

(4)

IN THE COURT OF MUHAMMAD AYAZ KHAN,
SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Civil Suit No. 146/1 of 2019
Date of Institution: 02/07/2019
Date of Decision: 25/10/2019

Zulfiqar Ali s/o Gul Naqi

Resident of Village Sra khona, PO Kurez, Lower Orakzai & District Orakzai.....
(Plaintiff)

VERSUS

1. **Chairman, NADRA, Islamabad.**
2. **Director, General NADRA KPK Peshawar.**
3. **Assistant Director, NADRA District Orakzai.**

(Defendants)

SUIT FOR DECLARATION & PERMANENT INJUNCTION

JUDGEMENT:

Plaintiff, **Zulfiqar Ali**, has brought the instant suit for declaration-cum-permanent injunction against the defendants, referred hereinabove, seeking declaration therein that his correct date of birth is **02/03/2000** while it has been wrongly mentioned as **01/09/1993** by the defendants, which is incorrect and against the facts, so, liable to be corrected. Hence, the instant suit.


MUHAMMAD AYAZ
Senior Civil Judge,
Orakzai at Hangu

Defendants were summoned, who appeared through attorney namely **Syed Farhat Abbas** and submitted written statement, which is placed on file.

Divergent pleadings of the parties were reduced into the following issues;

Issues:

1. Whether the plaintiff has got any cause of action?

5

2. Whether suit of the plaintiff is within time?
3. Whether the correct date of birth of the plaintiff is **02/03/2000**, while the date 01/09/1993 as mentioned in CNIC of the plaintiff is incorrect.
4. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to the decree as prayed for?
5. Relief.


2. Parties were directed to produce evidence of their own choice, which they did. Plaintiff produced three (03) witnesses.

3. PW-1, Zulfiqar Ali, is the plaintiff himself, who appeared and recorded his statement. He stated on oath that his correct date of birth according to his school record is 02/03/2000. He produced his birth certificate and exhibited the copy of the same as Ex. PW-1/1, copy of his CNIC as Ex. PW-1/2 and copy of his mother's CNIC as Ex. PW-1/3. He requested for grant of decree as prayed for. He is cross examined by the attorney of the defendants.

4. PW-2, Sadir Hussain, is the cousin of the plaintiff. He appeared and recorded his statement and stated that plaintiff is his younger cousin that's why he knows about his real date of birth, which is **02/03/2000**. He produced and exhibited copy of his CNIC as Ex. PW-2/1. He was cross examined by the defendants.


MUHAMMAD AYAZ
Senior Civil Judge
Orakzai at Hangu

5. PW-3, Sabit Ali, is the uncle of the plaintiff. He produced and exhibited copy of his CNIC as Ex. PW-3/1. He stated the same as PW-1 and PW-2. He was cross examined by the defendants.
6. In rebuttal defendants produced an examined sole witness namely Syed Farhat Abbas, representative, as DW-1 and recorded his statement as DW-1. He is cross examined by the plaintiff.
7. After conclusion of the evidence arguments pro and contra heard. Case file is gone through.
8. My issues wise findings are as under:
9. **Issue No.03:**



MUHAMMAD AYAZ
Senior Civil Judge
Orizal at Hangu.

Perusal of record and evidence present on file reveals that correct date of birth of the plaintiff is **02/03/2000**, which is evident from the birth certificate, exhibited as Ex. PW-1/1. In present case, as per Ex. PW-1/1, the correct date of the birth of the plaintiff is **02/03/2000**, which is not even objected by the defendants in the evidence. Nothing is produced by the defendants to rebut the said document. This factum is admitted by the attorney of the defendants at the time of arguments. Facts admitted need not to be proved as per article 113 of Qanun-e-Shahadat. Hence, the said document is admissible in evidence, which is relied upon in present circumstances. Nothing is produced in rebuttal by the defendants.

Moreover, taking wisdom from the case law reported in **PLD 2003 Supreme Court page 849**, “wherein it has been mentioned by the honorable Supreme Court of Pakistan that the best evidence to prove this fact (age or date of birth) was of those person who would have an ordinary course of life having personal knowledge. Statement of mother is at high pedestal as compared to other as she has given birth to him.”

In present case, the uncle and cousin of the plaintiff have recorded their statements and mentioned the correct date of birth of the plaintiff as **02/03/2000**. As per the said judgement, the uncle of the plaintiff is in a good position having personal knowledge to tell the real date of birth of the plaintiff. Hence, reliance is placed on the judgement, referred hereinabove. It is held that the correct date of birth of the plaintiff is **02/03/2000**.

Hence, the issue in hand is decided in affirmative.


MUHAMMAD AYAZ
Senior Civil Judge,
Orakzai at Hangu

Issue No. 02:

The instant suit is for declaration and the limitation for the instant suit is 06 years. As per the available record, suit of the plaintiff is within time. Onus of proof was upon the defendants to establish that suit is barred by time. However, nothing is produced by the defendants in this regard and the onus has not

been discharged by the defendants. Resultantly, the issues in hand is decided in negative.

Issue No. 01 & 04:

Both issues are taken together. For what has been held in issue No. 3, this court is of the opinion that plaintiff has got cause of action and he is entitled to the decree as prayed for.


These issues are decided in affirmative.

Relief:

Consequently, suit of the plaintiff succeeds and is hereby decreed as prayed for. Defendants are directed to correct his date of birth as **02/03/2000** forthwith.


10. Parties are left to bear their own costs.
11. File be consigned to the record room after its completion.

Announced
25/10/2019


(Muhammad Ayaz Khan)
Senior Civil Judge,
Orakzai at Baber Mela

CERTIFICATE

Certified that this judgment of mine consists **05** (five) pages, each has been checked, corrected where necessary and signed by me.


(MUHAMMAD AYAZ KHAN)
Senior Civil Judge,
Orakzai (at Baber Mela).