

**IN THE COURT OF REHMAT ULLAH WAZIR,**  
**JM-I/MTMC/JJC, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA**

SPECIAL CASE NO. : 61/2 OF 2020  
 DATE OF INSTITUTION : 24.10.2020  
 DATE OF DECISION : 27.05.2021

STATE THROUGH MUHAMMAD IKHLAQ S/O YARBAD SHER R/O  
 QOUM MISHTI, TAPPA DARWI KHEL, L/ORAKZAI.  
 .....(COMPLAINANT)

-VERSUS-

1. Shams Ur Rehman S/O Muhammad Karim  
**(R/O QOUM MISHTI, DISTRICT ORAKZAI)**  
 ..... (JUVENILE ACCUSED FACING TRIAL)

**Present:** Syed Amir Shah, Assistant Public Prosecutor for state.  
 : Zahoor Rehman Advocate for complainant.  
 : Hafiz Muhammad Sadiq Advocate for accused facing trial.

**FIR No. 25 Dated:** 10.04.2020 **U/S:** 436,427,452,148,149 PPC  
**Police Station:** Kalaya, L/Orakzai

**JUDGEMENT**  
**27.05.2021**

1. Accused facing trial, Shams Ur Rehman s/o Muhammad Karim present who is charged in case FIR No. 25, Dated 10.04.2021, U/S 436,427,452,148,149 PPC of PS Kalaya, L/Orakzai for mischief by fire or explosive substance with intent to destroy house etc, mischief causing damage to the amount of Rs. 50 or upwards, house-tres-pass after preparation for hurt, assault or wrongful restraint, rioting armed with deadly weapons and on assembling unlawfully for a common object, by entering into the house of the complainant, duly armed and putting the house of the

  
 Rehmat Ullah Wazir  
 Civil Judge/JM-I  
 Orakzai at (Babar Mela)

complainant on fire and damaging it.

2. Briefly stated factual background of the instant case is that the complainant Muhammad Ikhlaq, reported that he alongwith other family members were present in the house, that on 16:00 hrs, the one Haji Muhammad Ayaz and 20 others, duly armed, entered his house and got them out of the house and put on fire the entire house. That the house was turned into ashes as a result of the fire. The eye-witnesses of the occurrence are the one Habib Ur Rehman, the brother of the complainant and the father of the complainant. That the motive behind the occurrence was that the nephew of the complainant was charged in the murder of the one Faiz Ur Rehman s/o Ajab Noor.

*Handwritten signature and stamp*  
 11  
 10/04/2020  
 10/04/2020  
 10/04/2020

Upon which, the instant case was registered at PS: L/Orakzai on 10.04.2020 vide FIR. 25.

4. Upon the receipt of case file for the purpose of trial, notice was issued to the accused facing trial and upon the appearance of the present accused, proceedings were initiated and he was charge sheeted to which he pleaded not guilty and claimed trial and accordingly the witnesses were summoned.

5. After completion of the investigation, the complete juvenile challan was submitted on 24.10.2020 to this court. The

accused on bail was summoned. The accused on bail appeared and the provisions of 241-A Cr.P.C were duly complied with. The formal charge against the present accused on bail was framed on 11.12.2020, to which the accused pleaded not guilty and claimed trial.

6. Prosecution was given ample opportunity to adduce its evidence as it desired. Prosecution produced the following evidence;

- |       |   |                                      |
|-------|---|--------------------------------------|
| i.    | Copy of FIR.  | <b>Ex.PA</b>                         |
| ii.   | Murasila  | <b>Ex.PA/1</b>                       |
| iii.  | Site Plan.  | <b>Ex.PB</b>                         |
| iv.   | Recovery Memo   | <b>Ex.PW-7/1</b>                     |
| v.    | Card of arrest of the accused Shams Ur Rehman.                | <b>Ex.PW-6/1</b>                     |
| vi.   | Recovery Memo of the USB<br>Recovery Memo of the Memory Card  | <b>Ex.PW-7/2</b><br><b>Ex.PW-7/3</b> |
| vii.  | List of damaged articles                                      | <b>Ex.PW-9/31</b>                    |
| viii. | FSL application, Road Certificate, FSL Report<br>and pictures | <b>Ex.PW-9/2 to Ex.PW-9/13</b>       |

### Prosecution Witnesses

- a) Khan Wada, Constable, PS Kalaya,  
L/Orakzai. **PW-01**

*M*  
*Shams Ur Rehman*  
*Defendant*  
*Case No. 25 of 2020*

- b) Asif Nawaz, Constable, PS Kalaya,  
L/Orakzai. PW-02
- c) Libab Ali, Muharrir, PS Kalaya, L/Orakzai.  
PW-03
- d) Muhammad Ikhlq, Complainant.  
PW-04
- e) Habib Rehman, eye-witness PW-05
- f) Muhammad Shafique, SHO, PS Kalaya,  
L/Orakzai PW-06
- g) Ameer Nawaz, Constable, PS Kalaya,  
L/Orakzai. PW-07
- h) Ghameen Gul, Mason. PW-08
- i) Shal Muhammad, I.O, PS Kalaya, L/Orakzai  
PW-09

*M*  
 Retired Justice Wazir  
 Civil Judge/JM-1  
 Orakzai (Babar Mela)

7. Then after, on 15.04.2021, the learned APP for the state closed the evidence on behalf of the prosecution.
8. Statement of accused on bail u/s 342 Cr.P.C was recorded on 20.04.2021 wherein he neither opted to be examined on oath u/s 342(2) of the Cr.P.C nor he wanted to produce any defence evidence in his defence.
9. After conclusion of trial, arguments of both the learned counsel for the accused facing trial and of the APP for the complainant along with the private counsel for the

complainant heard and record perused.

