

**IN THE COURT OF FARMAN ULLAH,**  
**SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA**

Civil Suit No.                    269/1 of 2020  
 Date of Institution:            25/02/2020  
 Date of Decision:                01/04/2021

**Gul Nawaz Khan s/o Kaseer Gul**

Section Mala Khel, Tapa Char Khela, Village Star Kaly, PO Ghiljo, Tehsil Upper & District Orakzai.....  
 (Plaintiff)

VERSUS

1. **Chairman, NADRA, Islamabad.**
2. **Registrar, General NADRA Islamabad.**
3. **Assistant Director, Registration NADRA District Orakzai.**

(Defendants)

**SUIT FOR DECLARATION, PERMANENT & MANDATORY INJUNCTION**

**JUDGEMENT:**

Brief facts of case in hand are that the plaintiff **Gul Nawaz Khan s/o Kaseer Gul**, has brought the instant suit for declaration, permanent and mandatory injunction against the defendants, referred hereinabove, seeking declaration, therein, that his correct date of birth is **06.12.1998** while correct name is "**Gul Nawaz Khan**" but defendants have wrongly mentioned his date of birth as 01.01.1995 and his name as "**Gul Nawaz**" in their record, which are incorrect and liable to be corrected. That defendants were repeatedly asked to correct his date of birth and name but they refused. Hence, the present suit.

Defendants were summoned, who appeared through attorney namely Syed Farhat Abbas and submitted written statement, wherein they contested the suit of plaintiff on various grounds.

Divergent pleadings of the parties were reduced into the following issues;

**Issues:**

1. Whether plaintiff has got cause of action?
2. Whether the correct date of birth of the plaintiff is **06.12.1998** and correct name is "**Gul Nawaz Khan**" while his date of birth has been wrongly entered as 01.01.1995 and name as **Gul Nawaz** in his CNIC by the defendants
3. Whether plaintiff is entitled to the decree as prayed for?
4. Relief.

*[Handwritten signature]*  
 01.4.2021

5. Parties were provided opportunity to produce evidence in support of their respective contention, which they did. Plaintiff produced his witnesses as PW-1 to PW-3.

**FARMANULLAH**  
 Senior Civil Judge  
 Orakzai at Baber Meida

6. In rebuttal defendants produced their sole witness namely Syed Farhat Abbas, representative, as DW-1. He produced the CNIC processing form and family tree of plaintiff and exhibited the same as Ex. DW-1/1 and Ex. DW-1/2.
7. After conclusion of the evidence arguments pro and contra heard. Case file is gone through.
8. My issues wise findings are as under:

**Issue No.02:**

Plaintiff contended in his plaint that, his correct date of birth is 06-12-1998 and his correct names is "**Gul Nawaz Khan**" but inadvertently the same were recorded as **01-01-1995** and **Gul Nawaz** in record of defendants. Hence, the record is liable to be corrected.

Plaintiff in support of his contention has appeared as PW-1 and he repeated the contents of the plaint in his examination in chief. He also produced his CNIC as Ex.PW-1/1 and school leaving certificate as Ex.PW-1/2, while PW-2, is the statement of Kaseer Gul, who stated in his examination in chief that plaintiff is his son and the correct name of the plaintiff is **Gul Nawaz Khan** while his correct date of birth is **06-12-1998**. PW-3 is the statement of Wasif Hussain Record Keeper of Govt; High School No. 2 Hangu, who stated in his examination in chief that the correct date of birth of plaintiff as per school record is **06-12-1998**. He produced school leaving certificate and register of admission and withdrawal and exhibited the same as Ex.PW-3/1 and Ex.PW-3/2. PW-1 to PW-3 were subjected to cross examination but nothing substantial was brought on record which

*[Handwritten signature]*  
*01.4.2021*

could have shattered their testimony rather they remained consistent regarding the facts uttered by them in their examination in chief.

**FARMANULLAH**  
 Senior Civil Judge  
 Orakzai at Baber

Their testimony is also corroborated by the School record of plaintiff produced by PW-3 as Ex.PW-3/1 and Ex.PW-3/2, wherein, the name of plaintiff has been recorded as **Gul Nawaz Khan**, while his date of birth as 06-12-1998. Hence, presumption of truth is attached to the same unless rebutted by any other oral or documentary evidence. In instant case no such oral or documentary evidence is available in rebuttal. So, the oral and documentary evidence produced by the plaintiff establishes that the correct date of birth of the plaintiff is **06.12.1998**. The incorporation of date of

birth of the plaintiff as **01.01.1995** in the record of NADRA appears to be a mistake. Hence, the issue No. 2 is decided in positive.

**Issue No. 01 & 03:**

These issues are taken together. For what has been held in issue No. 2, this court is of the opinion that plaintiff has got cause of action and he is also entitled to the decree as prayed for.

The issues are decided in positive.

**Relief:**

Consequently, suit of the plaintiff succeeds and is hereby decreed as prayed for. Defendants are directed to correct their record by incorporating the date of birth of the plaintiff as **06.12.1998** and name of the plaintiff as "**Gul Nawaz Khan**" in their record. Parties are left to bear their own costs.


9. File be consigned to the record room after its completion and compilation.

**Announced**  
01/04/2020

  
**(Farman Ullah)**  
Senior Civil Judge,  
Orakzai (at Baber Mela).  
**FARMANULLAH**  
Senior Civil Judge  
Orakzai at Baber Mela

**CERTIFICATE**

Certified that this judgment of mine consists **04** (four) pages, each has been checked, corrected where necessary and signed by me.

  
**(Farman Ullah)**  
Senior Civil Judge,  
Orakzai (at Baber Mela).