

Civil Suit No.

316/1 of 2020

Date of Institution:

10/07/2020

Date of Decision:

23/11/2020

### Iqtidar Ali s/o Inaam Ali

Caste Bar Muhammad Khel, Tappa Merazi Khel, PO Kurez, village Aabi Shal Tehsil lower Orakzai & District Orakzai..... (Plaintiff)

#### VERSUS

- 1. Chairman, NADRA, Islamabad.
- 2. Director, General NADRA KPK Peshawar.
- 3. Assistant Director, NADRA District Orakzai.

(Defendants)

#### SUIT FOR DECLARATION & PERMANENT INJUNCTION

# <u>SUMMARY JUDGEMENT:</u> 23.11.2020

1.

Plaintiff, Iqtidar Ali s/o Inaam Ali, has brought the instant suit for declaration-cum-permanent injunction against the defendants, referred hereinabove, seeking declaration therein that his correct date of birth is 30.03.2000, while it has been wrongly mentioned as 30.03.2008 in his CNIC by the defendants, which is against the facts and circumstances. Hence, the instant suit.

Defendants were summoned, who appeared through attorney namely Syed Farhat Abbas, and submitted their written statement.

3. During the scheduling conference within the meaning of order IX-A of CPC, it was revealed that the matter involve in the

Page | 1 of 4

(18)

instant case is very petty in nature, which can be decided through summary judgement as per relevant record and to this effect notice was given to the parties that why not case in hand be decided on the basis of available record without recording pro and contra evidence, as the primary aim and objective of Amended Management Rules in CPC is, "to enable the court to-

- a. Deal with the cases justly and fairly;
- b. Encourage parties to alternate dispute resolution procedure if it considers appropriate;
- c. Save expense and time both of courts and litigants; and

d. Enforce compliance with provisions of this Code."

Learned counsel for plaintiff and representative for seemants heard and record gone through.

Record reveals that plaintiff through instant suit is seeking correction of his date of birth to the effect that his correct date of birth is 30.03.2000 but defendants have wrongly mentioned the same as 30.03.2008. Plaintiff in support of his contention produced his SSC DMC, wherein, date of birth of plaintiff has been mentioned as 30.03.2000. It is also evident from DMC issued by BISE Kohat that plaintiff appeared in examination of class 9<sup>th</sup> in year 2016. It does not appeal to common sense and prudent mind that a person at the age of 08 years can appeared in examination of class nine. This fact alone, clearly suggests

FARMANULLAH

that incorporation of date of birth of plaintiff in NADRA record as 30.03.2008 is contrary to the facts and is result of mistake.

Further, there is no counter document available with the defendants to rebut the said DMC. Moreover, the SSC DMC is maintained and issued by BISE under statutory mandate, provided under the law. Hence, in circumstances, the said DMC is admissible and reliance is placed on it and is sufficient to decide the fate of instant case. So, the available record clearly establishing the claim of plaintiff.

Consequently, upon what has been discussed above and the jurisdiction vested in this court under order IX-A and XV-A of CPC, suit of the plaintiff succeeds and is hereby decreed as prayed for. Defendants are directed to correct the date of birth of plaintiff as 30.03.2000 in their record.

6. Parties are left to bear their own costs.

7. File be consigned to the record room after its necessary

completion and compilation.

**Announced** 23/11/2020

Senior Civil Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela.



## **CERTIFICATE**

Certified that this judgment of mine consists of **04** (four) pages including this page, each has been checked, corrected where necessary and signed by me.

Senior Civil Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela.