of arrest Ex. PW 11/1. He was also found in possession of 9MM pistol bearing number AT6407 with a fixed charger containing two rounds of 9MM and a spare charger which were taken into possession vide recovery memo Ex. PC/2. After recovery, the weapon along with 02 rounds were sealed on the spot. The case property, after return of PW-11 to Police Station, was handed over by him to Moharrir/PW-4 who kept the same in Mal khana and made entry of the same in register no. 19. PW-11 and PW-12 have been cross examined but nothing contradictory could be extracted from them regarding the mode, manner and venue of recovery except that no private person has been associated with the process of search and recovery which, in circumstances of the case, is not fatal to the case of prosecution for, in such like cases keeping in view the present societal set up no one poke nose into the affairs of others. Moreover, the police witnesses are considered as good witnesses as any other private witnesses except some malafidi is proved on behalf of police witness but in instant case no malafidli on behalf of police witness has even been alleged by the defence.

Shaukat Ali Khan
District & Sessions Judge
Cr. No. 29/07/21

With respect to the safe custody and transmission of same to the FSL, the case property has been properly


handed to PW-4/Muharrir of the Police Station who has deposited the same in Mal khana after making entry of the same in register no. 19. On 19.07.2021 the case property has been handed over to the Investigation Officer for sending the same to FSL which has been sent through PW-2/Nikzad Ali. PW-4 has been cross examined wherein he has confirmed that parcels no. 1 to 4 (blood-stained earth, 02 empty shells of 9MM and blood-stained garments) were handed over to him by Investigation Officer at 15:00 hours and that he handed over parcels no. 1 to 4 to the Investigation Officer on 19.07.2021 at 07:00 am. The carrier of case property to the FSL is constable Nikzad Ali who has been examined as PW-2. As per his statement, on 19.07.2021 the Investigation Officer handed over to him parcels no. 1 to 4 for taking the same to FSL with applications addressed to Incharge of FSL and road permit certificates. He transmitted the same to FSL where parcels no. 2 and 3 (empties and pistol) were not received.

Shaukat Ali Khan
District & Sessions Judge,
07/07/2021

On 28.07.2021, he again took parcels no. 2 and 3 to the FSL. The applications addressed to the official of the FSL, Ex. PW 13/5 & Ex. PW 13/6 with road permit certificates, Ex. PW 13/7 & Ex. PW13/8, all bear the date as 19.07.2021. The road permit certificate further shows that they have been delivered to FSL on 19.07.2021; however, the return of parcels no. 2 and 3 by the officials of the FSL

has contributed to the delay and cannot be counted as delay on part of the Investigation Officer. As per report of FSL Ex. PK/1 and Ex. PK/2, human blood has been detected on the blood-stained earth and blood-stained garments while as per report of FSL Ex. PK/1, the empties recovered from the spot were found fired from the pistol recovered from possession of accused facing trial. Hence, in view of what is discussed above, the case of the prosecution has also been substantiated by the circumstantial evidence.

With respect to motive behind the occurrence, the complainant in his report Ex. PA and in his court statement as PW-8 has alleged no motive; however, the accused facing trial in his confessional statement has alleged that the deceased was his father-in-law who had restrained his wife to reside with the accused facing trial. In his statement u/s 340 (2) CrPC the accused has reiterated the same motive with the contention that he has been falsely implicated. But as the motive is a double edge weapon and in circumstances of the case the preponderance of having aforementioned motive for commission of offence tilts in favour of the complainant as compared to the contention of accused facing trial that he has been wrongly charged by the complainant for the aforementioned motive.


Shaikat Ali Qazi Khan
District & Sessions Judge,
Orakzai District, Peshawar

(10). In view of what is discussed above, it is held that the prosecution has proved its case beyond shadow of any doubt through ocular and circumstantial evidence coupled with the voluntarily made confession of the guilt by the accused facing trial before the court of learned Judicial Magistrate. Hence, the accused namely, Abdullah s/o Morcha Khan is held guilty of the offence u/s 302 PPC for committing the murder of Khwaja Mir and accordingly he is convicted. The accused facing trial is also held guilty of the offence u/s 324 PPC for making attempt at the life of complainant Ajmal Siddique and he is accordingly convicted.


As the accused facing trial is the close relative of the deceased. Being son-in-law of the deceased, the wife of the accused facing trial has already lost her father and awarding capital punishment to the accused facing trial being her husband, would further aggravate her agonies of life. Hence, the accused facing trial namely, Abdullah s/o Morcha Khan is sentenced to life imprisonment as Ta'azeer u/s 302 (b) PPC. Further the accused facing trial would also pay sum of Rs. 600,000/- (06 lacs) as compensation in terms of section 544-A CrPC to the legal heirs of the deceased, recoverable as arrears of land revenue. In case of default of the payment of compensation, accused shall further undergo simple

Signature of the
District & Sessions Judge,
Orchard at Buner Mehta
29/07/21

imprisonment for six (06) months. He is further sentenced to imprisonment for three (03) years and a fine of Rs. 50,000/- (fifty thousand) u/s 324 PPC. In case of default of the payment of fine, accused shall further undergo simple imprisonment for three (03) months. The accused facing trial shall also pay a sum of Rs. 50,000/- (fifty thousand) to the complainant Ajmal Siddique within the meaning of section 544-A CrPC, recoverable as arrears of land revenue. In case of default of the payment of compensation, accused shall further undergo simple imprisonment for three (03) months. Both the sentences shall run concurrently. The benefit of section 382-B Cr.P.C is extended to the accused. The case property i.e., chargs be destroyed after the expiry of period provided for appeal/revision. Copy of the judgement delivered to the accused today free of cost and his thumb impression to this effect obtained at the margin of the order sheet. The copy of judgement also be issued to the District Public Prosecutor u/s 373 of the Cr.P.C free of cost. Consign.




Pronounced
29.07.2022


(SHAUKAT AHMAD KHAN)
Sessions Judge, Orakzai,
at Baber Mela

CERTIFICATE

Certified that this judgment consists of twenty-three (23) pages. Each page has been read, corrected wherever necessary and signed by me.

Dated: 29.07.2022


(SHAUKAT AHMAD KHAN)
Sessions Judge, Orakzai,
at Baber Mela