| Serial No of order or | Date of Order | Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or |
|-----------------------|------------------|---|
| proceedings | Proceedings | Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary |
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Order No.02 | 19.04.2022 | DPP, Umar Niaz for the State present. |
| | | Dir, Omar Maz for the State present. |
| | ; | Accused/petitioners, Almas Khan s/o Sultan Akbar, |
| | | Aslam Jaan s/o Nooram Khan, Zarmin Shah s/o |
| | , | Qadameen Shah and Taza Gul s/o Shahid Gul on ad- |
| | | interim pre-arrest bail with Sana Ullah Khan Advocate |
| | | present. Record received. Complainant Sair Muhammad |
| | | Afridi present in person. Mr. Qaisar Siddique Advocate |
| | | present and submitted Wakalatnama on behalf of |
| | | complainant. Placed on file. He seeks time for arguments. |
| | | Allowed. Case file be put up for arguments on |
| | | 26.04.2022. (SHAUKAT AHMAD KHAN) |
| | , | Sessions Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela |
| | | |
| Order No.03 | 26.04.2022 | DPP, Umar Niaz for the State and Qaisar Siddique |
| | : : | Advocate for complainant present. Accused/petitioners, |
| | | Almas Khan, Aslam Jaan, Zarmin Shah and Taza Gul on |
| | 1 | ad-interim pre-arrest bail with Sana Ullah Khan Advocate |
| | | present. Arguments heard |
| | haukat Ahmad Kh | Accused/petitioners, Almas Khan s/o Sultan |
| 5 | Parkar sessing | Akbar, Aslam Jaan s/o Nooram Khan, Zarmin Shah s/o |
| | ' \ | Qadameen Shah and Taza Gul s/o Shahid Gul seek |
| | | confirmation of their ad-interim pre-arrest bail in case |
| | | FIR no. 29, dated 29.03.2022, u/s 324/148/149 PPC of PS |

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Case Title: Almas ledan etc vs State etc



| G : 131 C | T | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Serial No of order or proceedings | Date of Order Proceedings | Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary. |
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Contin. Order No.03 | | Kalaya wherein, as per contents of FIR, on 29.03.2022 the |
| | | SHO alongwith other police officials on receiving |
| | | information about the occurrence reached the spot where |
| | | the complainant at about 08:00 hours reported the matter |
| | | to the police to the fact that on that day at coal mine |
| | | Chapri Feroz Khel Murghiyo Chan he alongwith |
| | | Manager Hidayat Ullah, chowkidar Mir Wali and laborers |
| | | Sardar Hassan and Afsar Khan were present when the |
| | | present accused/petitioners alongwith other unknown |
| | ; | persons duly armed came there and started firing at them, |
| | | but they luckily escaped unhurt. Hence, the present FIR. |
| | | Arguments heard and record perused. The |
| | | accused/petitioners are directly nominated in the FIR. |
| | | Counsel for the accused/petitioners failed to point out any |
| | | malafidi or ulterior motive on behalf of the complainant |
| | | or local police for falsely implicating them in the instant |
| | | case. Hence, the accused/petitioners are not entitled to |
| | | concession of pre-arrest bail at this stage. Therefore, ad- |
| | NOOSE S | interim bail earlier granted to the above-named |
| 100 | | accused/petitioners is hereby recalled and the BBA in |
| (iii (iii (iii | | hand resultantly stands dismissed. Consign. |
| | 3/8/8/0 # OF | Pronounced: 26.04.2022 |
| | | (SHAUKAT AHMAD KHAN) |
| | | Sessions Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela |

FIR no. 29, Dated: 29.3.22, u/s 324//48/149 MC

Kalaya.