




Serial No of order or proceedings	Date of Order Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
Order No.02	27.04.2022	<p>DPP, Umar Niaz for the State present. Accused/petitioner, <b>Janat Noor</b> s/o Sahib Noor on ad-interim pre-arrest bail present. Complainant Mirza Ali present in person. Mr. Zafran Ullah Jaan Advocate present and submitted Wakalatnama on behalf of complainant. Placed on file. He seeks adjournment for arguments. Allowed. Case file be put up for arguments on 29.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">   <b>(SHAUKAT AHMAD KHAN)</b>  Sessions Judge, Orakzai  at Baber Mela </p>
Order No.03	29.04.2022	<p>DPP, Umar Niaz for the State present. Accused/petitioner, <b>Janat Noor</b> on ad-interim pre-arrest bail alongwith his counsel present. Neither the complainant nor his counsel present. Arguments heard.</p> <p>The accused/petitioner <b>Janat Noor</b> s/o Sahib Noor r/o Section Sheikhan Mishti Mela Orakzai seeks confirmation of his ad-interim pre-arrest bail in case FIR No. 14, dated 19.04.2022, u/s 342 PPC of PS Mishti Mela wherein, as per contents of FIR, the complainant Mirza Ali on 26.03.2022 made a report to the police to the fact that on that day at 1010 hours he was telephonically informed by his brother Hidayat Ali informed that the accused/petitioner has illegally confined him (Hidayat</p> <p style="text-align: left;">   <b>Shaukat Ahmad Khan</b>  District &amp; Sessions Judge  Orakzai at Baber Mela </p>

(4)

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA**

Case Title: Jannat Noor vs State etc.

Serial No of order or proceedings	Date of Order Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
Contin. Order No.03		<p>Ali) at the hujra of his (accused/petitioner). The report of the complainant was scribed vide Daily Diary no. 10 of 26.03.2022 and on 13.04.2022 the instant case was registered against the accused/petitioner.</p> <p>Arguments heard and record perused. Perusal of case file shows that though the accused/petitioner is directly nominated in the FIR; however, the offence for which the accused/petitioner is charged is bailable in nature and the alleged detainee has already been recovered; therefore, the committal of the accused/petitioner to police custody in order to get himself release on post-arrest bail, would serve no useful purpose of the prosecution except the probability of unjust harassment at the hands of police. Moreover, the parties have a dispute regarding payment of some debt; therefore, malafidi on behalf of complainant cannot be ruled out. Accordingly, the BBA petition in hand stands accepted and the ad-interim bail earlier granted to the accused/petitioner is confirmed on the existing bonds.</p> <p>Consign.</p> <p><b>Pronounced:</b> 29.04.2022</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (SHAUKAT AHMAD KHAN) Sessions Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela</p>

