

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Case Title: Ali Hassan etc vs District Education of prince etc.

| | | // |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Serial No of order or | Date of Order | Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary |
| proceedings | Proceedings | 3 |
| 1 uperintendent | 29.11.2021 | Civil appeal presented through representative of |
| Note | | |
| | | counsel, Khalid Iqbal Khattak Advocate to the office of |
| | | Superintendent. Be put up before Honorable District |
| | | Judge, Orakzai, for further orders, please. |
| | | (SUPERINTENDENT) To District Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela. |
| Order No.01 | 29.11.2021 | Register. Case File be put up for preliminary |
| | | arguments on 04.12.2021. |
| | | (SHAUKAT AHMAD KHAN) District Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela |
| Order No.02 | 04.12.2021 | Mr. Khalid Iqbal Khattak Advocate, the counsel for appellants present. Preliminary arguments heard. |
| | | In a suit before the court of learned SCJ, Orakzai |
| | | appellants/plaintiffs sought declaration cum perpetual |
| | | injunctions and specific performance of contract to the |
| | | fact that in 2008 to 2009 appellant/plaintiff no. 1 has |
| | | donated a land for construction of the Government Girls |
| | istrict & Sessit aber in | |
| | 081 | Primary School Shamar subject to the condition that |
| | | respondent/defendant no. 1 would be bound to appoint |
| | | appellant/plaintiff no. 2 (the son of appellant/plaintiff no. |
| | |) against a post of class IV in the school. The suit was |
| | | |



| | Date of | Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or |
|---------------------|---|--|
| order or | Order | Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary |
| proceedings | Proceedings | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Contin. Order No.02 | | contested by respondent/defendant no. 2 by submission of |
| | Shaukat Ah ad Ki Shaukat Ah ad Ki Shaukat Ah Baber i District & Sessivis J Orakzai at Baber i | his written statement while respondent/defendant no. 1 |
| | | submitted application for rejection of the plaint under |
| | | order 7 rule 11 CPC. The learned trial court heard |
| | | arguments and passed the impugned order vide which |
| | | plaint of the appellants/plaintiffs was rejected under order |
| | | 7 rule 11 CPC on the ground that the contract between |
| | | appellants/plaintiffs and respondents/defendants for |
| | | transfer of land against a consideration of appointment to |
| | | the post of class IV, if proved, does not constitute a valid |
| | | consideration for a contract which cannot be enforced. |
| | | Being aggrieved of the order, appellants/plaintiffs |
| | | filed the present appeal. |
| | | 1/8/3 I heard preliminary arguments and perused the |
| | | record. Learned counsel for the appellants/plaintiffs |
| | 0011 | stressed upon the fact that the existence of a contract |
| | | between the parties is a question of fact which can only |
| | | be determined after recording of pro and contra evidence |
| | | and that in view of the prevalent customs – having got a |
| | | status of law, the subject contract between the parties has |
| | | a valid consideration, therefore the plaint cannot be |
| | | rejected without giving the parties an opportunity to |
| | | |

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Case Title: Ali Hassam etc vs District Education officer ele

| | · | , |
|------------------------|---------------|--|
| Serial No of | Date of | Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or |
| order or | Order | Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary. |
| proceedings 1 | Proceedings 2 | 3 |
| | | |
| Contin. Order No.02 | | In view of the aforementioned arguments of the |
| | | learned counsel for appellants/plaintiffs, I perused the |
| | | available record on file and the law on the point. |
| | | The law on the point as laid down in section 23 of |
| | | The Contract Act, 1872, that all agreements are |
| | | enforceable with a lawful consideration or object and |
| | | every agreement of which the object or consideration is |
| 9,0 | | unlawful, is void. The consideration of the agreement, if |
| | | any, between the parties in the instant case is, |
| | | appointment of the nominee of appellant/plaintiff no. 1 to |
| | | the post of class IV in the school for the construction of |
| | | awhich appellant/plaintiff no. 1 has donated a land. |
| | | However, such a consideration has been declared illegal, |
| | | invalid and not enforceable vide dictum of the Honorable |
| | | Supreme Court of Pakistan in a case titled as "Hameed |
| | | Ullah and 09 others VS Headmistress Government Girls |
| | | Primary School Chokara District Karak and 05 others" |
| | | reported in SCMR 1997 page 855. It has been held in the |
| | | supra judgment that |
| | | "S.21 Agreement to transfer land in |
| | | consideration – for employmentValidityAgreement |
| | | between plaintiff and defendant (Government) was in the |
| | | nature of sale of public office, consideration being |
| | | transfer of landSuch agreement was illegal and against |
| | | |



| Serial No of order or proceedings | Date of Order Proceedings | Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary. |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Contin. Order No.02 | | public policy being hit by S.23, Contract Act, 1872, specific performance whereof, could not be granted." |
| | | So far, the arguments of the learned counsel for |
| | | appellants/plaintiffs regarding the custom having a force |
| | | of law, is concerned, the same is also not valid for, true a |
| | | longstanding usage or custom, in absence of express |
| | | provision of law on the point, has got a force of law unless |
| | | contrary to the Constitution of Pakistan. In the instant |
| | | case, as the agreement of donation of land against a |
| | | consideration for appointment to the post of class IV, has |
| | | been held against the Constitution vide aforementioned |
| | | dictum of August Supreme Court of Pakistan, therefore |
| | | the custom of the nature, if any, is against the Constitution |
| | | and cannot be held a force of law. |
| | | Hence, in view of what is discussed above, the |
| | | instant appeal in not maintainable; hence, dismissed in |
| | | limini. File of this court be consigned to record room. |
| | | Copy of this order be sent to learned trial court and |
| | | Incharge record room. |
| | | Pronounced: 04.12.2021 (SHAUKAT AHMAD KHAN) District Judge, Orakzai |
| | · | at Baber Mela |