

IN THE COURT OF REHMAT ULLAH WAZIR, CIVIL JUDGE-I, CAMP COURT, KALAYA, ORAKZAI

Civil Suit No: Date of Institution: Date of Decision: 183/1 of 2021 03/11/2021 25/03/2022

Muhammad Azeem S/O Ali Mat Khan

R/O Qaum Bar Muhammad Khel, Tappa Khudaid Khel, Tehsil Lower, District Orakzai.

(Plaintiff)

VERSUS

- 1. Chairman, NADRA, Islamabad.
- 2. Director, General NADRA KPK Peshawar.
- 3. Assistant Director, NADRA District Orakzai.

(Defendants)

SUIT FOR DECLARATION, PERMANENT AND MANDATORY INJUNCTION

SUMMARY JUDGEMENT: 25.03.2022

 Brief facts of the case in hand are that the plaintiff, Muhammad Azeem, has brought the instant suit for declaration, permanent and mandatory injunction against the defendants, referred hereinabove, seeking declaration therein that his correct date of birth is 09.09.1990 according to his
Service Record in the Frontier Constabulary, while it has been wrongly mentioned as 1982 in his CNIC by the defendants, which is wrong, ineffective upon the rights of the plaintiff and liable to correct the date of birth of plaintiff but they refused, hence, the instant suit. Defendants were

Case Title: Muhammad Azeem VS NADRA Case No. 183/1 of 202¹ Page 1 of 4

summoned, who appeared through their representative namely. Syed Farhat Abbas, who submitted written statement.

- 2. During the scheduling conference within the meaning of order IX-A of CPC, it was revealed that the matter involved in the instant case is very petty in nature, which can be decided through summary judgement as per relevant record. To this effect notice was given to the parties that why not the case in hand be decided on the basis of available record without recording lengthy evidence, as the primary aim and objective of Amended Management Rules in CPC is, "to enable the court to
 - a. Deal with the cases justly and fairly;
 - b. Encourage parties to alternate dispute resolution procedure if it considers appropriate;
 - c. Save expense and time both of courts and litigants; and
 - d. Enforce compliance with provisions of this Code."

Learned counsel for plaintiff and representative for defendants heard and record gone through.



Accordingly, a letter issued by District Officer Frontier Constabulary, Darazinda on 04.03.2022 to the Manager NADRA Office, Kalaya is produced by the plaintiff where by it is categorically mentioned that according to the Service Record, the date of birth of the plaintiff is **09.09.1990**.

3. Record reveals that plaintiff through instant suit is seeking correction of his date of birth to the effect that his correct date of birth is **09.09.1990** according to Service Record,

Case Title: Muhammad Azeem VS NADRA Case No. 183/1 of 202 Page 2 of 4

while it has been wrongly mentioned as 1982 in his CNIC by the defendants, which is wrong, ineffective upon the rights of the plaintiff and liable to correction. Plaintiff in support of his contention produced the letter of District Officer Frontier Constabulary, Darazinda on 04.03.2022 to the Manager NADRA Office, Kalaya wherein the date of birth of the plaintiff has been mentioned as 09.09.1990. So, the Service Record of the plaintiff clearly negates the incorporation of his date of birth as 1982 in his CNIC. Further, there is no countered document available with the defendants to rebut the documents produced by the plaintiff in support of his stance. Also, the correction of date of birth of the plaintiff will not adversely affect the right of any third person rather it will benefit the plaintiff who is a poor person serving as a constable. Hence, in these circumstances, the said document is admissible and reliance is placed on them and are sufficient to decide the fate of the case and no further wifevidence is required to be produced by the parties. So, the available record clearly establishes the claim of the plaintiff. Consequently, upon what has been discussed above and the jurisdiction vested in this court under order IX-A and XV-A

2?

of CPC, suit of the plaintiff succeeds and is hereby decreed as prayed for. Defendants are directed to correct the date of birth of plaintiff as **09.09.1990** in their record and in the CNIC of the plaintiff.

Case Title: Muhammad Azeem VS NADRA Case No. 183/1 of 202 Page 3 of 4



- 5. Parties are left to bear their own costs.
- 6. File be consigned to the record room after its necessary completion and compilation.

Announced 25.03.2022

(Rehmat Ullah Wazir) Civil Judge-I, Camp Court, Kalaya, Orakzai

<u>CERTIFICATE</u>

Certified that this judgment consists of 04 (four)

pages, each has been checked, corrected where necessary and signed by me.

(Rehmat Ullah Wazir) Civil Judge-I, Camp Court, Kalaya, Orakzai

Case Title: Muhammad Azeem VS NADRA

Page 4 of 4