

•

| Serial No of order or proceedings | Date of Order Proceedings | Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary. |
|---|---------------------------------|---|
| Order No.06 | 13.06.2022 | |
| | 13.00.2022 | DPP, Umar Niaz for the State present. Accused/petitioners, Umar Hayat, Jamshid Khan, Khwaja Muhammad and Imran Khan on ad-interim |
| | | pre-arrest bail present. Complainant Jamshid Afridi |
| | | present in person. Arguments could not be heard due to |
| | | strike of lawyers. Adjourned. Case file be put up for |
| | | arguments on 16.06.2022. (SHAUKAT AHMAD KHAN) Sessions Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela |
| Order No.07 | 16.06.2022 | DPP, Umar Niaz for the State and Jabir Hussain |
| | | Advocate for complainant present. Accused/petitioners, |
| | | Umar Hayat, Jamshid Khan, Imran Khan and |
| | | Khwaja Muhammad on ad-interim pre-arrest bail with |
| | | Abid Ali Advocate present. Complainant Jamshid Afridi |
| | | present in person. Arguments heard. |
| | | The accused/petitioners, Umar Hayat s/o Ain |
| ``. | | Ullah, Jamshid Khan s/o Khwaja Muhammad, Imran |
| | 10 | Khan s/o Sultan and Khwaja Muhammad s/o Atiq |
| | 6/06/2 | Ullah seek confirmation of their ad-interim pre-arrest bail |
| | : | in case FIR no. 50 dated 19.05.2022 u/s 504/384/341/34 |
| | | PPC of Police Station Kalaya, wherein as per contents of |
| | | FIR, the complainant Jamshid Afridi has made a report to the police to the fact that on 13.05.2022 at about 1530 |
| 3 | | hours, he was on his way towards Rang Dara driving his |

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

6

Case Title: Umer Hayat etc vs State etc

| Serial No of | Date of | Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or |
|---------------------|---------------|---|
| order or | Order | Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary. |
| proceedings | Proceedings | 3 |
| 1 Cti | 2 | |
| Contin. Order No.07 | | tractor loaded with bricks, for construction of a |
| | | government approved scheme of a tube well when |
| | | reached Qudoos Kalay, the present accused/petitioners |
| | | stopped him, forcefully took him to their house, made him |
| | | parked the tractor in their house and repelled him to his |
| | | house. The report of the complainant was scribed vide |
| | : | Daily Dairy No. 7 of 13.05.2022 and the matter was |
| | | referred to the SHO for inquiry. On 19.05.2022 the instant |
| | | FIR was registered. |
| | | Perusal of the case file shows that though the |
| | | accused/petitioners are directly nominated in the instant |
| | | FIR; however, the offences for which the |
| | | accused/petitioners are charged are bailable in nature and |
| | | committing of the accused/petitioners to police custody |
| | | for enabling them to be released on post-arrest bail, would |
| | | serve no useful purpose of the prosecution except the |
| | | probability of unjustified harassment at the hands of |
| | | police. |
| | | Therefore, in the light of above, the bail petition in |
| | | hand stands accepted and ad-interim pre-arrest bail earlier |
| | 22000 | granted to the accused/petitioners stands confirmed on the |
| | | strength of existing bail bonds. Consign. |
| | | Pronounced: 16.06.2022 |
| | in the summer | (SHAUKAT AHMAD KHAN) Sessions Judge Orelysi |

FIR no. 50, Dated: 19. 05 22, u/s 504/384/34/34 ppc PS Kalaya

Sessions Judge, Orakzai