IN THE COURT OF REHMAT ULLAH WAZIR, CIVIL JUDGE-I, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Civil Suit No.

51/1 of 2021

Date of Institution:

16/03/2021

Date of Decision:

07/06/2021

- 1. Aqal Hassan s/o Sawab Ali
- 2. Shahmina Jan w/o Aqal Hassan

Both R/O Qoum Bar Muhammad Khel, Tappa Terai, Kada Bazar, Dappa, Tehsil Lower, District Orakzai. (Plaintiffs)

VERSUS

- 1. Chairman, NADRA, Islamabad.
- 2. Director, General NADRA KPK Peshawar.
- 3. Assistant Director, NADRA District Orakzai.

(Defendants)

1

SUIT FOR DECLARATION, CUM PERPETUAL AND MANDATORY INJUNCTION

SUMMARY JUDGEMENT: 07.06.2021

Hassan and Shahmina Jan, have brought the instant suit for declaration cum perpetual and mandatory injunction against the defendants, referred hereinabove, seeking declaration therein that their correct date of birth is 01.01.1984, while it has been wrongly mentioned as 01.01.1988 in their CNICs by the defendants, while the date of birth of their elder son namely Aquel Hassan according to Matric DMC and Provisional Certificate, Kohat Board is 05.08.2002, thus, there is an unnatural gap of 14 years approximately between the dates of birth of the plaintiffs and their elder son, which Case Title: Aqual Hassan etc V8 NADRA Case No. 51/1 of 2021 Page 1 of 5

(20)

is wrong, ineffective upon the rights of the plaintiffs and liable to correction. That defendants were repeatedly asked to correct the date of birth of plaintiffs but they refused, hence, the instant suit.

- 2. Defendants were summoned, who appeared through their representative namely Syed Farhat Abbas, who submitted written statement, whereby only evasive denials have been made.
- Ouring the scheduling conference within the meaning of order IX-A of CPC, it was revealed that the matter involved in the instant case is very petty in nature, which can be decided through summary judgement as per relevant record.

 To this effect notice was given to the parties that why not the case in hand be decided on the basis of available record without recording lengthy evidence, as the primary aim and objective of Amended Management Rules in CPC is, "to

a. Deal with the cases justly and fairly;

enable the court to-

- b. Encourage parties to alternate dispute resolution procedure if it considers appropriate;
- c. Save expense and time both of courts and litigants; and
- d. Enforce compliance with provisions of this Code."

Learned counsel for plaintiffs and representative for defendants heard and record gone through.

1

(21)

Record reveals that plaintiffs through instant suit are seeking correction of their date of birth to the effect that their correct date of birth is 01.01.1984, while it has been wrongly mentioned as 01.01.1988 in their CNICs by the defendants, while the date of birth of their elder son namely Aqeel, Hassan according to Matric DMC and Provisional Certificate, Kohat Board is 05.08.2002, thus, there is an unnatural gap of 14 years approximately between the dates of birth of the plaintiffs and their elder son, which is wrong, ineffective upon the rights of the plaintiffs and liable to correction. The representative of the defendants was directed to produce the family tree of the plaintiffs, which he accordingly produced. I The family tree reveals that the date of birth of the plaintiffs is 01.01.1988 while date of birth of their son according to -Matric Provisional Certificate and DMC, Kohat Board is 05.08.2002, thus there is a gap of 14 years approximately between the dates of birth of the plaintiffs and their elder So, the family tree of the son, which is very unnatural. plaintiffs and the Matric Provisional Certificate and DMC, Kohat Board clearly negates the incorporation of their date of birth as 01.01.1988 in theirs CNICs. Also, the plaintiffs are increasing their age and not decreasing the same, thus, there is no apprehension of the violation of the rights of any person

Case Title: Aqal Hassan etc VS NADRA Case No. 51/1 of 2021 Page 3 of 5

in case their suit is decreed. Further, it is claimed by the counsel for the plaintiffs and also admitted by the representative of the defendants in the court that keeping the same date of birth of the plaintiffs results into the refusal of the NADRA to their present son Ageel Hassan to process CNIC for him on the plea of unnatural gap with his parents. Further, there is no countered document available with the defendants to rebut the documents produced by the plaintiffs in support of their stance. Hence, in these circumstances, the said documents are admissible and reliance is placed on it and is sufficient to decide the fate of the case and no further evidence is required to be produced by the parties. So, the available record clearly establishes the claim of the 1

Rehmat Judge Julian Meta Gonsequently, upon what has been discussed above and the orakzai at (Babar Meta) jurisdiction vested in this of CPC, suit of the plaintiffs succeeds and is hereby decreed as prayed for. Defendants are directed to correct the date of birth of plaintiffs as 01.01.1984 in their record and in the CNICs of the plaintiffs.

1

6. Parties are left to bear their own costs.

Case Title: Aqal Hassan etc VS NADRA Case No. 51/1 of 2021 Page 4 of 5 7. File be consigned to the record room after its necessary completion and compilation.

Announced

07.06.2021

(Rehmat Ullah Wazir)

Civil Judge-I,

Orakzai (at Baber Mela)

CERTIFICATE

Certified that this judgment of mine consists of 05

(Five) pages, each has been checked, corrected where necessary and signed by me.

(Rehmat Ullah Wazir) Civil Judge-I,

Orakzai (at Baber Mela)

ļţ