

IN THE COURT OF REHMAT ULLAH WAZIR, CIVIL JUDGE-I, CAMP COURT, KALAYA, ORAKZAI

Civil Suit No.

140/1 of 2021

Date of Institution:

07/04/2021

Date of Transfer in:

07/04/2021

Date of Decision:

17/09/2021

Dodshah Khan S/O Nazir Khan

R/O Qoum Feroz Khel, Tappa Qasim Khel, Tehsil Lower, District: Orakzai.

(Plaintiff)

VERSUS

- 1. Chairman, NADRA, Islamabad.
- 2. Director, General NADRA KPK Peshawar.
- 3. Assistant Director, NADRA District Orakzai.

(Defendants)

SUIT FOR DECLARATION

<u>SUMMARY JUDGEMENT</u>: 17.09.2021

Brief facts of the case in hand are that the plaintiff, **Dodshah**

Khan, has brought the instant suit for declaration cum perpetual and mandatory injunction against the defendants, referred hereinabove, seeking declaration therein that his correct date of birth is 01.01.1964 while it has been wrongly mentioned as 1967 in his CNIC by the defendants, which is wrong, ineffective upon the rights of the plaintiff and liable to correction because the date of birth of his elder son namely Zar Malang bearing CNIC No. 21603-9064388-9 is 1982, thus, there is an un-natural gap of 15 years between the plaintiff and his elder son. That defendants were repeatedly

Case Title: Dodshah Khan VS NADRA,

Case No. 140/1

Page 1 of 3

asked to correct the date of birth of plaintiff but they refused, hence, the instant suit.

- 2. Defendants were summoned, who appeared through their representative namely Syed Farhat Abbas, who submitted written statement.
- During the scheduling conference within the meaning of order IX-A of CPC, it was revealed that the matter involved in the instant case is very petty in nature, which can be decided through summary judgement as per relevant record. To this effect notice was given to the parties that why not the case in hand be decided on the basis of available record without recording lengthy evidence, as the primary aim and objective of Amended Management Rules in CPC is, "to enable the court to
 - a. Deal with the cases justly and fairly;
 - Encourage parties to alternate dispute b.resolution procedure if it considers appropriate;
 - Save expense and time both of courts and litigants; and
 - Enforce compliance with provisions of this Code."

Learned counsel for plaintiff and representative for A defendants heard and record gone through.

4. Record reveals that plaintiff through instant suit is seeking correction of his date of birth to the effect that his correct date of birth is 01.01.1964 while it has been wrongly mentioned as 1967 in his CNIC by the defendants, which is wrong, ineffective upon the rights of the plaintiff and liable to correction. Plaintiff in support of his contention produced

Case Title: Dodshah Khan VS NADRA.

Case No. 140/1

Page 2 of 3

copy of CNIC of his elder son, wherein, there is an unnatural gap of 15 year between the plaintiff and his son and the said document clearly negates the incorporation of his date of birth as 1967 in his CNIC. Further, there is no countered document available with the defendants to rebut the documents produced by the plaintiff in support of his contention. So, the available record clearly establishes the claim of the plaintiff.

- 5. Consequently, upon what has been discussed above and the jurisdiction vested in this court under order IX-A and XV-A of CPC, suit of the plaintiff succeeds and is hereby decreed as prayed for. Defendants are directed to correct the date of birth of plaintiff as 01.01.1964 in their record and in the CNIC of the plaintiff.
- 6. Parties are left to bear their own costs.
- 7. File be consigned to the record room after its necessary completion and compilation.

Announced 17.09.2021

(Rehmat Ullah Wazir)

Civil Judge-I,

Camp Court, Kalaya, Orakzai

CERTIFICATE

Certified that this judgment of mine consists of **03** (Three) pages, each has been checked, corrected where necessary and signed by me.

Case Title: Dodshah Khan VS NADRA

(Rehmat Ullah Wazir)

Civil Judge-I,

Camp Court, Kalaya, Orakzai