

BBA No. 73/4 Jamshid Afridi VS State FIR No. 16, Dated 11.02.2023, u/s 9 (d) CNSA, PS Kalaya

Serial No of order or proceedings	Date of Order Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
Order No.02	31.10.2023	DPP, Umar Niaz for the State present.
		Accused/petitioner, Jamshid Afridi on ad-interim pre-
		arrest bail in person present. Clerk of the counsel for
		accused/petitioner present. He submitted written
, ,		arguments. Placed on file. Record received and gone
		through in the light of arguments advanced by learned
		DPP for the state and the written arguments submitted by
		learned counsel for accused/petitioner.
		The above-named accused/petitioner seeks
		confirmation of ad-interim pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.
		16, Dated 11.02.2023, u/s 9 (d) CNSA of Police Station
		Kalaya, wherein as per contents of FIR, the local police
		were present on the spot where at about 08:30 hours a
		motorcycle riding by two persons approaching from
•		Sultanzai Dara via kacha road towards the picket. The
Shauk		persons, on seeing the police party, turned back who were
District a Orakza	Sessions Judge, at Baber Mela	chased by the local police but they abandoning a
31	10/43	yellowish pink colour plastic bag made their escape good
		from the spot. The search of the bag led the complainant
		to the recovery of 20 packets of chars wrapped with
		yellow colour scotch tape, each weighing 1000 grams,
	i viloni i v	making a total of 20,000 grams. The persons were
		identified by the police as Jamshid Afridi, the present
	·	
-	i]

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Case Title: Jamshid Afridi VS State.



•		
order or		Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
. 1	2	3
Contin. Order No.02		accused/petitioner and Muhammad Hussain, the
		absconding accused. Hence, the present case.
		Perusal of case file shows that the
		accused/petitioner is directly nominated in the FIR.
		Counsel for the accused/petitioner failed to point out any
		malafidi or ulterior motive on behalf of the police for
		falsely implicating him (accused/petitioner) in the instant
	(case.
		Hence, it is held that the accused/petitioner is not
		entitled to the extraordinary concession of pre-arrest bail.
		Accordingly, the instant BBA is turned down. The ad-
		interim pre-arrest bail already granted to the
		accused/petitioner on 27.10.2023 is withdrawn. File of
ESIONS JU	DO	this court be consigned. Copy of this order be placed on
88	10	police/judicial record.
OR PROPERTY OF SHAPE		Pronounced: 31.10.2023
ORAY		(SHAUKAT AHMAD KHAN) Sessions Judge, Orakzai
		at Baber Mela
'		
	-	

FIR no. 16 Dated: 11-02-23 u/s 9D CNSA PS Kalaya