# IN THE COURT OF REHMAT ULLAH WAZIR, SCJ/JM, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

CASE NO.	:	29/2 of 2022
DATE OF INSTITUTION	:	08.11.2022
DATE OF DECISION	:	31.05.2023

STATE THROUGH: MUHAMMAD ULLAH S/O HAKEEM GUL, R/O QOUM MULA KHEL, TAPPA CHAR KHELA, DABORI, TEHSIL UPPER, DISTRICT ORAKZAI

---(Complainant)

## VS

1. SYED SALAM S/O MAULAI KHAN

2: ABDUL SALAM S/O SYED SALAM

- 3. ABDUL BASIT S/O SYED SALAM
- 4. UMAR GULA W/O SYED SALAM

5. RASHEEDA BIBI W/O ABDUL SALAM

All R/O QOUM MULA KHEL, TAPPA CHAR KHELA, DABORI, TEHSIL UPPER, DISTRICT ORAKZAI

-----(Accused Facing Trial)

Present: Mr. Umar Niaz Khan, District Public Prosecutor and Noor Karim Orakzai advocate for complainant.

> : Dr. Ameer Ajam advocate and Malak Shehzad advocate for the accused facing trial.

#### ORDER 31.05.2023

Weller M

10-

Civil Judge!

1. Accused facing trial, Syed Salam, Abdul Salam produced in custody and accused Abdul Basit on bail present while accused Umar Gula and Rasheed Bibi are exempted who are charged in case FIR No. 12, Dated: 28.04.2022, U/S 324/337A(ii)/337F(ii)/337D/148/149 PPC of PS: Dabori, U/Orakzai for attempt to commit Qatl-i-Amd, for causing hurt and rioting armed with deadly weapons.

2. Briefly stated factual background of the instant case is that the complainant namely Muhammad Ullah reported the matter for attempt to commit Qatl-i-Amd, causing hurt and rioting armed with deadly weapons in furtherance of common object of all the accused facing trial.

**3.** Upon which, the instant case was registered at PS: Dabori, U/Orakzai on 28.04.2022 vide FIR. 12.

4. After completion of the investigation, the complete challan was submitted on 08.11.2022 to this court. The accused on bail were summoned while the accused in custody were summoned through Zamima "Bay". The accused on bail appeared and the accused in custody produced and the provisions of 241-A Cr.P.C were duly complied with. The formal charge against the accused was framed on 21.12.2022 and the said charge was reframed on 17.05.2023, to which the accused person pleaded not guilty and claimed trial.

5. Prosecution was given ample opportunity to adduce its evidence as it desired. Prosecution produced the following evidence;

- i. Imtiaz Khan, SHO as PW-01
- ii. Gul Asghar, SI the then Muharrir as PW-02.
- iii. Muhammad Ishaq, OII as PW-03.
- iv. Kalim Ullah, constable as PW-04.

120

- Ismail Khan, constable as PW-05. v.
- Dr. Usama Ahmad, CMO, DHQ Hospital Mishti vi. Mela as PW-06.
- vii. Saif Ur Rehman, OII as PW-07.
- Muhammad Ullah, complainant as PW-08 viii.
- Safi Ullah, injured as PW-09 ix.

6. In documentary evidence, prosecution has produced the following;

- i. Murasila Ex. PW-1/1
- Injury sheet of injured Safi Ullah with report ii.

## Ex.PW-1/2 & Ex.PW-6/1

iii. Injury sheet of injured Abdul Samad with Ex.PW-1/3 & Ex.PW-6/3 report Injury sheet of injured Hakeem Gul with iv. Ex.PW-1/4 & Ex.PW-6/7 report Injury sheet of injured Samad Ullah with v.

> Ex.PW-1/5 & Ex.PW-6/5 report

- vi. Complete Challan **Ex.PW-1/6**
- vii. FIR Ex.PW-2/1
- viii. Site plan Ex.PW-3/1

Card of arrest of the accused Syed Salam ix.

#### Ex.PW-3/2

Fard Parchat (memo) Х.

**Ex.PW-3/3** 

We HANNELLE in Anglinge Oralizal et Manar

State Vs Syed Salam and others | FIR. 12 of 2022 | Case No. 29/2 | Page 3 of 13

1/21

ANDAT Minduket WAAR

ENTRAT WILLENDER MARINE Servor Civit Davider Meta Servor Civit Basser Meta Oralizat at Basser

RE

Application for police custody of the xi. accused Syed Salam and Yousaf Mehmood.

Ex.PW-3/4

xii.	Search memo/recovery	Ex.PW-3/5
xiii.	Recovery sketch	Ex.PW-3/6
xiv.	Insertion memo	Ex.PW-3/7
XV.	Applications to FSL	

Ex.PW-3/8 to Ex.PW-3/9

xvi.	Road Certificate	Ex.PW-3/11
xvii.	FSL reports Ex.PV	W-3/12 & Ex.PW-3/13
xviii.	Card of arrest of ac	ccused of Abdul Basit,
	Shahid Ullah and Muhammad Yasin	

Ex.PW-3/14

xix.	Application for police custody of the			
	aforesaid accused Ex.PW-3/15			
XX.	Application for warrant u/s 204 Cr.P.C of			
	the accused Abdul Salam Ex.PW-3/16			
xxi.	Warrant u/s 204 Cr.P.C Ex.PW-3/17			
xxii.	Reports of LRH, Peshawar Ex.PW-6/2,			
Ex.PW-6/4, Ex.PW-6/6 & Ex.PW-6/8				
xxiii.	Application for further custody of the above			
	accused Ex.PW-7/1			
xxiv.	Application for proclamation u/s 87 Cr.P.C			

State Vs Syed Salam and others	FIR. 12 of 2022	Case No. 29/2	Page 4 of 13
--------------------------------	-----------------	---------------	--------------

22

of the accused Abdul Salam Ex.PW-7/2

xxv. Card of arrest of the accused Abdul Salam

Ex.PW-7/3

xxvi. Application for police custody of the accused Abdul Salam Ex.PW-7/4
7. Then after, on 27.04.2023, the learned APP and counsel for the complainant closed the evidence on behalf of the prosecution.

**8.** Statements of the accused u/s 342 Cr.P.C were recorded wherein they neither opted to be examined on oath u/s 342(2) of the Cr.P.C nor did they want to produce any defence evidence in their defence.

9. The accused in reply of the question that what is your statement and why you are charged, submitted that; "They have been falsely charged. The complainant party attacked and entered in their house and made firing upon them and also injured them through sticks and axes etc and with the firing of complainant, Safi Ullah got injured and malafidly and falsely charged them"

**10.** After conclusion of trial, arguments of the learned counsel for the accused facing trial and of the DPP & counsel for the state/complainant heard and record perused.

11. All the accused are charged with the offence U/S

zai et Baber

324/337A(ii)/337F(ii)/337D/148/149 PPC. Sec. 324 PPC deals with punishment of attempt to commit Qatl-i-Amd, Sec. 337A(ii) PPC is Shajjah-i-mudihah, Sec. 337F(ii) PPC is Badi'ah, Sec. 337D PPC is Jaifah, Sec. 148 PPC deals with rioting armed with deadly weapon and Sec. 149 PPC fixes joint responsibility on every member of unlawful assembly, when that assembly commits an offence in prosecution of a common object.

12. Keeping in view, the record on file and the depositions of PWs, the prosecution is required to prove its case against the accused beyond reasonable doubts.

13. PW-01, the SHO concerned has admitted in his cross examination that the instant case is a cross one. That the complainant was injured at the time of report and his clothes were little bit smeared with blood but not his hands. Later on admitted that he has not prepared his injury sheet. Further that according to Murasila, only Safi Ullah has sustained FAI (Fire Arm Injury).

14. PW-02, Mr. Gul Asghar, SI, the then Muharrir of the PS has admitted that the I.O recorded his statement u/s 161 Cr.P.C firstly on 28.04.2022 while secondly on 29.04.2022 but he has not signed the said statement and the second statement is not available on record. Further that there is no

(124

WILLAN W

extract of Register No. 19 available on file.

