

(6)


Order-09
05/04/2021

Decree holder present.

Judgement debtor also present.

Judgement debtor stated at bar that he does not interfere in decretal property but decree holder himself has stopped his construction work. On inquiry decree holder stated that he started construction work for two days but thereafter his trees were destroyed by the Judgement debtor. Judgement debtor denied the allegations and stated before the court that he has got no concern with the decretal property of decree holder and he is at liberty to raise his construction. Being such a position decree holder can raise his construction and if any interference was made by the Judgement debtor then he can report the same so that proceeding can be initiated against the Judgement debtor.

File be put up for further proceedings on 17-04-2021.


Farman Ullah
Senior Civil Judge,
Orakzai at Baber Mela.

Order-10
17/04/2021

Decree holder present in person.

Judgement debtor also present

Judgement debtor again stated before the court that he is neither interfering in decretal property nor he has any intention to do so in future. On inquiry decree holder stated that at the moment judgement debtor is not interfering in decretal property. As the instant execution petition has been filed by the decree holder to restrained judgement debtor from interfering in the decretal property while judgement debtor has categorically stated before the court that he neither


(7)

interfering nor any intention to do so in future. Being such a position no further proceedings are required in instant petition. Hence, file be consigned to record room after its necessary completion and compilation.

However, decree holder can restore instant execution petition or file fresh execution petition whenever, judgement debtor interferes in decretal property.

Order Announced

17.04.2021


Farman Ullah
Senior Civil Judge,
Orakzai at Baber, Mela.