

**IN THE COURT OF ZAHIR KHAN**  
Civil Judge-I, Kalaya, Orakzai

Suit No.....63/1 of 2023.

Date of Institution.....27.11.2023

Date of Decision.....13.12.2023.

=====

Mst. Ghazi Marjan Wd/O Nizrab Khan R/O Qaum Feroz Khel, Tappa  
Ghairat Khel, Dawood Khel, Tehsil Lower, District  
.....(*Plaintiff*)

Versus

1. Chairman NADRA, Islamabad.
2. Director General NADRA, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
3. Assistant Director NADRA, District Orakzai.

..... (*Defendants*)

=====

**SUIT FOR DECLARATION & PERMANENT INJUNCTION**

=====

**JUDGEMENT**

13.12.2023

Through this judgement, I am going to dispose of the instant  
suit filed by plaintiff namely Mst. Ghazi Marjan against defendant  
Chairman NADRA, Islamabad and two others for declaration and  
permanent injunction.

Brief facts in the backdrop are that plaintiff has filed the  
instant suit for declaration cum-permanent injunction to the effect that  
her true and correct date of birth is 01.01.1949, however, defendants  
have incorrectly entered the same as 01.01.1960 which is wrong, illegal  
and ineffective upon the rights of plaintiff and liable to be rectified.

That due to this wrong entry, there is unnatural age difference of about



**ZAHIR KHAN**  
**Civil Judge/JM**  
**Kalaya Orakzai**

13/12/23

07 years between plaintiff and her elder son namely Rabia Gul whose date of birth, as per CNIC is 20.12.1967. Similarly, there is unnatural age difference of about 13 years between plaintiff and her daughter namely Mula Khela Bibi whose date of birth, as per Family Registration Certificate is 01.01.1973. That defendants were asked time and again to rectify date of birth of plaintiff but in vain hence, the present suit.

After institution of the suit, defendants were summoned, who marked their attendance through representative and contested the suit by filing authority letter and written statement.

From divergent pleadings of the parties, the following issues were framed for adjudication of real controversy between the parties. The controversial pleadings of the parties were reduced into the following issues:

**ISSUES**

1. Whether plaintiff has got cause of action? OPP
2. Whether suit of plaintiff is within time?
3. Whether correct date of birth of plaintiff is 01.01.1949 and defendants have incorrectly and wrongly entered the same as 01.01.1960? OPP
4. Whether plaintiff is entitled to the decree as prayed for? OPP
5. Relief.

  
**ZAHIR KHAN**  
Civil Judge/JM  
Kalaya Orakzai

13/12/23

38

Upon submission of list of witnesses, both the parties on being provided with an opportunity to adduce their desired evidence, the parties produced their respective evidence.

After the completion of evidence, arguments of the learned counsel for the parties were heard and record of the case file was gone through with their valuable assistance.

Plaintiff produced two witnesses in support of his claim while defendants produced one witness in defense.

Sher Baz Khan/son of plaintiff appeared and deposed as PW-01. He produced special power of attorney as Ex.PZ, copy of CNIC of his brother namely Rabia Gul is Ex.PW-1/1, Copy of CNIC of plaintiff is Ex.PW-1/2, FRC is Ex.PW-1/3, copy of death certificate of his father is Ex.PW-1/4 and copy of his CNIC is Ex.PW-1/5.


Silab Khan, appeared and deposed as PW-02. He reiterated the averments of plaint. Copy of his CNIC is Ex.PW-2/1.

Thereafter, evidence of plaintiff was closed.

Irfan Hussain (Representative of NADRA, Orakzai) appeared as DW-01. He stated that plaintiff has been issued CNIC as per information provided by her and that she has got no cause of action. He produced authority letter which is Ex. DW-1/1.

Thereafter, evidence of defendants was closed.

The above discussion boils down to my following issue-wise findings.

  
**ZAHIR KHAN**  
Civil Judge/JM  
Kalaya Orakzai


13/12/23

ISSUE NO.2

Plaintiff has been issued CNIC on 03.07.2020 with life time expiry date while suit in hand was filed on 27.11.2023. In plethora of judgments of the Apex Superior Courts, it is held that every wrong entry will accrue fresh cause of action. As period of limitation under Article 120 of Limitation Act is six years, therefore, suit of plaintiff is held to be within time. Issue No. 2 decided in positive.

ISSUE NO.3

Claim and contention of plaintiff is that her true and correct date of birth is 01.01.1949, however, defendants have incorrectly entered the same as 01.01.1960 which is wrong, illegal and ineffective upon the rights of plaintiff and liable to be rectified. That due to this wrong entry, there is unnatural age difference of about 07 years between plaintiff and her elder son namely Rabia Gul whose date of birth, as per CNIC is 20.12.1967. Similarly, there is unnatural age difference of about 13 years between plaintiff and her daughter namely Mula Khela Bibi whose date of birth, as per Family Registration Certificate, is 01.01.1973. Plaintiff is not a government servant. The rectification/modification sought by plaintiff will not affect rights of others. If date of birth of plaintiff is not modified, it will result into inconvenience to plaintiff and her family members.

  
**ZAHIR KHAN**  
Civil Judge/JM  
Kalaya Orakzai

13/12/23

Keeping in view the above discussion, documentary as well as oral evidence available on file. Issue No. 3 decided in favor of plaintiff against the defendants.

**ISSUE NO.1 & 4.**


In the light of foregoing discussion, it is held that plaintiff has got cause of action and is entitled to the decree, as prayed for. Both these issues are decided in positive in favor of plaintiff.

**RELIEF:**

Crux of my issue wise discussion is that suit of the plaintiff is hereby decreed in her favor against the defendants as prayed for. No order as to cost. This decree shall not affect the rights of other persons interested, if any. This decree shall not effect service record of the plaintiff if any.

File be consigned to record room after its necessary completion and compilation.


**ANNOUNCED**  
13.12.2023



**Zahir Khan**  
Civil Judge-I, Kalaya, Orakzai

**CERTIFICATE**

It is certified that this judgment consists of 05 pages. Each page has been dictated, read, corrected and signed by me.



**Zahir Khan**  
Civil Judge-I, Kalaya, Orakzai