(25

IN THE COURT OF MUHADMMAD IMTIAZ CIVIL JUDGE-II, ORAKZAI

Suit No	78/1 of 2019
Date of Institution	14/10/2019
Date of Decision	19/02/2020

Bibi Zohra W/O Hunar Baz, R/O: Sec. Ali Kheil, Tappa Sher Kheil, Alif Kheil, P.O Tehsil Giljo, Upper; District Orakzai.

..... (Plaintiff)

VERSUS

- 1. Chairman Nadra, Islamabad
- 2. Director General Nadra Hayatabad Peshawar, KPK
- 3. Assistant Director General Nadra District Orakzai at Hangu

.....(**Defendants**)

SUIT FOR DECLARATION, PERPETUAL AND DIRECTORY INJUNCTION

Mr. Abid Ali Advocate for the Plaintiff
Defendants through representative MR. Farhat Abbas

JUDGMENT

1. Brief facts of the case are that Plaintiff filed suit for Declaration along with Perpetual and Directory Injunction to effect that Plaintiff Correct Date of birth is 01/01/1960 while in the defendant's record (CNIC No. 21604-4307326-6) her Date of birth is recorded as 01/01/1964 which is wrong, clerical mistake and liable to be corrected.



<u>2.</u> Defendant were summoned through the Process of the Court upon which the they appeared, through representative Mr. Farhat Abbas filed Written Statement and denied the claim of the plaintiff and objected the same on so many legal and factual grounds.

<u>3.</u> Pleadings of the parties were reduced to the following issues.

ISSUES:

- (1) Whether the plaintiff has got the cause of action? OPP
- (2) Whether suit of the plaintiff is bad in its present form?

 OPD
- (3) Whether correct date of birth of plaintiff is 01-01-1960 whereas defendants have wrongly recorded the same in their record as 01-01-1964? OPP
- (4) Whether the plaintiff is entitled to the decree as prayed for? OPP

Relief

<u>4.</u> Parties were provided with an opportunity to produce their respective evidence who accordingly produced them as follows:

PW- NAME	DOCUMENTS PRODUCED	EXHIBIT
<u>PW-01</u>	a. Copy of his CNIC	a.Ex.PW01/01
Nazir Khan "Son" of the	b . His Power of attorney	b .Ex.PW 01/02
Plaintiff	c. Copy of CNIC of his brother	c.Ex .PW 01/03



27

HURBITE AND RELIGION OF STREET OF STREET STREET OF STREET STREET

<u>PW-02</u>	a. Copy of his CNIC	a. E x.PW 02/01
Hunar Baz "Husband" of Plaintiff		
<u>PW-03</u>		
Sher Bahadur "Relative" of Plaintiff		
<u>PW-04</u>	a. Copy of his CNIC	a. E x.PW 04/01
Bibi Zohra "Plaintiff" herself		

Then after Plaintiff closed his evidence. On the other hand, Defendants produced the following evidence.

DW- NAME	DOCUMENTS PRODUCED	EXHIBIT
<u>DW-01</u> "Representative"	a. CNIC Processing form of the Plaintiff	a. EX . DW 1/1
of the defendants Mr. Farhat Abbas	b. Plaintiff's family tree by marriage	b. EX. DW-1/2

Evidence of the Defendant then closed

<u>5.</u> With the valuable assistance of learned counsel for the parties, I have gone through the record. My issue wise findings are as under: -

Issue No. 02:- Whether suit of the plaintiff is bad in its present form? OPD

- <u>6.</u> Perusing the case file and going through the evidence recorded by the parties' defendants fail to point out any defect or illegality in the form of the suit.
- <u>7.</u> Hence above issued is decided in "Negative"

Issue No.03:- Whether correct date of birth of plaintiff is 01-01-1960 whereas defendants have wrongly recorded the same in their record as 01-01-1964? OPP

- <u>&.</u> Onus to prove this issue is upon the plaintiff. Perusal of case file reveals that this is the main contention of the plaintiff.
- <u>9.</u> To discharge her burden plaintiff appear herself along with her husband, Son and one of her relative. Although she has not submitted any documentary evidence and produced only and relied upon the oral evidence.
- 10. It is admitted fact as per EX.PW 4/1 and EX.PW 2/1 that correct name of the plaintiff is טָלָנָת and her husband name is אָלָנָג.
- 11. PW 1 in his cross examination admitted that name of her mother is אָ נָג אָנ. His words are reproduced as under: -



