## Ahmad Ullah vs Director NADRA, Islamabad etc Page 1 of 5

# IN THE COURT OF ZAHIR KHAN CIVIL JUDGE-I, TEHSIL KALAYA, ORAKZAI

Suit No	92/1 OF 2022.
Date of Institution	15.09.2022.
Date of Decision	10.10.2022.
= _ = _ = _ =	====
Ahmad Ullah S/O Khial Rahman R/O Qaun	n Sheikhan, Tappa Samozai
Village Kanganaye, Tehsil Central, District Or	akzai.
	(Plaintiff)
<u>VERSUS</u>	
. Director NADRA, Orakzai.	
2. Director NADRA, Peshawar.	
B. Director NADRA, Islamabad.	
	(Defendants)
SUIT FOR DECLARATION & PERM	ANENT INJUNCTION

# JUDGEMENT 10.10.2022

Through this judgement, I am going to dispose of the instant suit filed by plaintiff namely Ahmad Ullah against defendants Director NADRA, Orakzai and two others for declaration and permanent injunction.

Brief facts in the backdrop are that plaintiff has filed the instant suit against the defendants for declaration and permanent injunction to the effect that as per Secondary School Certificate correct date of birth of plaintiff is 30.04.2004, however, defendants have incorrectly entered date of birth of plaintiff as 30.04.2003 which is wrong, illegal and liable to be rectified. That

ZAHIR KHAN Civil Juoge/JM Kalaya Orakzai

#### Page 2 of 5

defendants were asked time and again to rectify date of birth of plaintiff but in vain hence, the present suit.

After institution of the suit, defendants were summoned, who marked their attendance through representative and contested the suit by filing authority letter and written statement.

From divergent pleadings of the parties, the following issues were framed for adjudication of real controversy between the parties.

## <u>ISSUES</u>

- 1. Whether plaintiff has got cause of action? OPP
- 2. Whether suit is within time? OPP
- 3. Whether correct date of birth of plaintiff is 30.04.2004 instead of 30.04.2003? OPP
- 4. Whether plaintiff is entitled to the decree as prayed for? OPP
- 5. Relief?

Upon submission of list of witnesses, both the parties on being provided with an opportunity to adduce their desired evidence, the parties produced their respective evidence.

After the completion of evidence, arguments of the learned counsel for the parties were heard and record of the case file was gone through with their valuable assistance.

During course of recording evidence, plaintiff produced two witnesses.

Jollolozz ZAHIR KHAN Civit MagelJM Kalaya Orakzai

#### Page 3 of 5

Plaintiff himself appeared and deposed as PW-01. He produced Secondary School Certificate according to which, date of birth of plaintiff is recorded as 30.04.2004. Copy of his SSC Certificate is Ex. PW-1/1 and Form B is Ex. PW-1/2. Copy of his CNIC is Ex. PW-1/3. He reiterated the averments of plaint and lastly requested for decree of suit in his favour.

Hadeed Ullah, brother of plaintiff appeared and deposed as PW-02. He supported the claim of plaintiff and lastly requested for decree of suit in favour of plaintiff against the defendants.

Thereafter, evidence of plaintiff was closed. Nothing contradictory could be brought on record from PWs.

Irfan Hussain (Representative of NADRA, Orakzai) appeared as DW-01. He stated that plaintiff has been issued CNIC as per information provided by plaintiff and that he has got no cause of action and lastly requested for dismissal of suit. Thereafter, evidence of defendants was closed.

My issue wise findings are as under: -

## **ISSUE NO.2**:

Plaintiff has been issued CNIC on **07.06.2022** while suit in hand was filed on **15.09.2022**. As period of limitation under Article 120 of Limitation Act is six years, therefore, suit of plaintiffs is held to be within time. Issued decided in positive.

10/10/022

ZAHIR KHAN Civil Junge/JM Kalaya Orakzai

#### Page 4 of 5

# ISSUE NO.3:

Claim of plaintiff is that his true and correct date of birth is 30.04.2004 but defendants have incorrectly recorded date of birth of plaintiff as 30.04.2003 in their record, which is wrong and liable to be rectified. Plaintiff produced documentary evidence in support of his claim in shape of Matric DMC as Ex. PW-1/1 as per which date of birth of plaintiff is recoded as 30.04.2004. The same carries weight as presumption of correctness is attached to it. DW-01, in his cross examination stated that date of birth of a person can be modified/rectified in light of SSC.

Keeping in view the above discussion and documentary as well as oral evidence available on file, it is held that correct date of birth of plaintiff is 30.04.2004 which is correctly recorded in his Secondary School Certificate. Date of birth of plaintiff to be rectified/modified from 30.04.2003 to 30.04.2004. Issue decided accordingly.

## **ISSUES NO.1 & 4.**

In the light of foregoing discussion, it is held that plaintiff has got cause of action and are entitled to the decree, as prayed for. Both these issues are decided accordingly.

#### RELIEF.

Crux of my issue wise discussion is that suit of plaintiff is hereby decreed in his favor against the defendants as prayed for. No order as to costs. This decree shall not affect the rights of any other person interested, if any or service record of plaintiff, if any.

ZAHIR KHAN Civit Judge/JM Kalaya Orakzai

# Page 5 of 5

File be consigned to record room after its necessary

completion and compilation.

ANNOUNCED 10.10.2022

<u> Zahir Khan</u>

Civil Judge-I, Kalaya, Orakzai

# **CERTIFICATE**

It is certified that this judgment consists of 05 pages. Each page has been dictated, read, corrected and signed by me.

Zahir Khan

Civil Judge-I, Kalaya, Orakzai