### IN THE COURT OF BAKHT ZADA, SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Civil Suit No.	08/1 of 2024
Date of Institution:	19.02.2024
Date of Decision:	26.02.2024

Fatima Bibi W/O Muhammad Ashraf, R/O Qoum Akhel, Tor Kanrhai, Dalak Nawasi, Tehsil Ismail Zai, District Orakzai.

(Plaintiff)

#### **VERSUS**

- 1. Chairman, NADRA, Islamabad.
- 2. Director, General NADRA KPK Peshawar.

3. Assistant Director, NADRA, District Orakzai.

(Defendants)

### SUIT FOR DECLARATION, CUM PERPETUAL AND MANDATORY INJUNCTION

### **SUMMARY JUDGEMENT:** 26.02.2024

Brief facts of the case in hand are that plaintiff, Mst. Fatima 1. Bibi w/o Muhammad Ashraf has brought the instant suit for declaration cum perpetual and mandatory injunction against the defendants, referred hereinabove, seeking declaration therein that her correct date of birth is 01.01.1988 and it has been wrongly mentioned as 01.01.1992 in her record with the defendants while the date of birth of her son namely Not Abdullah s/o Muhammad Ashraf according to his matric certificate is 04.05.2006, thus, there is an unnatural gap of 14 years between the dates of birth of the plaintiff and her son,

Fatima Bibi VS NADRA

Orakzai

Case No. 08/1

Page 1 of 4



which is wrong, ineffective upon the rights of the plaintiff and liable to correction. That the defendants were repeatedly asked to correct date of birth of the plaintiff, but they refused hence the instant suit.

- 2. Defendants were summoned, who appeared through their representative namely Mr. Irfan Hussain, who submitted written statement.
- 3. During the scheduling conference within the meaning of order IX-A of CPC, it was revealed that the matter involved in the instant case is very petty in nature, which can be decided through summary judgement as per relevant record. To this effect notice was given to the parties that why not the case in hand be decided on the basis of available record without recording lengthy evidence, as the primary aim and objective of Amended Management Rules in CPC is, "to enable the court to-

a. Deal with the cases justly and fairly;

- b. Encourage parties to alternate dispute resolution procedure if it considers appropriate;
  - Save expense and time both of courts and litigants; and Enforce compliance with provisions of this Code."

Learned counsel for plaintiff and representative for defendants heard and record gone through.

4. Record reveals that plaintiff through instant suit seeking declaration therein that her correct date of birth is 01.01.1988

Fatima Bibi VS NADRA

С.

d.

Case No. 08/1



and it has been wrongly mentioned as 01.01.1992 in her record with the defendants, while the date of birth of her son namely Abdullah s/o Muhammad Ashraf according to his matric certificate is 04.05.2006, thus, there is an unnatural gap of 14 years between the dates of birth of the plaintiff and her son, which is wrong, ineffective upon the rights of the plaintiff and liable to correction. Plaintiff in support of her contention has produced the Birth Registration Certificate and Matric DMC, BISE Kohat of her son Abdullah. So, the Birth Registration Certificate and Matric DMC, BISE Kohat of the son of plaintiff clearly negate the incorporation of her date of birth. Further, there is no counter document available with the defendants to rebut the documents produced by the plaintiff in support of her stance. There is a standard operating procedure of NADRA to make such correction after obtaining biometrics of siblings/parents of the plaintiff and there is no hurdle for the defendants to complete the said procedure, otherwise too, the plaintiff through the abovementioned record i.e Birth Registration Certificate and Matric DMC, BISE Kohat of her son has established that date birth of the plaintiff has been wrongly mentioned in her fecord with the defendants that is against the facts and circumstances. The available record is sufficient to decide the

Fatima Bibi VS NADRA

Case No. 08/1

fate of the case and no further evidence is required to be produced by the parties. The plaintiff as per record is increasing her age from date of birth 01.01.1992 to 01.01.1988 meaning thereby that there is no damage to any third person if her date of birth is corrected. Furthermore, if such correction is not made the same will make hurdles in the future carrier of her children.