Section of the sectio

12. The same fact also depicts as true and Ex. DW-1/2. While in Ex. DW-1/2 plaintiff's name as mentioned as and her husband name as mentioned as הי, ול and her husband name as mentioned as הי, ול and her husband name as mentioned as הי, ול and her husband name as mentioned as הי, ול and her husband name as mentioned as הי, ול and her husband name as mentioned as הי, ול and her husband name as mentioned as הי, ול and her husband name as mentioned as הי, ול and her husband name as mentioned as הי, ול and her husband name as mentioned as makes the case of the plaintiff doubtful that whether PW-1 is her son or not. Although correction in name of the Plaintiff at this stage cannot be touched upon for the

reason that this is not matter before the Court. As Court

cannot have touched upon those matter for the purpose of

relief which are not agitated by the parties.

13. There is no credible evidence neither documentary nor oral available on the case file to support Plaintiff's stance. It is also evident from the case file that Plaintiff is seeking correction for the sake of her Son namely Nazir Khan by alleging that his CNIC is blocked due to unnatural difference in her and her son Date of Birth. Her words are reproduced as under: -

کیونکہ مدعیہ کے پہلے بیٹے نظیر خان کی تاریخ پیدائش 1978ہے۔ بدیں وجہ شاختی کارڈ بیٹا مدعیہ نظیر خان بلاک ہے اور تجدید نہیں ہورہے۔ دریتگی مطلوب ہے دریتگی فرمائی ٔ جائے۔

Which clearly manifest that Plaintiff is interested in reviving of her son CNIC. For that very reason she want to change/amend her Date of Birth.

<u>14.</u> For what has discussed above this issue is decided in "Negative".

Issue No.01:- Whether plaintiff has got the cause of action? OPP

Issue No.04:- Whether the plaintiff is entitled to the decree as prayed for? OPP

15. Both issues are inter-related, will be decided together. On the basis of discussion, while deciding issue No.03, Plaintiff has **not** got cause of action. Plaintiff is **not entitled** to the decree as prayed for.

Hence both issued are decided in "Negative".

Relief

<u>16.</u> As plaintiff failed to prove her case through cogent, credible and reliable evidence the same is hereby stands dismissed at the cost of Rs.100/-.

<u>17.</u> File be consigned to record room after its necessary completion.

ANNOUNCED: 19.02.2020

Muhammad Imtigzi Glyll Judge/Jylli Orakziji zi (Bobar Mela)

MUHAMMAD IMTIAZ CIVIŁ JUDGE-II ORAKZAI

CERTIFICATE

Certified that this judgment consists of six (06) pages and each page is read over, checked and corrected wherever necessary.

CIVIL JUDGE-II

ORAKZAI

DECREE SHEET

IN THE COURT OF MUHAMMAD IMTIAZ CIVIL JUDGE-II ORAKZAI

Suit No. 78/1 of 2019

Date of institution......14.10.2019

Date of decision19.02.2020

(1) Bibi Zohra W/O Hunar Baz, R/O, Sec. Ali Khel, Tapa Sher Khel, Alif Khel, P.O Tehsil Ghiljo Tehsil Upper, District Orakzai.

...... (Plaintiff)

VERSUS

- (1) Registrar General Nadra, Islamabad
- (2) Deputy Registrar General Nadra Peshawar, KPK
- (3) Assistant Registrar General Nadra District Orakzai.

.....(Defendants)

SUIT FOR DECLARATION, PERPETUAL AND MANDATORY INJUNCTION

This suit coming on the date 19th day of February 2020 for final disposal before

me, in presence of counsel for the plaintiff Mr. Abid Ali advocate and

representative for defendants Mr. Farhat Abbas. It is ordered that Keeping in

view my detail judgement separately placed on file consisting of 06 pages. His ordered Matin

- 1. As plaintiff failed to prove her case through cogent, credible and reliable evidence the same is hereby stands dismissed at the cost of Rs. 100/-.
- 2. File be consigned to record room after its necessary completion.

COSTS OF SUIT

PLAINTIFF	CONTENTS	DEFENDANT
	Stamp on suit	
	Pre-emption amount	
	Commission fee	
	Stamp of power	
	Proclamation fee	
	Witness expensive	
	Miscellaneous	
	Total	

Note: Given under my hand signature and seal stamp of this court on 19th day of, February, 2020.

Civi luc (25)M41 Orakzai (17 bar kileta) Muhammad (mtiaz Civil Judge-II, Qrakzai.