

Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela Case Title Muhammdi Jan vs M. Januil.



		15 / 1 2 20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
Serial No of	Date of	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or
order or	Order	Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary
proceedings	Proceedings	·
1	2	3
Order No.10	01.07.2022	Appellant in person along with Mr. Zahoor Ur
Order No.10	01.02022	
		Rehaman Advocate and respondent in person are in
		attendance.
		The appellant when asked regarding his intention of
		transfer of property in dispute; he answered that he is neither
		selling the property nor changing its nature as the property is
		his ownership through inheritance. Learned counsel
		representing the appellant was asked to finalize the disposal
		of appeal against injunctive order with mutual consent of the
		opponent counsel. Counsel representing respondents is
		unavailable being outstation; Hence, adjourned to 06.07.2022.
		A W
		Sayed Fazal Wadood,
		AD&SJ, Orakzai at Baber Mela
		_
		4.



IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL DISTRICT JUDGE ORAKZAI, AT BABAR MELA

Civil Misc. Appeal No. 2/14 of 2022 Date of institution: 14.03.2022

Muhammadi Jan etc. Vs Muhammad Jameel

<u>Muhammadi Jan etc. Vs Muhammad Jameel</u>			
Serial No of order or	Date of Order	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary	
proceedings 1	Proceedings 2	3	
Order-06	06/07/2022	Appellant in person along with Zahoor ur Rehman for	
		appellant and Mr. Abid Ali Advocate for respondent are in	
		attendance. Arguments have been heard; whereas, this is	
		the disposal of captioned Civil Misc. Appeal.	
		2. This Miscellaneous Civil Appeal calls in question	
		the validity and propriety of the Order dated 19.02.2022,	
		passed by learned Senior Civil Judge, Orakzai, in Civil Suit	
		bearing No.50/1 of 2021; whereby, the learned Trial Court	
		has accepted application for grant of temporary injunction	
		of plaintiff.	
		3. The brief facts of the suit pending trial in the Civil	
		Court are such that plaintiff being owner in possession of	
		landed property of 01 Jerib and 01 Kanal situated at Badan	
		Kalay Mala Khel has entered into agreement to sell with	
		the defendant against sale consideration of Rs. 435,000/-	
		vide agreement dated 11-06-2006. An amount of Rs.	
		150,000/- has been payed and the balance amount was	
		promised to be paid. Vacant possession of suit property	
		was delivered to defendant but so far, neither balance	
		amount has been paid nor has the income of suit property	
		been shared with the plaintiff. It was prayed in suit for	
		declaration and recovery of money that defendant may be	
		directed to pay back the market price of the property as Rs.	
		1,500,000/- or rescission of contract may be made.	
		4. Defendant on appearance negated the stance of the	
	γ /	plaintiff and had taken specific plea that he is owner in	
		possession of the property and plaintiff has got no nexus	
	-000	with the suit property. The agreement to sell dated 11-06-	
ED FA	ZAL WADOOD S Sessions Judge B Sessions Judge B Sessions Judge	2006 was termed bogus and fake.	
SAY District Addl: District	ZAL WADOOD & Sessions Judge & sai at Hangu	5. The learned Trial Judge had accepted application for	

1 | Page



grant of temporary injunction vide Order No.13 dated 19-02-2022. The defendant being aggrieved filed instant Misc. Civil Appeal, which is under consideration.

- 6. Mr. Zahoor ur Rehman Advocate while opening the appeal has stated before the Court that the property is ancestral property of the appellant/defendant and he has no intention of the transfer of property. The appellant being present in person has confirmed statement at the bar of learned counsel representing defendant.
- 7. Mr. Abid Ali Advocate representing respondent/plaintiff that if the defendant is not going to further transfer the property in dispute, he will be having no objection over the possession or utilization of the same by appellant.
- 8. In the light of above discussed facts and circumstances of the case followed by mutual consent of the parties and without touching merits of the case, this Court holds that the defendant will not transfer the property and that will continue his possession and utilization for preserving status quo in accordance with the law.
- 9. Instant Civil Miscellaneous appeal is disposed of accordingly. Requisitioned record be returned with the copy of this Order while file of this Court be consigned to the District Record Room Orakzai after completion and compilation, within the span allowed for.

Announced in open Court

Sayed Fazal Wadood, AD&SJ, Orakzai at Baber Mela