5. Consequently, upon what has been discussed above and the jurisdiction vested in this court under order IX-A and XV-A of CPC, suit of the plaintiff succeeds and is hereby **decreed** as prayed for with no order as to cost. Defendants are directed to correct the date of birth of the plaintiff as 01.01.1988 in their record and in the CNIC of the plaintiff.

6. File be consigned to the record room after its necessary completion and compilation.

Announced 26.02.2024

26.2.24

(Bakht Zada) Senidr Civil Judge, Orakzai (at Baber Mela)

**CERTIFICATE** 

Certified that this judgment of mine consists of 04 (Four) pages,

each has been checked, corrected where necessary and signed by me.

b' 2. (Bakht Zada Senior Civil Judge.

Orakzai (at Baber Mela)

Fatima Bibi VS NADRA

Case No. 08/1

Page 4 of 4





## IN THE COURT OF BAKHT ZADA, SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Civil Suit No. Date of Institution: Date of Decision:

08/1 of 2024 19.02.2024 26.02.2024

Fatima Bibi W/O Muhammad Ashraf, R/O Qoum Akhel, Tor Kanrhai, Dalak Nawasi, Tehsil Ismail Zai, District Orakzai.

(Plaintiff)

### **VERSUS**

- 1. Chairman NADRA, Islamabad.
- 2. Director General NADRA, Peshawar, KPK.
- 3. Assistant Director NADRA, Orakzai.

(Defendants)

### SUIT FOR DECLARATION-CUM-PERPETUAL AND MANDATORY INJUNCTION

### JUDGEMENT

Brief facts of the case in hand are that the plaintiff, Fatima Bibi w/o Muhammad Ashraf has brought the instant suit for declaration cum perpetual and mandatory injunction against the defendants, referred hereinabove, seeking declaration therein that her correct date of birth is 01.01.1988 and it has been wrongly mentioned as 01.01.1992 in her record with the defendants while the date of birth of her son namely Abdullah s/o Muhammad Ashraf according to matric record is 04.05.2006, thus, there is an unnatural gap of 14 years between the dates of birth of the plaintiff and her son, which is wrong, ineffective upon the rights of the plaintiff and liable to correction. That the defendants were repeatedly asked to correct date of birth of the plaintiff but they refused, hence, the instant suit.

<u>Order</u>

26.02.2024

Vide my summary judgment of today, which consists of 04 pages, suit of the plaintiff is hereby **decreed as prayed for** with no order as to costs.

File be consigned to the Record room after its necessary completion and compilation.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this day:



20 BAKHT ZADA Senior Civil Judge, Orakzai

# COSTS OF SUIT

-	On behalf of Plaintiff	On behalf of Defendants	Rs	-
	1. Stamp for plaint	1. Stamp for power		
	2. Do. For power	2. Do for petition		
	3. Do. For exhibits	3. Pleader's fee on Rs.		
	4. Pleader's fee on Rs.	4. Subsistence for		
	5. Subsistence for	witnesses		
	witnesses	5. Service of process		
	6. Commissioner's fee	6. Commissioner's fee		
	7. Service of process			
	. 1	Total		1
	-	<ol> <li>Stamp for plaint</li> <li>Do. For power</li> <li>Do. For exhibits</li> <li>Pleader's fee on Rs.</li> <li>Subsistence for witnesses</li> <li>Commissioner's fee</li> <li>Service of process</li> </ol>	1. Stamp for plaint1. Stamp for power2. Do. For power2. Do for petition3. Do. For exhibits3. Pleader's fee on Rs.4. Pleader's fee on Rs.4. Subsistence for5. Subsistence forwitnesses6. Commissioner's fee5. Service of process7. Service of process6. Commissioner's fee	1. Stamp for plaint1. Stamp for power2. Do. For power2. Do for petition3. Do. For exhibits3. Pleader's fee on Rs.4. Pleader's fee on Rs.4. Subsistence for5. Subsistence forwitnesseswitnesses5. Service of process6. Commissioner's fee6. Commissioner's fee7. Service of process9. Commissioner's fee

Court Seal



**<u>AKHT ZADA</u>** Senior Civil Judge, Orakzai