IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE ORAKZAI, AT BABAR MELA

BBA No. 105/4 of 2023 Siraj Ahmed Vs State

Siraj Ahmed Vs State Serial No of Date of Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or		
order or	Date of Order	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary
proceedings	Proceedings	istagistrate and that of parties of counsel where necessary
1	2	3
Order No.4	06:12.2023	Accused/petitioners on ad-interim pre-arrest bail along
		with counsel and Dy PP for State are in attendance.
		2. Accused/petitioner Siraj Ahmed s/o Naib Ahmed is
		seeking confirmation of his bail before arrest provisionally
		granted in case FIR no. 23 dated: 08.11.2023 under sections
		341/120-B/291/148/149 of Ghiljo Police Station, Orakzai.
		3. Brief facts of the case as per report are that four persons
	-	namely Muhammad Tahir, Malik Haji Shakeel, Najeeb-ur-
		Rehman and Malik Zaliman Shah had organized an unlawful
		protest on 06.11.2023, blocked the main Ghiljo, Dabori and
		Mamozai roads, which not only caused public nuisance as well
		as violated the directives of Home & Tribal Department; that
		the said protest was arranged without intimation and prior
		approval from the concerned administrations, which act of the
activity of a training a series of a serie	A A A Store Mela	said persons endangered the lives of citizens, hence, FIR.
		4. Arguments heard and record perused.
		5. On tentative assessment of record, it reveals that the
		incident has not been witnessed by any independent witness.
		Neither script of the alleged speech nor the photography and
		videography of the procession have been collected. There is no
		evidence except the mere allegation which is not sufficient for
		basing judicial determination. Moreso, perusal of record reveals
		that accused/petitioner has been charged for the commission of
		offence but neither the accused/petitioner was arrested on the
		spot nor anything incriminating has been recovered from his
	N at	direct possession.
		6. During arguments, learned counsel for accused/petitioner
		submitted copy of judgement and order dated 11.08.2023 of the
		court of august Peshawar High Court, Peshawar passed in CM
		no.1590-P of 2023 ancillary to WP-2836-P/2023, which reflects
		that one of the accused namely Shakeel Muhammad was
		allowed bail, with direction to submission of surety bonds to the
		satisfaction of Deputy Commissioner concerned, however, the
		later did not attest the bail bonds, whereat, the said accused filed
	-	above referred miscellaneous petition before august Peshawar

High Court, Peshawar which was allowed and bail bonds of the said accused were directed to be produced before the learned District & Sessions Judge/Additional District & Sessions Judge of Judicial Magistrate on duty for attestation after his due satisfaction, which shows malafide on the part of prosecution as well as false implication of accused/petitioner in the instant case with ulterior motive.

- 7. Importantly considerations for grant of pre-arrest bail are not at all different from the considerations for grant of post arrest bail, as far as merits of the case are concerned. The only difference is that there must be additional basis of humiliations, harassment, malafide, intention to disgrace and dishonor. If a person is otherwise entitled to bail, no useful purpose shall be served by putting him firstly behind bars and then allowing him bail. Court has to keep a balance, therefore, if a fit case for grant of bail is made out, bail before arrest can be allowed in appropriate cases. (Reliance is placed on 2012 PCrLJ 430 [Sindh]). Similarly arrest for ulterior motives such as humiliation and unjustified harassment is a valid consideration for grant of pre-arrest bail. (Reliance is placed on 1993 PCrLJ 446. 2008 MLD 805 [Karachi]).
- 8. Resultantly, the application submitted by accused/petitioner for pre-arrest bail is hereby allowed and adinterim bail already granted to him is confirmed on the existing bail bonds. Copy of this order be placed on judicial and police files for record.
- 9. Record be returned and file of this court consigned to the record room after its completion and compilation.

Announced 06.12.2023

1

Abdul Basit
Addl. Sessions Judge

Orakzai