IN THE COURT OF MUHAMMAD AYAZ KHAN, SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Civil Suit No. Date of Institution: 75/1 of 2019 30/04/2019

Date of Decision:

20/09/2019

Noor Ajab Khan s/o Mustajab Khan

Resident of Village Mishti Mela, PO Mishti Mela, Tehsil Central Orakzai & District (Plaintiff) Orakzai.....

VERSUS

Chairman, NADRA, Islamabad.

Director, General NADRA KPK Peshawar. 2.

Assistant Director, NADRA District Orakzai.

(Defendants)

SUIT FOR DECLARATION & PERMANENT INJUNCTION

JUDGEMENT:

Judge,

Orakzai at Hangu

Senior

- Plaintiff, Noor Ajab Khan, has brought the instant suit for declaration-cum-permanent injunction against the defendants, referred hereinabove, seeking therein that his correct date of birth is 01/02/1995 while it has been wrongly mentioned as 1988 by the defendants, which is unnatural as the difference between the age of the plaintiff and his father is 11 years, which is against the natural gape. Hence, the instant suit.
- Defendants were summoned, who appeared through attorney namely Habib Ullah Khan and submitted written statement, which is placed on file.

Divergent pleadings of the parties were reduced into the following issues;

Issues:

- Whether the plaintiff has got any cause of action? 1.
- 2. Whether suit of the plaintiff is within time?



- 3. Whether the correct date of birth of the plaintiff is 01/02/1995, while the date 1988 as mentioned in CNIC of the plaintiff is incorrect.
- 4. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to the decree as prayed for?
- 5. Relief.

4.

Senior Civi

Orakzai at Harigu

- Parties were directed to produce evidence of their own choice, which they did. Plaintiff produced two (02) witnesses including he himself who was examined as PW-1.
 - PW-1 is Noor Ajab Khan, who is plaintiff himself. He stated that this correct date of birth is 01/02/1995 while it has been wrongly mentioned as 1988 by the defendants, which is unnatural as the difference between the age of the plaintiff and his father is 11 years, which is against the natural gape. He is cross examined by the attorney of the defendants.
- 5. PW-2, is Amin Ajab Khan, cousin/witness of the plaintiff, who appeared and recorded his statement, wherein he supported the contention of the plaintiff and stated that the real date of birth of the plaintiff is 01/02/1995.
- 6. In rebuttal defendants produced an examined sole witness namely Habib Ullah Khan, representative, as DW-1 and recorded his statement as DW-1. He is cross examined by the plaintiff.
- 7. After conclusion of the evidence arguments pro and contra heard. Case file is gone through.
- 8. My issues wise findings are as under:



9. Issue No.03:

Perusal of record reveals that correct date of birth of the plaintiff is 01/02/1995, which is evident from the evidence of the plaintiff. Further, if we presume 1988 the real date of birth of the plaintiff, then, only 11 years of difference exists between him and his father, which is unnatural and not appealable to any prudent mind. This factum is admitted by the attorney of the defendants at the time of arguments. Facts admitted need not to be proved as per article 113 of Qanun-e-Shahadat. Even otherwise, the same is not rebutted by any documents by the defendants, hence, the said documents are admissible in evidence, which is relied upon in present circumstances. Nothing is produced in rebuttal by the defendants.

If this unnatural gape is not corrected, it will create AD AYAZ problems for the plaintiff in future. In circumstances, the claim I Judge, at Hangu of the plaintiff, as mentioned above, is proved through cogent and reliable evidence. Hence, the issue in hand is decided in affirmative.

Issue No. 02:

The instant suit is for declaration and the limitation for the instant suit is 06 years. As per the available record, suit of the plaintiff is within time. Onus of proof was upon the defendants to establish that suit is barred by time. However, nothing is produced by the defendants in this regard and the onus has not

6

been discharged by the defendants. Resultantly, the issues in hand is decided in negative.

Issue No. 04:

For what has been held in issue No. 3, this court is of the opinion that plaintiff has got cause of action and he is entitled to the decree as prayed for.

The issue is decided in affirmative.

Relief:

Consequently, suit of the plaintiff succeeds and is hereby decreed as prayed for. Defendants are directed to correct his date of birth as 01/02/1995 forthwith.

- 10. Parties are left to bear their own costs.
- 11. File be consigned to the record room after its completion.

Announced 20/09/2019

(Muhammad Ayaz Khan)
Senior Civil Judge,
Orakzai at Baber Mela

CERTIFICATE

Certified that this judgment of mine consists **04** (four) pages, each has been checked, corrected where necessary and signed by me.

(MUHAMMAD AYAZ KHAN) Senior Civil Judge, Orakzai (at Baber Mela).