29

### IN THE COURT OF REHMAT ULLAH WAZIR,

CIVIL JUDGE-I, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Civil Suit No.

104/1 of 2020

Date of Institution:

12/09/2020

Date of Decision:

09/11/2020

#### Tariq s/o Sher Akbar

Caste Mula Khel, Tappa Char Khela, Malalai, Pukhtanai, PO Ghiljo, Tehsil Upper Orakzai & District Orakzai......

(Plaintif)

#### VERSUS

- 1. Chairman, NADRA, Islamabad.
- 2. Director, General NADRA KPK Peshawar.
- 3. Assistant Director, NADRA District Orakzai.

(Defendants)

## SUIT FOR DECLARATION, PERMANENT AND MANDATORY INJUNCTION

# **SUMMARY JUDGEMENT:** 09.11.2020

- Sher Akbar, has brought the instant suit for declaration, permanent and mandatory injunction against the defendants, referred hereinabove, seeking declaration therein that his correct date of birth is 28.01.2001 according to his Secondary School Certificate, while it has been wrongly mentioned as 03.02.1995 in his CNIC by the defendants, which is against the facts and circumstances. That defendants were repeatedly asked to correct the date of birth of plaintiff but they refused. Hence, the instant suit.
- 2. Defendants were summoned, who appeared through attorney namely Syed Farhat Abbas, who submitted written statement.

Case Title: Tariq VS NADRA

Case No. 104/1

Page 1 of 3

- During the scheduling conference within the meaning of order IX-A of CPC, it was revealed that the matter involved in the instant case is very petty in nature, which can be decided through summary judgement as per relevant record and to this effect notice was given to the parties that why not case in hand be decided on the basis of available record without recording pro and contra evidence, as the primary aim and objective of Amended Management Rules in CPC is, "to enable the court to
  - a. Deal with the cases justly and fairly;
  - b. Encourage parties to alternate dispute resolution procedure if it considers appropriate;
  - c. Save expense and time both of courts and litigants; and
  - d. Enforce compliance with provisions of this Code."

Plaintiff recorded his statement as PW-01 and he was duly cross examined. Learned counsel for plaintiff and representative for defendants heard and record gone through.

4. Record reveals that plaintiff through instant suit is seeking correction of his date of birth to the effect that his correct date of birth is 28.01.2001 according to his Secondary School Certificate while it has been wrongly mentioned as 03.02.1995 in his CNIC by the defendants. Plaintiff in support of his contention produced the copies of his Matriculation Certificate and DMC which are Ex.PW-1/1 and Ex.PW-1/2 respectively and according to which, his date of

birth is 28.01.2001, which establishes that the date of birth of the plaintiff has wrongly been mentioned in his CNIC that is against the facts and circumstances. The available record is sufficient to decide the fate of the case and no further evidence is required to be produced by the parties.

- 5. Consequently, upon what has been discussed above and the jurisdiction vested in this court under order IX-A and XV-A of CPC, suit of the plaintiff succeeds and is hereby decreed as prayed for. Defendants are directed to correct the date of birth of plaintiff as 28.01.2001 in their record and in the CNIC of the plaintiff.
- 6. Parties are left to bear their own costs.
- 7. File be consigned to the record room after its necessary completion and compilation.

Announced 09/11/2020

(Rehmat Ullah Wazir) Civil Judge-I, Orakzai (at Baber Mela)

## **CERTIFICATE**

Certified that this judgment of mine consists of 03 (Three) pages, each has been checked, corrected where necessary and signed by me.

(Rehmat Ullah Wazir) Civil Judge-I,

Orakzai (at Baber Mela)