




(3)

Serial No of order or proceedings	Date of Order Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary
1	2	3
Order No.02	06.04.2022	<p>DPP, Umar Niaz for the State present. Accused/petitioners, Nigar Hussain and Sakhawat on ad-interim pre-arrest bail in person with Saqib Roshan Advocate present. Complainant Syed Safdar Abbas present in person. Mr. Abid Ali Advocate present and submitted Wakalatnama on behalf of complainant. Placed on file. He seeks time for arguments. Allowed. Case file be put up for arguments on 13.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (SHAUKAT AHMAD KHAN) Sessions Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela</p>
Order No.03	13.04.2022	<p>DPP, Umar Niaz for the State present. Accused/petitioners, Nigar Hussain and Sakhawat on ad-interim pre-arrest bail in person with Saqib Roshan advocate present. Mr. Abid Ali Advocate for the complainant present. Arguments heard and record perused.</p> <p>Through the instant Bail Before Arrest petition, accused/petitioners, Nigar Hussain s/o Noor Hassan and Sakhawat s/o Muhabbat Khan seek confirmation of their ad-interim pre-arrest bail in case</p> <p style="text-align: left;"> Shaukat Ahmad Khan District &amp; Sessions Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela 13/04/22</p>

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA**


4

Case Title: Nigar Hussain etc vs State etc

Serial No of order or proceedings	Date of Order Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
Contin. Order No.03	 Shaukat Ahmad Khan District & Sessions Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela 13/04/22	<p>confirmation of their ad-interim pre-arrest bail in case FIR no. 31 dated 30.03.2022 u/s 504/506/34 PPC of Police Station Kalaya, wherein as per contents of FIR, the complainant Syed Safdar Abbas has made a report to the police to the fact that on 25.03.2012 at about 1400 hours he was present at the shrine of "Baba Syed Payinda Shah" busy in cleanliness of the shrine when in the meanwhile the present accused/petitioners duly armed came there and forcefully made him out of the shrine using abusive language and intimidating him. Motive was stated to be civil dispute between the parties over the shrine before the court of Magistrate. The report of the complainant was scribed vide Daily Dairy No. 9 of 25.03.2022 and the matter was referred to the SHO for inquiry. On 30.03.2022 the instant FIR was registered.</p> <p>Perusal of the case file shows that though, both the accused/petitioners are directly nominated in the instant FIR; however, the offences for which the accused/petitioners are charged are bailable in nature and committing of the accused/petitioners to police custody for enabling them to be released on post-arrest bail, would serve no useful purpose of the</p>

FIR no. 31 Dated: 30.03.22 u/s 504/506/34 PPC, PS Kalaya

5

Serial No of order or proceedings	Date of Order Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
Contin. Order No.03		<p>prosecution except the probability of unjustified harassment at the hands of police. Moreover, a civil dispute between the parties over the shrine is pending; therefore, malafid and false implication of the accused/petitioners cannot be ruled out.</p> <p>Therefore, in the light of above, the bail petition in hand stands accepted and ad-interim pre-arrest bail earlier granted to the accused/petitioners stands confirmed on the strength of existing bail bonds. Consign.</p> <p><b>Pronounced</b> 13.04.2022</p>  <p>(SHAUKAT AHMAD KHAN) Sessions Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela</p>