10. The accused is charged with the offence U/S 436, 427, 452, 148, 149 PPC. Sec. 436 PPC deals with the mischief by fire or explosive substance with intent to destroy house etc, Sec. 427 PPC deals with mischief causing damage to the amount of Rs. 50 or upwards, Sec. 452 PPC deals with house tres-pass after preparation for hurt, assault or wrongful restraint, Sec. 148 PPC deals with rioting armed with deadly weapons and Sec. 149 PPC deals with assembling unlawfully for a common object.
11. Keeping in view, the record on file and the depositions of PWs, the prosecution is required to prove its case against the accused beyond reasonable doubts.
12. **PW-04**, who is the complainant in the instant case has narrated the story exactly what he deposed in the Murasila/FIR. He has well explained the reason of delay in charging the remaining 03 accused. Nothing contradictory has been extracted out of him during cross examination.
13. **PW-05**, who is the eye witness of the occurrence has seconded the story of prosecution. Nothing contradictory has been extracted out of him during cross examination.
14. **PW-06**, the concerned SHO, who got knowledge of the occurrence while he was on gusht and who rushed to the spot

*M*  
 Advocate Mubashir  
 Ch. - Jodhpur  
 Surana (Pabli Moha)

and reached there presumably while the fire was burning. He has supported the story of prosecution and Nothing contradictory has been extracted out of him during cross examination. ||

15. PW-09, who is the I.O in the instant case, has investigated the instant case properly in line with the story of prosecution. No loopholes are there in the investigation of the instant case. Also, no contradiction has been extracted by the defence during his cross examination.

16. The recovery memo through which the ashes of the burnt house were recovered has been established by prosecution by producing its marginal witnesses and the concerned I.O. ||

17. Also, the story of prosecution is corroborated by the videos/pictures, duly examined and confirmed by the FSL to be genuine.

18. From the arguments and record available on file, it reveals that the accused facing trial are directly, by name charged for the daylight occurrence reported within 01 hour and 10 minutes of the occurrence. 18 of the accused are directly charged while 03 of the accused are charged after delay of 05-days but the same delay is well explained. Motive behind the occurrence is that the nephew of the complainant was charged in the murder of the one Faiz Ur Rehman s/o Ajab ||

M  
Enayat Ullah Wazir  
C.A. No. 1000 of 2020

Noor, who was the brother of the present accused Abdul Halim s/o Ajab Noor Khan and Muhammad Shafique s/o Ajab Noor Khan. Thus, there is strong motive and something customary reaction behind the occurrence, which still persists in the Erst-while FATA and the same is practised as a punishment for murder/honour issues etc. "

19. The witnesses of the prosecution proved the armed entry of the accused to the house of the complainant and setting the same on fire. They have established the aforesaid fact to the extent of what is humanly possible for establishing a fact. There is no missing link between the accused and the commission of offence. "

*M*  
 M. Iqbal Haider  
 23/07/2020  
 (B. Sc. / LL.B.)

20. Nothing was alleged by the defence that either the accused were charged for certain ill-will, ulterior motives or malafide. The story deposed by the ocular account in the absence of any malafide etc on their part is thus confidence inspiring, trustworthy and reliable. No doubt certain minor omissions do exist in the evidence of the prosecution but these are not of such nature either to deny the presence of the accused at the relevant time on the spot and their common motive for the commission of offence. There exist no major contradictions in between the statements of the ocular account or the formal witnesses and all the witnesses

deposed in line with the story reported in the first information report.

2. The detailed discussion of the case would lead to the conclusion that the prosecution has successfully established the guilt of the accused facing trial and have concluded the same in their favour. There exist no major contradictions in the evidence of the prosecution leading towards doubts in favour of accused facing trial. Therefore, this Court safely held that the accused facing trial on the basis of evidence produced is guilty of the offences charged for. Thus, the accused namely Shams Ur Rehman s/o Muhammad Karim is held member of unlawful assembly having common object u/s 149 PPC and therefore, he is convicted for mischief causing damage to the amount of fifty rupees u/s 427 PPC and sentenced to suffer **02 years of simple imprisonment**. He is also convicted for mischief by fire with intent to destroy the house of the complainant u/s 436 PPC and sentenced to suffer **07 years of simple imprisonment** and also to pay fine of **Rs. 50,000/- (Fifty Thousand)** by he accused. In case of default, the accused shall further suffer simple imprisonment for **06 months**. He is also convicted for house-tres-pass after preparation for hurt and assault u/s 452 PPC and sentenced to suffer **05 years of simple imprisonment** and also to pay


*(Handwritten signature)*  
 Muhammad Shams Ur Rehman  
 s/o Muhammad Karim  
 (Accused)



fine of **Rs. 10,000 (Ten Thousand)** by the accused. In case of default, the accused shall further suffer simple imprisonment for **01 month**. He is also convicted for rioting<sup>!!</sup> armed with deadly weapons u/s 148 PPC and sentenced to suffer **01 year of simple imprisonment**. All the sentences shall run concurrently and benefit of sec. 382-B Cr.P.C is extended to the accused.


- 21. The case property if any be destroyed after the expiry of period provided for appeal/revision. !!
- 22. File be consigned to the Record Room after its necessary completion and compilation.

Announced  
27.05.2021

  
**(Rehmat Ullah Wazir)**  
 JM-I/MTMC/JJC,  
 Orakzai (at Baber Mela)

**CERTIFICATE**

Certified that this order consists of Nine (09) pages. !!  
 Each page has been read, corrected where-ever necessary and signed by me.  
 Dated: 27.05.2021.

  
**(Rehmat Ullah Wazir)**  
 JM-I/MTMC/JJC,  
 Orakzai (at Baber Mela)