



IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA


9

Case Title: Muhammad Hassan etc vs State

Serial No of order or proceedings	Date of Order Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
Order No.04	11.04.2022	<p>DPP, Umar Niaz for the State, Insaf Ali Advocate for complainant and Abid Ali & Khursheed Alam Advocates for accused/petitioners present. Accused/petitioners, Muhammad Hassan, Wahid Ali and Jahid Ali on ad-interim bail present. Counsels for both the parties requested for adjournment. Allowed. Case file be put up for arguments on 18.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">  (SHAUKAT AHMAD KHAN) Sessions Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela </p>
Order No.05	18.04.2022	<p>DPP, Umar Niaz for the State, Insaf Ali Advocate for complainant and Abid Ali Advocate for accused/petitioners present. Accused/petitioners, Muhammad Hassan s/o Faqir Hassan, Wahid Ali s/o Keekar Khan and Jahid Ali s/o Wahid Ali, all residents of Mani Khel, Ahmad Khel, District Orakzai on ad-interim bail present. Arguments heard.</p> <p>The above-named accused/petitioners seek confirmation of their ad interim pre-arrest bail in case FIR no. 25, dated 17.03.2022, u/s 506/504/34 PPC of PS Kalaya registered on the basis of application u/s 22-A CrPC wherein, as per contents of application, the complainant made a report to the fact that the accused/petitioners prepared a forged deed in respect of the sale of the property of the complainant, which was refused to be signed by him (complainant) at which the accused/petitioners got furious, used abusive language and criminally intimidated him. Hence, the present FIR.</p> <p>  Shaukat Ahmad Khan District & Sessions Judge Orakzai at Baber Mela </p>

FIR no. 25, Dated: 17.3.22, u/s 506-504-34 PPC, PS Kalaya

5

Serial No of order or proceedings	Date of Order Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
		<p>Arguments heard and record perused. Perusal of the case file shows that though the accused/petitioners are directly nominated in the instant FIR; however, the matter has been reported with a delay of 05 days and there is no eyewitness of the occurrence. Moreover, the accused/petitioners are charged for the offences u/s 504/506 PPC out of which section 504 PPC is bailable while the facts of the case also attracts first part of section 506 PPC which too is bailable. In these circumstances, the committing of the accused/petitioners to police custody for enabling them to get themselves released on post-arrest bail, would serve no useful purpose of the prosecution except the probability of unjustified harassment at the hands of police. Moreover, a dispute between the parties over landed property exists; therefore, malafid and false implication of the accused/petitioners cannot be ruled out.</p> <p>Therefore, in the light of above, the bail petition in hand stands accepted and ad-interim pre-arrest bail earlier granted to the accused/petitioners stands confirmed on the strength of existing bail bonds. Consign.</p> <p>Pronounced: 18.04.2022</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (SHAUKAT AHMAD KHAN) Sessions Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela</p>

