IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Case Title: Muhammad Sahib etc VS State etc



	,	
Serial No of	Date of	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or
order or proceedings	Order Proceedings	Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings 1	2	3
Order No.04	20.11.2023	DPP, Umar Niaz for the State present.
		Accused/petitioner, Muhammad Ajmal on ad-interim
		pre-arrest bail in person present. Attested copies of record
		received. Complainant Muhammad Ilyas not present.
		Fresh notice be issued to complainant for 04.12.2023. (SHAUKAT AHMAD KHAN) Sessions Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela
Order No.05	04.12.2023	DPP, Umar Niaz for the State present.
		Accused/petitioner, Muhammad Ajmal on ad-interim
		pre-arrest bail in person present. Original record received.
		Complainant Muhammad Ilyas present in person. He does
•		not want to engage a private counsel. Accused/petitioner
·		seeks time to produce his counsel. Allowed. Case file be
	•	put up for arguments on 11.12.2023.
		(SYED OBALBUZLAH SHAH) Sessions Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela
Order No.06	11.12.2023	DPP, Umar Niaz for the State present.
		Accused/petitioner, Muhammad Ajmal on ad-interim
		pre-arrest bail along with counsel present. Complainant
		Muhammad Ilyas not present.
		The accused/petitioner, Muhammad Ajmal, seeks
		confirmation of his ad-interim pre-arrest bail in case FIR

FIR no. 22, Dated: 29_10-23 380/34





Serial No of order or	Date of Order	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings 1	Proceedings 2	3
Contin. Order No.06	-	No. 22, Dated 29.10.2023, u/s 380/34 PPC of Police
Order No.00		Station Dabori wherein, as per contents of FIR, the
		complainant vide naqal mad no. 19 of 07.10.2023 made a
		report to the police to the fact that he had entered into a
		business agreement with the accused, Muhammad Sahib
		pertaining to the construction of a private school,
		inclusive of its boundary wall, and an adjoining general
		store. Subsequently, the school and the general store were
		constructed; however, the school was closed three months
		later owing to low number of enrollments. Following
		discussions between the complainant and the accused, it
		was mutually decided to repurpose the land for the
		construction of a block factory. The necessary materials
		for this purpose were stored in the general store. Dispute
		between the accused and the complainant arose when the
	A st.	accused told the complainant to vacate the same but he
	3 - 2 2	refused. Whereafter, a civil litigation was filed which was
	/	decided in favour of the complainant and the construction
	dal "su	work was started again. But on the day of occurrence,
A.	My Obaldullands	apon arrival of him (complainant) at the factory, he found
	District & at l	work was started again. But on the day of occurrence, work was started again. But on the day of occurrence, work was started again. But on the day of occurrence, the started again. But on the day of occurrence, the started again. But on the day of occurrence, the started again. But on the day of occurrence, the started again. But on the day of occurrence, the started again. But on the day of occurrence, the started again. But on the day of occurrence, the started again. But on the day of occurrence, the started again. But on the day of occurrence, the started again. But on the day of occurrence, the started again. But on the day of occurrence, the started again. But on the day of occurrence, the started again. But on the day of occurrence, the started again. But on the day of occurrence, the started again. But on the day of occurrence, the started again. But on the started again. But of started again. But of started again. But of started ag
•		ASHO was directed to inquire the matter which followed
		the nomination of the accused Muhammad Sahib and the

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA



Case Title: Muhammad Salub etc VS

Serial No of	Date of	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or
order or	Order	Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
1	2	3
Contin. Order No.06	v	accused/petitioner Muhammad Ajmal. Hence, the
		present FIR.
		Perusal of the case file reveals that the
*10		accused/petitioner Muhammad Ajmal has not been
:		charged in the FIR rather he has been nominated as
		accused but that too after about 14 days and no recovery
		has been made from possession of the accused/petitioner.
		Moreover, there is a civil dispute between the parties over
		the landed property; therefore, malafid and false
		implication of the accused/petitioners cannot be ruled out.
		The prosecution has failed to elucidate the consequential
		purpose that would be served by committing the
		accused/petitioner to police custody.
		Therefore, in the light of above, the bail petition in
		hand stands accepted and ad-interim pre-arrest bail earlier
		granted to the accused/petitioner Muhammad Ajmal
	JUO GE DRAY Z	stands confirmed on the strength of existing bail bonds.
		Order announced. File of this court be consigned to
55IONS		record room after its necessary completion and
CT&		compilation.
BYSIO *		Dated: 11.12.2023
		(SYED OBAJDULLAH SHAH)
		Session's Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela
		,

FIR no. <u>22</u>, Dated: _

29.10.23, u/s 380/34

,PS <u>Dabori</u>