IN THE COURT OF REHMAT ULLAH WAZIR, JM-I/MTMC, CAMP COURT, KALAYA, ORAKZAI

SPECIAL CASE NO.:24/2 OF 2021DATE OF ORIGINAL INSTITUTION:13.02.2021DATE OF SUBMISSION OF SUPPLEMENTARY CHALLAN AFTER THEARREST OF THE PRESENT ACCUSED THROUGH PERPETUALWARRANT OF ARREST:10.07.2021DATE OF DECISION:09.11.2021

STATE THROUGH MUHAMMAD IKHLAQ S/O YARBAD SHER R/O QOUM MISHTI, TAPPA DARWI KHEL, L/ORAKZAI.(COMPLAINANT)


-VERSUS-

Muhammad Ayaz S/O Lajbar Khan (R/O QOUM MISHTI, TAPA HAIDER KHEL, VILLAGE MAMA KHEL, DISTRICT L/ORAKZAI)

...... (ACCUSED FACING TRIAL)

Present: Muhammad Nisar, Assistant Public Prosecutor for state present.

Note: Both the counsel for both the parties have already availed their opportunity of arguments vide order no. 14 dated 22.05.2021 (in the main file).



<u>JUDGEMENT</u> 09.11.2021

 Accused facing trial, Muhammad Ayaz present who is charged in case FIR No. 25, Dated 10.04.2020, U/S 436,427,452,148,149 PPC of PS Kalaya, L/Orakzai for mischief by fire or explosive substance with intent to destroy house etc, mischief causing damage to the amount of Rs. 50 or upwards, house tres-pass after preparation for hurt,

FIR. 25 of 2020, PS Kalaya State Vs Muhammad Ayaz Page 1 of 10

(Ib).

assault or wrongful restraint, rioting armed with deadly weapons and on assembling unlawfully for a common object, by entering into the house of the complainant, duly armed and putting the house of the complainant on fire and damaging it.

- 2. Briefly stated factual background of the instant case is that the complainant Muhammad Ikhlaq, reported that he alongwith other family members were present in the house, that on 16:00 hrs, the one Haji Muhammad Ayaz and 20 others, duly armed, entered his house and got them out of the house and put on fire the entire house. That the house was turned into ashes as a result of the fire. The eyewitnesses of the occurrence are the one Habib Ur Rehman, the brother of the complainant and the father of the complainant. That the motive behind the occurrence was that the nephew of the complainant was charged in the murder of the one Faiz Ur Rehman s/o Ajab Noor.
- REHIMAT ULLAH WAZIR REHIMAT ULLAH WAZIR CIVIL JUDGEIJM-1 CAN:P COURT CAN:P COURT KALAYA ORAKZAI KALAYA ORAKZAI
 - Upon which, the instant case was registered at PS: L/Orakzai on 10.04.2020 vide FIR. 25.
 - 4. Upon the receipt of case file for the purpose of trial, notice was issued to the accused facing trial and upon the appearance of 20 accused, proceedings were initiated and they were charge sheeted to which they pleaded not guilty

and claimed trial and accordingly the witnesses were summoned.

- 5. After completion of the investigation, the complete challan was submitted on 24.10.2020 to this court. The accused on bail were summoned. The accused on bail appeared and the provisions of 241-A Cr.P.C were duly complied with. The formal charge against all the accused, on bail was framed on 05.01.2021 while against the accused Muhammad Akbar S/O Ghulam Akbar was framed on 26.02.2021, to which the accused pleaded not guilty and claimed trial.
- Prosecution was given ample opportunity to adduce its evidence as it desired. Prosecution produced the following evidence;

i.	Copy of FIR.	Ex.PA
ii.	Murasila	Ex.PA/1
iii.	Site Plan.	Ex.PB
iv.	Recovery Memo	Ex.PW-7/1
v.	Recovery Memo of the USB	Ex.PW-7/2
vi.	Recovery Memo of the Memory Card	
		Ex.PW-7/3
vii.	List of damaged articles	Ex.PW-9/31
viii.	Application for issuance of	warrant u/s 204
	Cr.P.C	Ex.PW-9/8

(18)				
Application for issuance	of publication u/s 8/			
Cr.P.C	Ex.PW-9/15			
Application to the FSL about the USB				

ix.

х.

Ex.PW-9/17

xi. The USB and memory card Ex.P-2

xii. FSL report Ex.PW-9/19

xiii. Pictures of the occurrence made by FSL

Ex.PW-9/20 to Ex.PW-9/23

Pictures of the damaged house xiv.

Ex.PW-9/26 to Ex.PW-9/30

Prosecution Witnesses

Khan Wada, Constable, PS Kalaya, a)

> L/Orakzai. **PW-01**

b) Asif Nawaz, Constable, PS Kalaya, <u>L/Orakzai.</u>

PW-02

Libab Ali, Muharrir, PS Kalaya, L/Orakzai, c) **PW-03**

d) Muhammad Ikhlaq, Complainant.

PW-04

- Habib Rehman, eye-witness PW-05 e)
- Muhammad Shafique, SHO, PS Kalaya,

f)

AH WAZIR AKZA

	<u>L/Orakzai</u>	PW-06
g)	Ameer Nawaz,	Constable, PS Kalaya,
	<u>L/Orakzai.</u>	PW-07
h)	<u>Ghameen Gul, Ma</u>	ason. PW-08
i)	<u>Shal Muhammad, I.O, PS Kalaya, L/Orakzai</u>	
		PW-09

- Then after, on 15.04.2021, the learned APP for the state closed the evidence on behalf of the prosecution.
- 8. Statement of accused on bail u/s 342 Cr.P.C was recorded on 20.04.2021(in the main file), wherein he neither opted to be examined on oath u/s 342(2) of the Cr.P.C nor he wanted to produce any defence evidence in his defence.



