IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA



Case Title: Rehmat Karim etc VS State

Serial No of order or proceedings	Date of Order Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
Order No.02	04.05.2023	Register. DPP, Umar Niaz for the State present.
		Accused/petitioner, Rehmat Karim and Muhammad
		Mustafa on ad-interim pre-arrest bail in person with their
,		counsel present. Record received. Counsel for the
:	•	accused/petitioners seeks time for arguments. Allowed.
		Case file be put up for arguments on 10.05.2023. (SHAUKAT AHMAD KHAN) Sessions Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela
Order No.03	10.05.2023	DPP, Umar Niaz for the State present.
		Accused/petitioner, Rehmat Karim and Muhammad
		Mustafa on ad-interim pre-arrest bail in person with their
		counsel present. Counsel for the accused/petitioners again
		seeks time for arguments. Allowed. Case file be put up
		for arguments on 17.05.2023.
	1	(SHAUKAT AHMAD KHAN) Sessions Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela
Order No.04	17.05.2023	DPP, Umar Niaz for the State present. Accused/petitioner, Rehmat Karim and Muhammad Mustafa on ad-interim pre-arrest bail in person with their
Shaukat District & Orakza	Ahmad Khan Sessions Maya at Bahdr Meday	counsel present. The above-named accused/petitioners seek confirmation of their ad interim pre-arrest bail in case FIR no. 42, dated 24.04.2023, u/s 506/34 PPC of Police Station Kalaya, wherein as per contents of FIR, on



.., 1...).

Serial No of	Date of	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or
order or	Order	Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	Wagistrate and that of parties of counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
Contin.		24.04.2023 at about 1900 hours the complainant Aftab
Order No.04		Hassan ASHO along with other police officials during
		routine patrolling acting on information about firing of
		two parties upon each other, reached the place of
		occurrence where he found that the accused/petitioners
		along with co-accused Kalish Khan, of the first party and
		accused Khanan, of the second party, criminally
, .		intimidated each other by making firing. Hence, the
		present FIR.
		Arguments heard and record perused.
	,	Perusal of the case file shows that though the
) Δ.	
		accused/petitioners are directly nominated in the instant
		FIR by the complainant/SHO on the basis of information
		but no source of information has been disclosed.
·		Moreover, no incriminating material in the form of
		empties has been recovered from the spot. Furthermore,
		not a single person from the locality has been associated
		with the occurrence to confirm even the factum of firing
		what to talk of involvement of the accused/petitioners. In
		these circumstances, the committing of the
[··		
		accused/petitioners to police custody for enabling them to
		get themselves released on post-arrest bail, would serve
		no useful purpose of the prosecution except the
		probability of unjustified harassment at the hands of
		police.
		Therefore, in the light of above, the bail petition in
	,	hand stands accepted and ad-interim pre-arrest bail earlier
		granted to the accused/petitioners stands confirmed on the
		strength of existing bail bonds. Consign.
		Pronounced:
		17.05.2023 (SHAUKAT AHMAD KHAN)
		Sessions Judge, Orakzai
		at Baber Mela
		ORAKZAI A
	,	TA PARTY TO OCT
		774NGU * 390