## COURT OF SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

	•	
Cana	m:41	
- U.ASE	Title:	
0400		_

\_\_\_\_\_ VS

16

\_\_\_\_\_

E.

Serial No of	Date of	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or
order or	Order	Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary
proceedings	Proceedings	
1	2	3
Order No. 05	20.12.2022	Presence as before. Through my this single order, I
		intend to dispose off an application for rejection of plaint U/O 7-R-11 CPC, filed by the defendant No. 02 against the plaintiff.
	1	This application was strongly contested by the other party by filing replication and forwarding arguments thereto.
		Brief facts of the case are that the plaintiff filed the instant suit for declaration-cum-perpetual and mandatory
		injunction, cancellation of the suit lease and the Iqrar Nama and possession through partition to the effect that he and the defendants No. 06 to 08 and the entire family are the
		joint owners in possession of the suit property. That the defendants No. 01 & 02 in collusion with the defendants
		No. 03 to 05 have got NOC without conducting Jalsa-e- Aam and have got lease file No. 132 by the defendant No.
1	a zik	01 and leased file No. 130/131 by the defendant No. 02, which is illegal, ineffective upon the rights of the plaintiff and liable to be cancelled with further prayer to restrain the defendants from interfering in the suit property and partition of the suit property. Arguments heard and record perused. After hearing of arguments and perusal of the record, I am of the opinion that the main object of the
F	r WLLAH WAZIF r WLLAH WAZIF civil JudgeJN civil Judger Me	apartition of the suit property.
- HARA	Civil Juber In	Arguments heard and record perused.
REINIO	aiatbu	After hearing of arguments and perusal of the
Orat	if and	record I am of the animian that the main chiest of the
-		record, I am of the opinion that the main object of the present suit is the cancellation of the said coal mining lease
		in favour of the defendants No. 01 & 02 but according to
		the KPK Minerals Sector Governance Act, 2017 and the
		KPK Minerals Sector Governance (Amendment) Act,
		2019, there is a complete scheme and frame work of things
		to be done has been provided in the said Acts and also
		laying down means and procedures for protection of rights
		claimed by the interested persons. They can approach for
		redressal of grievances to the licensing authority as
		envisaged in the section 02 (U) of the KPK Minerals
		Governance Act, 2017 and then to the Appellate Tribunal
		as envisaged in the section 05 (A) of the KPK Minerals
	ı	

(17)

COURT OF SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Liagiat Ali etc IKram Ali VS Case Title: Governance (Amendment Act, 2019). Further, u/s 102 (6), Order No 05 20.12.2022 continued it is provided that "Notwithstanding anything provided in the other law for the time being in force, no court shall have jurisdiction to entertain or to adjudicate upon any matter to which the Appellate Authority under this Act is empowered to dispose off or to determine the validity of anything done or an order passed by it". Thus, jurisdiction of the Civil Court is specifically barred under the said provisions. Therefore, in the light of the aforesaid findings, the application in hand is accepted and the plaint of the plaintiff is hereby rejected being barred by law with costs. File be consigned to the record room after its necessary completion and compilation. Announced 20.12.2022 (Rehmat Ullah Wazir) Senior Civil Judge, Orakzai (at Baber Mela)

Ĩ,