It is pertinent to mention here that the counsel for the present accused has already availed his opportunity of arguments vide order no. 14 dated 22.05.2021 in the main file, but later on, he remained absent and finally a perpetual warrant of arrest was issued vide order no. 16 dated 27.05.2021 in the main file, meaning by that he has availed all his legal rights of being heard.

10. The accused is charged with the offence U/S 436,427,452,148,149 PPC. Sec.436 PPC deals with the mischief by fire or explosive substance with intent to destroy



house etc, Sec. 427 PPC deals with mischief causing damage to the amount of Rs. 50 or upwards, Sec. 452 PPC deals with house tres-pass after preparation for hurt, assault or wrongful restraint, Sec. 148 PPC deals with rioting armed with deadly weapons and Sec. 149 PPC deals with assembling unlawfully for a common object.

- Keeping in view, the record on file and the depositions of PWs, the prosecution is required to prove its case against the accused beyond reasonable doubts.
- 12. PW-04, who is the complainant in the instant case has narrated the story exactly what he deposed in the Murasila/FIR. He has well explained the reason of delay in charging the remaining 03 accused. Nothing contradictory has been extracted out of him during cross examination.
- 13. PW-05, who is the eye witness of the occurrence has seconded the story of prosecution. Nothing contradictory has been extracted out of him during cross examination.
- 14. PW-06, the concerned SHO, who got knowledge of the occurrence while he was on gusht and who rushed to the spot and reached there presumably while the fire was going on. He has supported the story of prosecution and Nothing contradictory has been extracted out of him during cross examination.



- 15. **PW-09**, who is the I.O in the instant case, has investigated the instant case properly in line with the story of prosecution. No loopholes are there in the investigation of the instant case. Also, no contradiction has been extracted by the defence during his cross examination.
- 16. The recovery memo through which the ashes of the burnt house were recovered has been established by prosecution by producing its marginal witnesses and the concerned I.O.
- 17. Also, the story of prosecution is corroborated by the videos/pictures, duly examined and confirmed by the FSL to be genuine.
- 18. From the arguments and record available on file, it reveals that the accused facing trial is directly, by name charged for the daylight occurrence reported within 01 hour and 10 minutes of the occurrence. Motive behind the occurrence is that the nephew of the complainant was charged in the murder of the one Faiz Ur Rehman s/o Ajab Noor, who was the brother of the present accused Abdul Halim s/o Ajab Noor Khan, Muhammad Shafique s/o Ajab Noor Khan. Thus, there is strong motive and something customary reaction behind the occurrence, which still persists in the Erst-while FATA and the same is practised as a punishment for murder/honour issues etc.



- 19. The witnesses of the prosecution proved the armed entry of the accused to the house of the complainant and setting the same on fire. They have established the aforesaid fact to the extent of what is humanly possible for establishing a fact. There is no missing link between the accused and the commission of offence.
- 20. Nothing was alleged by the defence that either the accused were charged for certain ill-will, ulterior motives or malafide. The story deposed by the ocular account in the absence of any malafide etc on their part is thus confidence inspiring, trustworthy and reliable. No doubt certain minor omissions do exist in the evidence of the prosecution but these are not of such nature either to deny the presence of the accused at the relevant time on the spot and their common motive for the commission of offence. There exist no major contradictions in between the statements of the ocular account or the formal witnesses and all the witnesses deposed in line with the story reported in the first information report.
- The detailed discussion of the case would lead to the conclusion that the prosecution has successfully established the guilt of the accused facing trial and have concluded the same in their favour. There exist no major contradictions in



the evidence of the prosecution leading towards doubts in favour of accused facing trial. Therefore, this Court safely held that the accused facing trial on the basis of evidence produced is guilty of the offences charged for. Thus, the accused namely Muhammad Ayaz s/o Lajbar Khan, is held member of unlawful assembly having common object u/s 149 PPC and therefore, he is convicted for mischief causing damage to the amount of fifty rupees u/s 427 PPC and sentenced to suffer 02 years of simple imprisonment. He is also convicted for mischief by fire with intent to destroy the house of the complainant u/s 436 PPC and sentenced to suffer 07 years of simple imprisonment and also to pay fine of Rs. 50,000/- (Fifty Thousand) by him. In case of default, the accused shall further suffer simple imprisonment for 06 months. He is also convicted for house-tres-pass after preparation for hurt and assault u/s 452 PPC and sentenced to suffer **05 years of simple imprisonment** and also to pay fine of Rs. 10,000 (Ten Thousand) by him. In case of default, the accused shall further suffer simple imprisonment for 01 month. He is also convicted for rioting armed with deadly weapons u/s 148 PPC and sentenced to suffer 01 year of simple imprisonment. All the sentences shall run concurrently and benefit of sec. 382-B Cr.P.C is extended to



the accused.

- 2. The case property if any be destroyed after the expiry of period provided for appeal/revision.
- 3. File be consigned to the Record Room after its necessary completion and compilation.

<u>Announced</u> 09.11.2021

Ð

(Rehmat Ullah Wazir) JM-I/MTMC, Camp Court, Kalaya, Orakzai

<u>CERTIFICATE</u>

Certified that this order consists of thirteen (10) pages. Each page has been read, corrected where-ever necessary and signed by me.

Dated: 09.11.2021.

(Rehmat Ullah Wazir) JM-I/MTMC, Camp Court, Kalaya, Orakzai