15. PW-03, Mr. Muhammad Ishaq, OII of the PS have admitted in his cross-examination that no injury of the complainant has been disclosed by him or other PWs in the FIR. Further that as per Madd No. 06 and MLC of the accused party, they were also injured and that this fact was disclosed to him by the complainant party that they had beaten the accused party with stick and axe but this is not mentioned in the FIR by the complainant. That he prepared the site plan on the pointation of the complainant who got no scratch. Further that as per record, the one Safi Ullah got injured from firing of the accused Abdul Salam and Syed Salam but according to point 06 of the site plan, the complainant have stated that he got hit from the firing of Syed Salam only while according to point 07 of the site plan, he stated that he escaped from the firing of the accused Abdul Salam. Further that there is sharp difference between the story narrated in the FIR and the occurrence illustrated in the site plan. Further that according to injury sheet, the one Abdul Samad s/o Hakeem Gul did sustained FAI but according to FIR and site plan, no one else sustained FAI. Further that according to site plan, the point No. 12 & 13 were given to the accused Umar Gula and Rasheed Bibi with

120

statement that they came with good will for separating the parties. That there is no recovery of any empty and the blood stains from the place of occurrence. That there was no recovery effected directly from anyone of the accused and they have not associated any private witness during the whole of the proceedings and there is no discovery made by anyone of the accused. Further that he has not recorded the statement of Muharrir of the PS for safe custody and he has not made any entry in Register No. 19 of the PS. That he has not sent the pistol to armorer to ascertain the fact that whether the pistol is in working condition or not. That according to report of FSL, it cannot be ascertained that when the said weapon was fired for the last time.

• was fired for the last time.

NAT WILLAH WAI

**\*16. PW-04**, the one Kalim Ullah, constable, the carrier of the parcels to FSL have admitted in his cross-examination that he does not remember that when he went to the FSL. That the pistol in question was sealed in parcel No. 05 but when he was confronted with his statement u/s 161 Cr.P.C, the said number given to the parcel was 07 not 05.

**17. PW-05,** the one Ismail Khan, constable, a witness to the recovery memo have admitted in his cross-examination that there were many people on the spot and the OII may have recorded their statements but he does not remember. That the

126,

19:9 19:10

OII recovered 30 bore pistol from the accused Syed Salam in his house. That no lady constable accompanied them to the house of the accused Syed Salam but no one from the elders of the locality was in our company. That the OII prepared the site plan on the pointation of some persons, whom I don't remember exactly by name but later on admitted that the complainant party is known to him being of the same tribe and that no one of the injured/complainant were present for pointation to the OII on the spot and nothing was recovery by OII on the spot. That his statement was recorded by the OII in the PS and the recovered pistol was without licence.

18. PW-06, Dr. Usama Ahmad, CMO, DHQ Hospital Mishti Mela have admitted in his cross-examination that he has not mentioned the time of examination in his Medico Legal Oralizal at Baber Mela Reports. That he has not observed any cheering marks on the bodies of the injured. That he has not mentioned the exit wounds on the body of the injured Safi Ullah. That the injury sheet of the one Muhammad Ullah (complainant) is not available on file. That that there was no FAI was found on the body of the injured Abdul Samad. That no bullets/pellets were recovered from the bodies during examination of all the injured.

19. PW-07, Saif Ur Rehman, the OII, who partially

conducted investigation have admitted in his crossexamination that no recovery has been effected from the accused Abdul Salam.

20. PW-08, Muhammad Ullah/complainant have admitted in his cross-examination that he did not get any scratch in the occurrence and he has not mentioned the injuries sustained by the opposite party in his report. Further that he has not given any indiscriminate firing role in his report to police. That he has not mentioned the women folk from both the sides in his today's court statement. That the distance between him, the injured Safi Ullah and the accused Syed Salam and Abdul Salam was 02 paces. That the open fight between the parties continued for 20 minutes but he did not get scratch. That he took the injured Safi Ullah to their house but his hands and clothes were not smeared with blood of any injured.

