

٧<u>)</u>

.

## FORM "A" DA 4

Serial No. of Order of Proceedings	Date of Order or Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of Parties or Counsel where necessary.
	2	3
Order No. 42	26/10/2023	Parties present.
		Vide this order I intend to dispose of instant two
		applications, one filed by the defendants under Orde
		VII, Rule 11 C.P.C. for rejection of plaint while the other
		one filed by plaintiff for withdrawal of instant suit wit permission to file fresh one.
		Arguments already heard and record perused.
		Now on perusal of the record and valuable assistance
n		both the learned counsels for the parties, this court is
~		the humble view that as for as application for rejection
	MAR	plaint is concerned, it is pertinent to mention here th
	SYED ABAAS BUK SYED ABAAS BUK civil Judgel Jill Tehsil Courts Kal	Befendants through said application had alleged th
		plaintiff in his plaint has termed the suit property as h
		ancestral property while his father namely Suleman Sha
		is still alive and thus during the life time of his fathe
		plaintiff has got no locus standi to file the instant suit
$\sim$		Contrary to this plaintiff has also admitted in h
		replication that his father is alive but he was not
	i	knowledge of the same. In given circumstances, th
		court is of the view that it is very strange that plainti
, , ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	was unaware of the fact that his father is alive
		Furthermore, plaintiff has got no locus standi to file th
		instant suit during the life time of his father and thus h
		did not approached this court with clean hands rathe
		intended to commit fraud with the court and furthe
		misleaded the court through his conduct.
		As for as application for withdrawal of instant suit wit

28		
Serial No. of Order of Proceedings	Date of Order or Proceedings	Order or other Broceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of Parties or Counsel where necessary.
Continued Order42	26/10/2023	permission to file afresh is concerned, it is pertinent to mention here that plaintiff has alleged in his application
		that due to some legal defect he intends to withdraw the
		application would reveal that no such legal defect has
		been mentioned by plaintiff in his application while he
		was under obligation to have specifically mentioned each and every legal defect, due to which he intend to with
		draw the instant suit. On the other hand as discussed
		above, plaintiff has got no locus standi to file the instant
		suit, hence he has also got no locus standi to withdraw the same and file a fresh one.
		In light of the above discussion, the application for
		withdrawal of instant suit is hereby dismissed being meritless while application for rejection of plaint is
		hereby allowed and suit in hand is hereby rejected under
		Order VII Rule 11 with costs of Rs-10000/ File be
		consigned to the record foom after its necessary completion, compilation and scanning.
		Announced 26.10.2023
		Civil Judge-II Kalaya Orakzai
	·	
· •••	···· ··· ·· <u>-</u>	,