IN THE COURT OF MUHAMMAD AYAZ KHAN,

SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Civil Suit No.

Date of Institution:

179/1 of 2019 20/09/2019

Date of Decision:

06/11/2019

Muhammad Iltaf s/o Khayal Zar Khan

Resident of Village Yakho Kandawo, PO Ghiljo, Upper Orakzai & District Orakzai..... (Plaintiff)

VERSUS

- 1. Chairman, NADRA, Islamabad.
- 2. Director, General NADRA KPK Peshawar.
- 3. Assistant Director, NADRA District Orakzai.

(Defendants)

SUIT FOR DECLARATION & PERMANENT INJUNCTION

JUDGEMENT:

Plaintiff, **Muhammad Iltaf**, has brought the instant suit for declaration-cum-permanent injunction against the defendants, referred hereinabove, seeking declaration therein that his correct date of birth is 14/09/2001 while it has been wrongly mentioned as 13/08/1996 by the defendants, which is incorrect and against the facts, so, liable to be corrected. Hence, the instant suit.

1. Defendants were summoned, who appeared through attorney namely Syed Farhat Abbas and submitted written statement, which is placed on file.



Divergent pleadings of the parties were reduced into the following issues;

Issues:

- 1. Whether the plaintiff has got any cause of action?
- 2. Whether suit of the plaintiff is within time?
- 3. Whether the correct date of birth of the plaintiff is 14/09/2001, while the date 13/08/1996 as mentioned in CNIC of the plaintiff is incorrect.
- 4. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to the decree as prayed for?

5. Relief.

Parties were directed to produce evidence of their own choice, which they did. Plaintiff produced three (03) witnesses.

- PW-1 is Muhammad Iltaf, the plaintiff himself, appeared and recorded his statement as PW-1. He stated that his real date of birth is 14/09/2001, which is correctly entered in his Matric DMC. He produced and exhibited copy of his CNIC as Ex. PW-1/1, his Matric DMC as Ex. PW-1/2. He requested for grant of decree as prayed for. He was cross examined by the attorney of the defendants.
- 4. PW-2, is Zahir Jan, uncle of the plaintif, who appeared and recorded his statement. He stated that the real date of birth of the

plaintiff is 14/09/2001. He produced and exhibited copy of his CNIC as Ex.PW-2/1. He was cross examined by the defendants.

- PW-3, is Yaqoot Marjan, another uncle of the plaintiff. He produced and exhibited copy of his CNIC as Ex. PW-3/1. He supported the contention of the plaintiff and requested for decree as prayed for. He was cross examined by the defendants.
- In rebuttal defendants produced an examined sole witness namely Syed Farhat Abbas, representative, as DW-1 and recorded his statement as DW-1. He is cross examined by the plaintiff.

After conclusion of the evidence arguments pro and contra heard. Case file is gone through.

Senior Civil Judge AanguMy issues wise findings are as under:

Issue No.03:

UHAMMAD AYAZ

Orakzai e

Perusal of record and evidence present on file reveals that correct date of birth of the plaintiff is 14/09/2001, which is evident from the school SSC DMC, exhibited as Ex. PW-1/2. It is settled law that whenever there is clash between the CNIC and the SSC certificate, in respect of date of birth, the SSC certificate shall prevail. In present case, as per Ex. PW-1/2, the correct date of the birth of the plaintiff is 14/09/2001. Nothing is produced by the defendants to rebut the said document. This

factum is admitted by the attorney of the defendants at the time of arguments. Facts admitted need not to be proved as per article 113 of Qanun-e-Shahadat. Even otherwise, the same is not rebutted by any documents by the defendants, hence, the said documents are admissible in evidence, which is relied upon in present circumstances. Nothing is produced in rebuttal by the defendants.

Moreover, taking wisdom from the case law reported in
PLD 2003 Supreme Court page 849, "wherein it has been
mentioned by the honorable Supreme Court of Pakistan that the
best evidence to prove this fact (age or date of birth) was of
those person who would have an ordinary course of life having
personal knowledge. Statement of mother is at high pedestal as
compared to other as she has given birth to him."

In present case, the 02 real uncles of the plaintiff have recorded their statements and mentioned the correct date of birth of the plaintiff as 14/09/2001. As per the said judgement, uncles of the plaintiff are in a good position and personal knowledge to tell the real date of birth of the plaintiff. Hence, reliance is placed on the judgement, referred hereinabove. It is held that the correct date of birth of the plaintiff is 14/09/2001.



Hence, the issue in hand is decided in affirmative.

Issue No. 02:

The instant suit is for declaration and the limitation for the instant suit is 06 years. As per the available record, suit of the plaintiff is within time. Onus of proof was upon the defendants to establish that suit is barred by time. However, nothing is produced by the defendants in this regard and the onus has not been discharged by the defendants. Resultantly, the issues in hand is decided in negative.

Issue No. 01 & 04:

Both issues are taken together. For what has been held in issue No. 3, this court is of the opinion that plaintiff has got cause of action and he is entitled to the decree as prayed for.

These issues are decided in affirmative.

Relief:

LIAMAD AY

Senior Civil Judge, Orakzai at Hangu,

Consequently, suit of the plaintiff succeeds and is hereby decreed as prayed for. Defendants are directed to correct his date of birth as 14/09/2001 forthwith.

- Parties are left to bear their own costs.
- File be consigned to the record room after its completion. 11.

Announced 06/11/2019

(Muhammad Ayaz Khan)
Senior Civil Judge,
Orakzai at Baber Mela

CERTIFICATE

Certified that this judgment of mine consists 06 (six) pages, each has been checked, corrected where necessary and signed by me.

(MUHAMMAD AYAZ KHAN)
Senior Civil Judge,
Orakzai (at Baber Mela).