## Mst. Shahoora Jan Vs NADRA Page 1 of 5

# IN THE COURT OF ZAHIR KHAN

Civil Judge-I, Kalaya, Orakzai

	Suit No
	Date of Institution
	Date of Decision23.12.2023.
	Mst. Shahoora Jan W/O Daftar Ali R/O Qaum Bar Muhammad Khel,
	Tappa Khwaidad Khal, Dargayi, Tehsil Lower, District Orakzai.
	(Plaintiff)
	<u>Versus</u>
1.	Assistant Director NADRA, District Orakzai.
2.	Director General NADRA, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
3.	Chairman NADRA, Islamabad.
	(Defendants)
5	SUIT FOR DECLARATION & PERMANENT INJUNCTION

# JUDGEMENT 23.12.2023

Through this judgement, I am going to dispose of the instant suit filed by plaintiff namely Mst. Shahoora Jan against defendant Assistant Director NADRA, District Orakzai and two others for declaration and permanent injunction.

ZAHIR KHAN Civil Judge/JM Kalaya Orakzai 23/12/023 Brief facts in the backdrop are that plaintiff has filed the instant suit for declaration cum-permanent injunction to the effect that her true and correct date of birth is 01.01.1965, however, defendants have incorrectly entered the same as 01.01.1980 which is wrong, illegal and ineffective upon the rights of plaintiff and liable to be rectified.

23-21

#### Mst. Shahoora Jan Vs NADRA Page 2 of 5

That due to this wrong entry, there is unnatural age difference of about 03 years between plaintiff and her elder daughter namely Mst. Azmeena Jan whose date of birth, as per CNIC, is 01.01.1983. That defendants were asked time and again to rectify date of birth of plaintiff but in vain hence, the present suit.

After institution of the suit, defendants were summoned, who marked their attendance through representative and contested the suit by filing authority letter and written statement.

From divergent pleadings of the parties, the following issues were framed for adjudication of real controversy between the parties.

The controversial pleadings of the parties were reduced into the following issues:

# <u>ISSUES</u>

- 1. Whether plaintiff has got cause of action? OPP
- 2. Whether suit of plaintiff is within time?
- 3. Whether correct date of birth of plaintiff is 01.01.1965 and defendants have wrongly and incorrectly entered the same as 01.01.1980? OPP
- 4. Whether plaintiff is entitled to the decree as prayed for? OPP
- 5. Relief.

ZAHIR KHAN Civil Judge/JM Kalaya Orakzai

23/12/023

## Mst. Shahoora Jan Vs NADRA Page 3 of 5

Upon submission of list of witnesses, both the parties on being provided with an opportunity to adduce their desired evidence, the parties produced their respective evidence.

After the completion of evidence, arguments of the learned counsel for the parties were heard and record of the case file was gone through with their valuable assistance.

Plaintiff produced one witness in support of her claim while defendants produced one witness in defense.

Nizam Ali, nephew and special attorney of plaintiff appeared and deposed as PW-01. He reiterated the averments of plaint. Special power of attorney is Ex.PW-1/1, copy of CNIC of plaintiff is Ex. PW-1/2, copy of CNIC of daughter of plaintiff is Ex.PW-1/3 and copy of MNIC of plaintiff is Ex. PW-1/4.

Thereafter, evidence of plaintiff was closed.

Irfan Hussain (Representative of NADRA, Orakzai) appeared as DW-01. He stated that plaintiff has been issued CNIC as per information provided by her and that she has got no cause of action. He produced authority letter which is Ex. DW-1/1.

Thereafter, evidence of defendants was closed.

The above discussion boils down to my following issue-wise findings.

#### **ISSUE NO.2**

ZAHIR KHAN Civil Judge/JM Kalaya Orakzai 23/17/02 3



#### Mst. Shahoora Jan Vs NADRA Page 4 of 5

Plaintiff has been issued CNIC on 11.06.2021 with expiry date of 11.06.2031 while suit in hand was filed on 11.12.2023. In plethora of judgments of the Apex Superior Courts, it is held that every wrong entry will accrue fresh cause of action. As period of limitation under Article 120 of Limitation Act is six years, therefore, suit of plaintiff is held to be within time. Issue No. 2 decided in positive.

# **ISSUE NO.3**

Claim and contention of plaintiff is that her true and correct date of birth is 01.01.1965, however, defendants have incorrectly entered the same as 01.01.1980 which is wrong, illegal and ineffective upon the rights of plaintiff and liable to be rectified. That due to this wrong entry, there is unnatural age difference of about 03 years between plaintiff and her elder daughter namely Mst. Azmeena Jan whose date of birth, as per CNIC, is 01.01.1983. Plaintiff documentary evidence in support of her stance in shape of Ex.PW-1/2, Ex.PW-1/3 and Ex.PW-1/4. Ex.PW-1/4 is copy of MNIC of plaintiff bearing date of birth of plaintiff as 1965. Secondly, per record, there is unnatural age difference of about 03 years between plaintiff and her daughter. Plaintiff is not a government servant. The rectification/modification sought by plaintiff will not affect rights of others. If date of birth of plaintiff is not modified, it will result into inconvenience to plaintiff and her family members. Furthermore, DW-01 stated in his cross

ZAHIR KHAN

ZAHIR KHAN Civil Judge/JM Kalaya Orakzai 33/12/023

25 27

#### Mst. Shahoora Jan Vs NADRA Page **5** of **5**

examination that there must be at least 17/18 years age difference between parents and children.

Keeping in view the above discussion, documentary as well as oral evidence available on file. Issue No. 3 decided in favor of plaintiff against the defendants.

## **ISSUE NO.1 & 4.**

In the light of foregoing discussion, it is held that plaintiff has got cause of action and is entitled to the decree, as prayed for. Both these issues are decided in positive in favor of plaintiff.

## **RELIEF:**

Crux of my issue wise discussion is that suit of the plaintiff is hereby decreed in her favor against the defendants as prayed for. No order as to cost. This decree shall not affect the rights of other persons interested, if any.

File be consigned to record room after its necessary completion and compilation.

<u>ANNOUNCED</u> 23 12 2023

Zahir Khan
Civil Judge-I, Kalaya, Orakzai

#### **CERTIFICATE**

It is certified that this judgment consists of 05 pages. Each page has been dictated, read, corrected and signed by me.

Zahir Khan
Civil Judge-I, Kalaya, Orakzai