

IN THE COURT OF MUHAMMAD AYAZ KHAN,

SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Civil Suit No.

257/1 of 2019

Date of Institution:

28/01/2020

Date of Decision:

21/02/2020

Saeeda Bibi w/o Rasheed Gul

Resident of Village Oat Mela, PO Ghiljo, Tehsil upper Orakzai & District Orakzai..... (Plaintiff)

VERSUS

- 1. Chairman, NADRA, Islamabad.
- 2. Director, General NADRA KPK Peshawar.
- 3. Assistant Director, NADRA District Orakzai.

(Defendants)

SUIT FOR DECLARATION & PERMANENT INJUNCTION

SUMMARY JUDGEMENT: ORDER XV-A CPC

- Plaintiff, Saeeda Bibi w/o Rasheed Gul, has brought the instant suit for declaration-cum-permanent injunction against the defendants, referred hereinabove, seeking declaration therein that her correct date of birth is 01.01.1984, while it has the defendants, been wrongly mentioned as 01.01.1988 by the defendants, being civil judge, senior civil
 - 2. Defendants were summoned, who appeared through attorney namely **Syed Farhat Abbas**, who stated at the bar that there is no need of filing of application on behalf of the defendants. He submitted written statement, which is placed on file.

(16)

During the scheduling conference within the meaning of order IX-A of CPC, it was revealed that the matter involved in the instant case is very petty in nature, which can be decided as per available record and there is no need of pro and contra evidence in the instant case. The primary aim and objective of Amended Management Rules in CPC is, "to enable the court to-

- a. Deal with the cases justly and fairly;
- b. Encourage parties to alternate dispute resolution procedure if it considers appropriate;

During the scheduling conference, it was noticed by the

- c. Save expense and time both of courts and litigants; and
- d. Enforce compliance with provisions of this Code."

son namely Muhammad Amjad is 15 years, which is not possible and the same is unnatural gape. Even otherwise, the above-mentioned gap between plaintiff and her son is not appealable to any prudent mind, hence, declared unnatural and against the facts and circumstances of the case. There is no need of evidence in the instant case as the correction can be made on the available record. Even if the evidence is produced by the parties, the factum of unnatural gape between the plaintiff and her son will not be changed. This unnatural gape



also against the law of the land. If this correction is not made in the CNIC of the plaintiff, at this stage, it would create problems for the children of the plaintiff in future. Even otherwise, it is in the interest of NADRA to maintain correct database of the plaintiff. Interestingly, the representative of the defendants conceded at the bar that decree can be granted without evidence in present case as the gap between the plaintiff and her son is unnatural and against the law of nature. Now, the question before the court is, what is the correct date of birth of the plaintiff? The factor of unnatural gap between the plaintiff and her son cannot be ignored, which needs to be corrected in order to avoid future complications. If the gape is 18 years, then, the issue of unnatural gape can be resolved. If we consider 01.01.1984 as correct date of birth of the plaintiff, then the gap between the plaintiff with his mother is more than which is unnatural not gape. circumstances, it is held that the correct date of birth of the plaintiff is 01.01.1984. Hence, plaintiff has got a very good

is not sustainable as it is not only against the law of nature but

Relief:

Consequently, upon what has been discussed above and the jurisdiction vested in this court under order IX-A and XV-A of

case and is entitled for the decree.

18

CPC, suit of the plaintiff succeeds and is hereby decreed as above. Defendants are directed to correct her date of birth as 01.01.1984 forthwith.

- 3. Parties are left to bear their own costs.
- 4. File be consigned to the record room after its necessary completion and compilation.

Announced 21/02/2020

(Muhammad Ayaz Khan)
Senior Civil Judge,
Orakzai at Baber Mela

CERTIFICATE

Certified that this judgment of mine consists **04** (four) pages, each has been checked, corrected where necessary and signed by me.

MUHAMMAD AYAZ KHAN Senior Civil Judge, Orakzai (at Baber Mela).