

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE ORAKZAI, AT BABAR MELA

BA No. 111/21 of 2021 Muhammad Javid Vs State

Serial No of order or	Date of Order	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary
proceedings 1	Proceedings 2	3
Order	01/10/2021	Mr. Abid Ali advocate represented accused/petitioner;
,		complainant remained absent despite service; whereas, Mr.
		Amir Shah APP for State is in attendance. This is the
		disposal of captioned post arrest Bail Application,
		submitted by accused/petitioner Muhammad Javid.
		2. Mr. Saleem Khan ASHO of Police Station, Upper
		Orakzai (Ghiljo) forwarded Murasila through constable,
		stating therein, that a dead body has been brought to Civil
		Hospital Mishti Mela, on that information he along with
		Police official came to the emergency room of Civil
		Hospital Mishti Mela where the dead body of Gul Nawab
		son of Hamish Gul was lying. That along with the dead
		body, Hamish Gul the father of deceased was present who
		reported that his son Gul Nawab had come to the shop for
		purchase of house hold articles. On return back, reaching to
		the place of occurrence, the accused Noor Rehman, Javid
		and Amin Khan Sons of Masti Khan were present duly
		armed, that the accused on seeing his son started firing on
		him and as a result of their firing he got hit and died on the
		spot. The report of the complainant was reduced in the
		shape of Murasila. Consequently, FIR bearing No.8 dated
		08-02-2020 was registered at Police Station, Upper Orakzai
		(Ghiljo) against accused under sections 302 and 311 of the
		Pakistan Panel Code-1860. Accused was later on arrested
		and is behind the bar obliged him to file instant application
		for post arrest bail which is under consideration.
		3. Arguments of the learned counsel for the
	7//	accused/petitioner and learned APP for the State heard and

record perused.

4.

The tentative assessment of record reveals that it is

daylight occurrence which has promptly been reported. Three accused including petitioner have been charged for commission of heinous offence. The contents of FIR are apparently supported by post mortem report and incriminating material recovered from the scene of crime. Direct evidence of the primary source is available on file. The petitioner was absconding for sufficient time as well. It is also worth mentioning that the offence with which accused is being charged for, falls within the ambit of prohibitory clause of Section 497 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1898 which is obviously termed crime heinous in nature.

- 5. For what has been discussed above, this Court holds the view that prima facie case is existing against the accused and is not entitled to be released on bail, therefore instant bail application is dismissed.
- 6. File of this Court be consigned to District Record Room after its necessary completion and compilation with in the span allowed for.

7. Announced in open Court.

Sayed Fazal Wadood,

AD&SJ, Orakzai at Baber Mela