

IN THE COURT OF MUHAMMAD AYAZ KHAN,
SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

(4)

Civil Suit No. 76/1 of 2019
Date of Institution: 30/04/2019
Date of Decision: 20/09/2019

Dost Rehman s/o Syed Rehman

Resident of Village Teray, PO Ghiljo, Tehsil upper Orakzai & District Orakzai.....
(Plaintiff)

VERSUS

1. **Chairman, NADRA, Islamabad.**
2. **Director, General NADRA KPK Peshawar.**
3. **Assistant Director, NADRA District Orakzai.**

(Defendants)

SUIT FOR DECLARATION & PERMANENT INJUNCTION

JUDGEMENT:

1. Plaintiff, **Dost Rehman s/o Syed Rehman**, has brought the instant suit for declaration-cum-permanent injunction against the defendants, referred hereinabove, seeking declaration therein that his correct date of birth is **01/01/1960** while it has been wrongly mentioned as **1973** by the defendants, which is unnatural as the difference between the age of the plaintiff and his son is **07 years**, which is against the **natural gape**. Hence, the instant suit.
2. Defendants were summoned, who appeared through attorney namely **Habib Ullah Khan** and submitted written statement, which is placed on file.

Divergent pleadings of the parties were reduced into the following issues;

Issues:

1. Whether the plaintiff has got any cause of action?


MUHAMMAD AYAZ
Senior Civil Judge,
Orakzai at Hanguj

⑤

2. Whether suit of the plaintiff is within time?
 3. Whether the correct date of birth of the plaintiff is 01/02/1995, while the date 1988 as mentioned in CNIC of the plaintiff is incorrect.
 4. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to the decree as prayed for?
 5. Relief.
-
3. Parties were directed to produce evidence of their own choice, which they did. Plaintiff produced two (02) witnesses.
 4. PW-1 is Dost Rehman, who is plaintiff himself. He stated that his correct date of birth is **01/01/1960** while it has been wrongly mentioned as **1973** by the defendants, which is unnatural as the difference between the age of him and his elder son namely Khaista Rehman is **07 years**, which is against the **natural gape**. He further added that the difference of age between him and his 2nd son namely Adil Rehman is 10 years, with 3rd son namely Qabil Rehman is 12 years, which is against the nature and not appealable to any prudent mind. He is cross examined by the attorney of the defendants.
 5. PW-2, is Noor Saleem, witness of the plaintiff, who appeared and recorded his statement, wherein he supported the contention of the plaintiff and stated that the real date of birth of the plaintiff is 01/01/1960. He is cross examined by the attorney of the defendants.




MOHAMMAD SAJJAD
Senior Civil Judge,
Qazal at Hangu.

6. In rebuttal defendants produced an examined sole witness namely Syed Farhat Abbas, representative, as DW-1 and recorded his statement as DW-1. He produced and exhibited form-A as EX. PW-1/2. He is cross examined by the plaintiff.
7. After conclusion of the evidence arguments pro and contra heard. Case file is gone through.
8. My issues wise findings are as under:
9. **Issue No.03:**

Perusal of record reveals that correct date of birth of the plaintiff is 01/01/1960, which is evident from the evidence of the plaintiff. Further, if we presume 1973, the real date of birth of the plaintiff, then, only 07 years of difference exists between him and his son namely Khaista Rehman, and 10 years of difference between him and his 2nd son namely Adil Rehman, and 12 years of difference between him and his 3rd son namely Qabil Rehman, which is unnatural and not appealable to any prudent mind. This factum is admitted by the attorney of the defendants at the time of arguments. Facts admitted need not to be proved as per article 113 of Qanun-e-Shahadat. Even otherwise, the same is not rebutted by any documents by the defendants, hence, the said documents are admissible in evidence, which is relied upon in present circumstances. Nothing is produced in rebuttal by the defendants.


If this unnatural gape is not corrected, it will create problems for the plaintiff and his sons in future. In


MUHAMMAD AYAZ
Senior Civil Judge,
Ghazal, Jhelum

circumstances, the claim of the plaintiff, as mentioned above, is proved through cogent and reliable evidence. Hence, the issue in hand is decided in affirmative.

Issue No. 02:

The instant suit is for declaration and the limitation for the instant suit is 06 years. As per the available record, suit of the plaintiff is within time. Onus of proof was upon the defendants to establish that suit is barred by time. However, nothing is produced by the defendants in this regard and the onus has not been discharged by the defendants. Resultantly, the issues in hand is decided in negative.


MOHAMMAD ATAZ
Senior Civil Judge,
Gaza

Issue No. 04:

For what has been held in issue No. 3, this court is of the opinion that plaintiff has got cause of action and he is entitled to the decree as prayed for.


The issue is decided in affirmative.

Relief:

Consequently, suit of the plaintiff succeeds and is hereby decreed as prayed for. Defendants are directed to correct his date of birth as 01/01/1960 forthwith.

10. Parties are left to bear their own costs.
11. File be consigned to the record room after its completion.

Announced
20/09/2019




(Muhammad Ayaz Khan)
Senior Civil Judge,
Orakzai at Baber Mela

⑧

CERTIFICATE

Certified that this judgment of mine consists **05** (five) pages, each has been checked, corrected where necessary and signed by me.



(MUHAMMAD AYAZ KHAN)
Senior Civil Judge,
Orakzai (at Baber Mela).