## IN THE COURT OF SAMI ULLAH, CIVIL JUDGE-I, ORAKZAI (AT BABER MELA).

30

Versus

- 1. Mooen Khan S/O Sarwar Khan,
- 2. Shareef Khan S/O Payo Khan,
- 3. Mesri Khan S/O Payo Khan

2

Ţ

4207.70.82 Jul

(All residence of Section Akhon Kot Sub-Section Ado Khel P/O Ghiljo Tehsil Upper Ali Khel District Orakzai......(Defendants)

## SUIT FOR DECLARATION WITH PERPETUAL

## MANDATORY INJUNCTION

## EXPARTE JUDGMENT:

- 1. Brief facts of the case, as per averments made in the plaint, are that the plaintiff being a member of cast Mamozai along with other shareholders is the owner in possession of suit property (detail of which is fully mentioned in the head note of the plaint). The defendants are strangers to suit property and are interfering in it wrongly and illegally. The plaintiff sought injunction that the defendants be restrained from interference in suit land.
- 2. Defendants were summoned but they did not appear before the court despite service, therefore, placed and proceeded against ex-parte. Thereafter, plaintiff was allowed to

1

produce his ex-parte evidence after submission of list of witnesses. Plaintiff was directed to produce his ex parte evidence.

3. Detail of plaintiff's witnesses and exhibited documents are as under: -

	WITNESSES	EXHIBITIONS
PW-1	Mufti Afzal S/O Jalander	Copy of the CNIC is Ex-PW1/1
	khan R/O Ghiljo, Upper	
	Orakzai.	
PW-2	Sher Afzal S/O Abdul	Copy of the CNIC is Ex-PW2/1
	Akbar R/O Khadizai, Lower	
	Orakzai.	
PW-3	Hashmat Khan S/O Khan	Copy of the CNIC is Ex-PW3/1
	Akbar R/O Ghiljo, Upper	
	Orakzai	

- 4. Ex-parte arguments heard and record perused.
- 5. Learned counsel for the plaintiff, Mr. Malik Muhammad Farooq Khattak Advocate, argued that the plaintiff claimed declaration and permanent injunction on the basis of the fact that he along with others are owners in possession of suit property while defendants are strangers. He stated that defendants being belligerent and headstrong are interfering in the suit property wrongly. He stated that the plaintiff has proved his stance by producing cogent and confidence inspiring oral evidence in support of stance of the plaintiff while there is nothing in rebuttal hence, prayed for decree of the suit.



- 7. The plaintiff witnesses who appeared as PW-1, PW-2 and PW-3 claimed that the defendants are strangers to the suit property and are interfering in it wrongly and illegally
- 8. Thereafter, ex-parte evidence of plaintiff was closed.
- 9. As there is nothing in rebuttal due to ex-parte, therefore, suit of plaintiff is hereby decreed as prayed for. This decree shall not affect the rights of others, interested if any.
- 10. Costs to follow the events.

ふレ

5

11. File of the Court be consigned to record room after its completion and compilation.

29.07.2022

(Sami Ullah Civil Judge-I, Orakzai (At Baber Mela)

<u>Announced:</u> 29-07-2022.