**21. PW-09,** Safi Ullah, the injured, admitted in his crossexamination that he does not know when and where his statement u/s 161 Cr.P.C was recorded by the police. That he has not mentioned the names of other accused except Said Salam and Abdul Salam in his today's court statement. That his statement u/s 161 Cr.P.C was recorded by the 1.0 on 23.08.2022, wherein it is mentioned that he got hit from the

-128

firing of both the accused namely Abdul Salam and Syed Salam and the distance between us was 02 paces and the fight remained for 15 minutes. That he received only 01 bullet. That he never state anywhere in the entire case that he got injured from the fire of Syed Salam except his today's court statement. That he has not explained the delay of 04 months in recording statement u/s 161 Cr.P.C. *\*~~* 

22. In the light of the above discussion, it is clear that the case of prosecution is full of contradictions. As it is admitted by all the PWs that no empty/blood stains have ever been recovered from the place of occurrence and the same is not mentioned even in the site plan. The role of firing has been AT LAN BOLLAN IN THE FIR and the Murasila, as a result of which the injured Safi Ullah got injured chest but later on, the prosecution changed its stance at the stage of making site plan and in the evidence by alleging that it was only the accused Syed Salam, whose firing hit the injured Safi Ullah while the complainant party escaped from the firing of the accused Abdul Salam. But, the injured Safi Ullah when appeared as PW-09 have admitted that he received only 01 bullet but the concerned doctor as PW-06 have admitted that there was no exit wound on the body of

Baber

the injured Safi Ullah and also there were no cheering marks on the bodies of the injured with further admission that no bullet/pallet was recovered from the bodies during examination of all the injured. As per Ex.PW-1/3, the injured Abdul Samad sustained FAI but according to Murasila and FIR, he sustained injury through axes and sticks. According to Murasila and FIR, the accused Mst. Umar Gula and Mst. Rasheeda Bibi attacked on the complainant party through axes and sticks but according to point 12 & 13 of the site plan which is Ex.PW-3/1, they came there for separation/Khlasi between the parties. Further, the alleged pistol has not been recovered from the direct possession of the accused Syed Salam rather from his room and that too not on his pointation with further no explanation of unreasonable delay in transmitting the same to FSL and the FSL report, whereby it is mentioned that "no opinion can be expressed as to when it was last fired"? There is no entry of the case property in Register No. 19 of the PS. As per the Murasila, the report has been made in the civil hospital, Dabori but the complainant when appeared as PW-08, have admitted that he went to the PS and reported the matter at 11:00 AM in the PS.

₹. ¢~y

23. Thus, there are doubts in the evidence of prosecution and the accused are ultimately entitled to the benefits of doubts

State Vs Syed Salam and others | FIR. 12 of 2022 | Case No. 29/2 | Page 12 of 13

120

and are accordingly extended to the accused.

24. Resultantly, for the above reasons it is clear that prosecution failed to bring home the guilt of the accused facing trial. Therefore, the accused namely Syed Salam s/o Maulai Khan, Abdul Salam s/o Syed Salam, Abdul Basit s/o Syed Salam, Umar Gula Bibi w/o Syed Salam and Rasheeda Bibi w/o Abdul Salam are acquitted of the charges levelled against them. As the accused Abdul Basit, Umar Gula Bibi and Rasheeda Bibi are on bail, their bail bonds stand cancelled and sureties are discharged from their liability of bail bonds while the accused Syed Salam and Abdul Salam are in Judicial lock-up, Orakzai at Baber Mela, therefore, they be released forthwith if not required in any other case.

**25.** File be consigned to record room after its necessary completion and compilation.

Announced 31.05.2023

(Rehmat Ullah Wazir) SCJ/JM, Orakzai (at Baber Mela)

## CERTIFICATE

Certified that this order consists of thirteen (13)

pages. Each page has been read, corrected where-ever necessary and signed by me.

Dated: 31.05.2023

(Rehmat Ullah Wazir) SCJ/JM. Orakzai (at Baber Mela)

State Vs Syed Salam and others | FIR. 12 of 2022 | Case No. 29/2 | Page 13 of 13