



IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

⑤

Case Title: State vs Akhlaq Hussain etc

Serial No of order or proceedings	Date of Order Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
Order No.06	24.01.2022	<p>DPP, Umar Niaz for the State present. Accused Akhlaq Hussain and Zeenat Ali on bail present. Uncle of the complainant present. Parties seek time for compromise. Allowed. Case file be put up for compromise/framing of charge on 29.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">  (SHAUKAT AHMAD KHAN) Sessions Judge/Judge Special Court, Orakzai at Baber Mela </p>
Order No.07	29.01.2022	<p>DPP, Umar Niaz for the State present. Accused Akhlaq Hussain and Zeenat Ali on bail present. Complainant Zakiya Bano alongwith her mother Mst. Fanoos Begam present.</p> <p>Today, the date was fixed for framing of charge; however, the parties submitted a verdict of jirga dated 12.01.2022 and submitted that they have effected compromise out of the court vide which the accused has committed that he is not ready to purchase the land and the house of the complainant situated at Dara Mani Khel village Char Khel valued by the jirga in the aforementioned verdict as Rs. 1,590,000/-, therefore, the complainant party may sell the same to any third party regarding which the accused party would have got no objection, that the complainant party has also a joint land with the accused party in the villages Zaradar, Landoka, Wachey Garey and Sheenki which is yet to be partitioned. The accused party would be bound to hand over possession of the due share of the complainant party in the</p> <p>  Shaukat Ahmad Khan District & Sessions Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela 29/01/22 </p>

FIR no. 7, Dated: 18.6.21, u/s 4 Gray Act, PS Kurez Boya

(6)


Serial No of order or proceedings	Date of Order Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
		<p>said land after its partition and that the accused party would not restrain the marriage of complainant Mst. Zakiya Bano. That in view of the aforementioned terms and condition, the complainant has got no objection on the acquittal of present accused and the absconding accused as and when he was arrested. Joint statement of the accused, the complainant and her mother recorded and placed on file.</p> <p>I heard arguments and perused the record.</p> <p>It is evident from the record that accused Akhlaq Hussain and Zeenat Ali alongwith absconding co-accused Muhammad Azam are charged in case FIR No. 7, dated 18.06.2021, U/S 4 Ghag Act at Police Station Kurez, for raising ghag, restraining the complainant Mst. Zakiya Banu of marrying someone else except the sons of accused Akhlaq Hussain.</p> <p>Arguments heard and record perused. Perusal of case file shows that though the offence for which the accused facing trial is charged, is not compoundable; however, as the parties are close relatives and the complainant is not going to proceed further with the case raising no objection on the acquittal of the accused, therefore in the best interest of the parties, the compromise is accepted and the accused namely, Akhlaq Hussain and Zeenat Ali are acquitted of the charge. Accused are on bail. Their bail bonds stand cancelled and their sureties are discharged of the liabilities of the bail bonds.</p>

Shaukat Ahmad Khan
District & Sessions Judge,
Oral/za at Baber, Jhelum
29/01/21

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

7

Case Title: State vs Ikhlas Hussain etc

Serial No of order or proceedings	Date of Order Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
<p>Contin. Order No.07</p>		<p>With respect to absconding co-accused Muhammad Azam, statement of DFC Sohrab Ali recorded as SW-1. The accused despite being proceeded u/s 204 Cr.P.C as well as u/s 87 Cr.P.C, is avoiding his lawful arrest. Therefore, he is proceeded u/s 512 CrPC and prosecution was provided opportunity to produce evidence; however, the learned DPP stated at the bar that as a compromise has reached between the parties, therefore no useful purpose of the prosecution would be served to preserve the evidence, but as the accused is avoiding his lawful arrest so a warrant be issued against him.</p> <p>In view of the aforementioned contention of prosecution, warrant of arrest be issued against the absconding accused Muhammad Azam. Case against him would be taken up as and when he was arrested. Consign.</p> <p><u>Pronounced:</u> 29.01.2022</p> <div style="text-align: right;">  (SHAUKAT AHMAD KHAN) Sessions Judge/Judge Special Court, Orakzai at Baber Mela </div>