

## IN THE COURT OF FARMAN ULLAH, SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Civil Suit No.

247/1 of 2020

Date of Institution:

21/01/2020

Date of Decision:

22/07/2020

#### Atif Ali s/o Wahid Ali

Resident of Gandatyal Section Bar Ali Khel Sub Section Mirwas Khel, PO Ghiljo, Tehsil upper & District Orakzai..... (Plaintiff)

#### **VERSUS**

- 1. Chairman, NADRA, Islamabad.
- 2. Director, General NADRA Hayatabad KP.
- 3. Assistant Director, Registration NADRA District Orakzai.

(Defendants)

## SUIT FOR DECLARATION, PERMANENT & MANDATORY INJUNCTION

## **JUDGEMENT:**

22.07.2020

Brief facts of case in hand are that the plaintiff, Atif Ali s/o
Wahid Ali, has brought the instant suit for declaration,
permanent and mandatory injunction against the defendants,
referred hereinabove, seeking declaration, therein, that his
correct date of birth is 23.03.2001 while defendants have
wrongly mentioned the same in their record as 23.03.2004,
which is incorrect and liable to be corrected. Hence, the present
suit.

Defendants were summoned, who appeared through attorney namely Syed Farhat Abbas and submitted written statement, wherein they contested the suit of plaintiff on various grounds.

Divergent pleadings of the parties were reduced into the following issues;

FARMANULLAH
Senidr Civil Judge
Orakzailat Baber Mela

0606. F.BB

Atif Ali vs NADRA

#### Issues:

- 1. Whether plaintiff has got cause of action?
- 2. Whether the correct date of birth of the plaintiff is "23.03.2001" while defendants have wrongly mentioned the same as **23.03.2004** in their record?
- 3. Whether plaintiff is entitled to the decree as prayed for?
- 4. Relief.
- Parties were provided opportunity to produce evidence in 5. support of their respective contention, which they did. Plaintiff produced his witnesses as PW-1 to PW-4.
- In rebuttal defendants produced their sole witness namely Syed 6. Farhat Abbas, representative, as DW-1. He produced the record form of plaintiff and exhibited the same as Ex. DW-1/1.

After conclusion of the evidence arguments pro and contra Heard. Case file is gone through.

My issues wise findings are as under:

#### Issue No.02:

Senior

Olakzai LB ber M

Plaintiff contended in his plaint that his correct date of birth is 23.03.2001 but inadvertently the same was erroneously recorded as 23.03.2003 in NADRA record. Hence, the record is liable to be corrected.

Plaintiff in support of his contention has appeared as PW-1 and he repeated the contents of plaint in his examination in chief. He also produced his DMC as Ex.PW-1/1 and Form-B registration Form as Ex.PW-1/2, while PW-2, Tajamal Hassan, who is real paternal uncle of plaintiff stated in his examination in chief that correct date of birth of the plaintiff is 23.03.2001.

PW-3, Kareem Gul, who is cousin of the plaintiff supported the contention of the plaintiff. He produced and exhibited his CNIC as Ex.PW-3/1. PW-4, Asif Ali, who is brother of the plaintiff also supported the contention of the plaintiff. He produced and exhibited his CNIC as Ex.PW-4/1. PW-1 to PW-4 were subjected to cross examination but nothing substantial was brought on record which could have shattered their testimony rather they remained consistent regarding the facts uttered by them in their examination in chief. Their testimony is also corroborated by the Matric DMC of plaintiff produced by PW-1 as Ex.PW-1/1, wherein, the date of birth of plaintiff 7.2000 has been recorded as 23.03.2001. Even Form-B Ex.PW-1/2 previously issued also reflects the date of birth of plaintiff as 23.03.2020. So, the oral and documentary evidence produced by the plaintiff clearly establishing that the correct date of birth of the plaintiff is 23.03.2001. The incorporation of date of birth of the plaintiff as 23.03.2003 in the record of NADRA appears to be a mistake. Hence, the issue No. 2 is decided in positive.

# Issue No. 01 & 03:

<sub>|Senio</sub>√Civil∫Jud(!

These issues are taken together. For what has been held in issue No. 2, this court is of the opinion that plaintiff has got cause of action and he is entitled to the decree as prayed for.

(36)

The issues are decided in positive.

## Relief:

Consequently, suit of the plaintiff succeeds and is hereby decreed as prayed for. Defendants are directed to correct their record by incorporating the date of birth of the plaintiff as 23.03.2001 in their record. Parties are left to bear their own costs.

9. File be consigned to the record room after its completion

and compilation.

Senior Civil Vudge Otakzai al Baber Mela

**Announced** 22/07/2020

(Karman Wilah) Senior Civil Judge, Orakzai (at Baber Mela).

## **CERTIFICATE**

Certified that this judgment of mine consists of **04** (four) pages, each page has been checked, corrected where necessary and signed by me.

(**Farman Ullah)**Senior Oivil Judge,
Orakzai (at Baber Mela).

Senior Civil Judge