

IN THE COURT OF REHMAT ULLAH WAZIR,

SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Civil Suit No.

64/1(neem) of 2021

Date of Original Institution:

30.10.2021

Date of Remand Back:

18.05.2022

Date of Decision:

27.05.2022

1. Izat Khan

- 2. Abdul Qadir
- 3. Muhammad Zaleeb
- 4. Abdul Aziz Sons of Meen Haider
- 5. Multana
- 6. Yasmin Bibi
- 7. Totia Gula
- 8. Khyal War Jan
- 9. Bibi Maliya Meena Daughters of Meen Haider
- 10. Sultan Begum w/o Meen Haider

(Plaintiffs)

VERSUS

- 1. Chairman NADRA, Islamabad, Pakistan.
- Director General NADRA, KPK, Peshawar. 2.
- Assistant Director, NADRA, District Orakzai.

(Defendants)

SUIT FOR DECLARATION -CUM- PERPETUAL AND HMAT WLLAH WAZIR MANDATORY INJUNCTION

nior Civil Judge 1994, Orakzai at Baber in ola **JUDGEMENT:**

Plaintiffs Izat Khan and others have brought the instant 1. suit for declaration-cum-perpetual and mandatory injunction against the defendants to the effect that the correct name of the father of the plaintiffs no. 01 to 09 and the husband of the plaintiff no. 10 is Meen Haider while it has been wrongly mentioned as Yar Akbar in the column of father of some of the

86

plaintiffs and Gul Haider in the record of the rest of the plaintiffs, which is wrong and ineffective upon the rights of the plaintiffs and is liable to correction. That the defendants were asked time and again for correction of father's name of the plaintiffs but they refused to do so, hence the present suit;

- 2. The case is remanded back to the undersigned by the worthy District & Sessions Judge, Orakzai vide judgment, Dated: 18.05.2022 upon the appeal of the defendants for recording DW statement.
- 3. Divergent pleadings of the parties were reduced into the following issues;

Issues:

- 1. Whether the plaintiffs have got cause of action?
- Whether the correct name of the father of the plaintiffs no. 01 to 05 and husband of plaintiff no. 06 is Meen Haider while it has been and husband of plaintiff no. 06 is Meen Haider while it has been the civil judget while civil judget while it has been the civ
 - 3. Whether the correct name of the father of the plaintiffs no. 07 to 10 is Meen Haider while defendants have wrongly entered as Meer Haider in their record?
 - 4. Whether the correct name of the mother of the plaintiffs no. 01 to 05 is Sultan Begum and of the plaintiffs no. 07 to 10 is Kimya Gulla?
 - 5. Whether plaintiffs are entitled to the decree as prayed for?
 - 6. Relief?

Parties were given an opportunity to produce evidence, which they did accordingly.



Issue wise findings of this court are as under: -

<u>Issue No. 02 & 03</u>:

4. Both these issues are interlinked, hence, taken together for discussion.

The plaintiffs alleged in their plaint the correct name of the father of the plaintiffs no. 01 to 09 and the husband of the plaintiff no. 10 is Meen Haider while it has been wrongly mentioned as Yar Akbar in the column of father of some of the plaintiffs and Gul Haider in the record of the rest of the plaintiffs, which is wrong and ineffective upon the rights of the plaintiffs and is liable to correction. That the defendants were asked time and again for correction of father's name of the plaintiffs but they refused to do so, hence the present suit;

Plaintiffs in support of their contention, produced

EHMAT ILLAH WALLAH WALL

(88 gg

Zaleeb, Multana and Yasmin Bibi. That the defendants have wrongly mentioned the name of his paternal cousin namely Yar Akbar as the father of the plaintiffs no. 01 to 05 and the husband of plaintiff no. 06. The father's name of the plaintiffs no. 07 namely Abdul Aziz is wrongly mentioned as Gul Haider in the record of the defendants who is actually the paternal uncle of plaintiff no. 07 and exhibited his CNIC as Ex.PW-1/2. Further, Yar Akbar appeared as PW-02 who also supported the stance of the plaintiffs by narrating the same story as narrated by the PW-01 and exhibited his CNIC as Ex.PW-2/1. Further, Khyal Haider appeared as PW-03 who also supported the stance of the plaintiffs and exhibited his CNIC as Ex.PW-3/1.

The defendants produced only one witness, the modern the defendants namely Syed Farhat Abbas appeared as DW-01, who produced the Family Tree of the plaintiffs which is Ex.DW-1/1 and further fully denied the claim of the plaintiffs.

Arguments heard and record perused.

After hearing of arguments and perusal of record, I am of the opinion that the plaintiffs established their claim through oral evidence. Also, the DW has admitted in his crossexamination that due to illiteracy, the plaintiffs have done

82

wrong entries; therefore, both these issues are decided in positive.

Issue No. 04:

This issue has neither been pressed nor proved by the plaintiffs, hence, left redundant and disposed off accordingly.

Issue No. 01 & 05:

Both these issues are interlinked, hence, taken together for discussion.

As sequel to my findings on issue No. 2, the plaintiffs have got a cause of action and therefore entitled to the decree as prayed for. Both these issues are decided in positive.

RELIEF:

As sequel to my above issue wise findings, the suit of the plaintiffs is hereby decreed as prayed for with no order as to costs.

File be consigned to the Record Room after its completion and compilation.

Announced 27.05.2022

Senior Silvi Jakige, Orakzai (at Baber Mela)

70 SATE

CERTIFICATE

Certified that this judgment of mine consists of Six

(06) pages, each has been checked, corrected where necessary and signed by me.

(Rehmat Ullah Wazir) WAZIR Senior Civil Judge Judge JM, Orakzai (ataBaber Mela)