IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

state

Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or

Serial No of

Case Title: Muhammad Rehman VS_

Date of

Serial No of order or proceedings	Date of Order Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
Order No.02	04.05.2023	Register. DPP, Umar Niaz for the State present.
		Accused/petitioner, Muhammad Rehman on ad-interim
		pre-arrest bail in person present. Record received. Notice
		be issued to Jehad Ullah and Muhammad Imran for
		11.05.2023.
		(SHAUKAT AHMAD KHAN) Sessions Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela
Order No.03	11.05.2023	DPP, Umar Niaz for the State present.
		Accused/petitioner, Muhammad Rehman on ad-interim
		pre-arrest bail in person along with his counsel present.
		Jehad Ullah and Muhammad Imran, despite being served
		through notices, not present. Arguments heard.
		The accused/petitioner, Muhammad Rehman s/o
		Khialbat Khan seeks confirmation of his ad-interim pre-
		arrest bail in case FIR no. 31, dated 04.04.2023, u/s 392
		PPC, 5 Explosive Substances Act and 15AA of Police
		Station Kalaya, wherein, as per contents of FIR, the local
		police acting on information regarding presence of some
		persons for the purpose of commission of offence on the
		spot, reached the place of occurrence, where at about
		1300 hours three persons on seeing the police party
		abandoning 02 motorcycles tried to make their escape
	-	good from the spot, but they were overpowered. Out of
-	MA . wint	the arrested persons, one disclosed his name as
	wat a kinyad Jud	Muhammad Salam from whose possession a Kalashnikov
6 0	aukat Aknyad Kha aukat Aknyad Kha aukat A sessions Jud atrict & sessions file aukzayat Broor Me	along with a fix charger and 02 spare chargers, a knife and
•	- thas	a hand grenade were recovered while the other two
		disclosed their names as Afsar Khan and Aman Ullah, the
		co-accused. Nothing incriminating was recovered from
FIR no. <u>31</u> ,	Dated: 04-04	- 23 , u/s 392 PPC/S Eap Act/ 15 AA , PS Kalaya

Serial No of order or proceedings Date of Order Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary. 1 2 3 Contin. Drder No.03 personal search of both the accused. Hence, the present FIR. During course of investigation, the accused disclosed that they have snatched the motorcycles from Jehad Ullah and Imran Khan. On 08.04.2023 the statements of the said Jehad Ullah and Imran Khan were recorded u/s 164 CrPC wherein they besides charging the afore-mentioned accused also charged the present accused/petitioner along with 03 other accused for snatching two motorcycles, Rs. 360,000/- and two cellphones from them on 04.04.2023 at 1100 hours, where they were on their way to their house after collecting Rs. 360,000/- from one Ali Akbar at Zakha Khel village. Though the accused/petitioner is not directly nominated in the FIR; however, he has been nominated by Jehad Ullah and Muhammad Imran in their statements recorded u/s 164 CrPC. Counsel for the accused/petitioner failed to point out any malafidi or ulterior motive on behalf of the said Jehad Ullah and
order or proceedingsOrder ProceedingsMagistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.123Contin. Drder No.03personal search of both the accused. Hence, the present FIR. During course of investigation, the accused disclosed that they have snatched the motorcycles from Jehad Ullah and Imran Khan. On 08.04.2023 the statements of the said Jehad Ullah and Imran Khan were recorded u/s 164 CrPC wherein they besides charging the afore-mentioned accused also charged the present accused/petitioner along with 03 other accused for snatching two motorcycles, Rs. 360,000/- and two cellphones from them on 04.04.2023 at 1100 hours, where they were on their way to their house after collecting Rs. 360,000/- from one Ali Akbar at Zakha Khel village.Though the accused/petitioner is not directly nominated in the FIR; however, he has been nominated by Jehad Ullah and Muhammad Imran in their statements recorded u/s 164 CrPC. Counsel for the accused/petitioner failed to point out any malafidi or ulterior motive on behalf of the said Jehad Ullah and
Contin. Drder No.03 Personal search of both the accused. Hence, the present FIR. During course of investigation, the accused disclosed that they have snatched the motorcycles from Jehad Ullah and Imran Khan. On 08.04.2023 the statements of the said Jehad Ullah and Imran Khan were recorded u/s 164 CrPC wherein they besides charging the afore-mentioned accused also charged the present accused/petitioner along with 03 other accused for snatching two motorcycles, Rs. 360,000/- and two cellphones from them on 04.04.2023 at 1100 hours, where they were on their way to their house after collecting Rs. 360,000/- from one Ali Akbar at Zakha Khel village. Though the accused/petitioner is not directly nominated in the FIR; however, he has been nominated by Jehad Ullah and Muhammad Imran in their statements recorded u/s 164 CrPC. Counsel for the accused/petitioner failed to point out any malafidi or ulterior motive on behalf of the said Jehad Ullah and
FIR. During course of investigation, the accused disclosed that they have snatched the motorcycles from Jehad Ullah and Imran Khan. On 08.04.2023 the statements of the said Jehad Ullah and Imran Khan were recorded u/s 164 CrPC wherein they besides charging the afore-mentioned accused also charged the present accused/petitioner along with 03 other accused for snatching two motorcycles, Rs. 360,000/- and two cellphones from them on 04.04.2023 at 1100 hours, where they were on their way to their house after collecting Rs. 360,000/- from one Ali Akbar at Zakha Khel village. Though the accused/petitioner is not directly nominated in the FIR; however, he has been nominated by Jehad Ullah and Muhammad Imran in their statements recorded u/s 164 CrPC. Counsel for the accused/petitioner failed to point out any malafidi or ulterior motive on behalf of the said Jehad Ullah and
disclosed that they have snatched the motorcycles from Jehad Ullah and Imran Khan. On 08.04.2023 the statements of the said Jehad Ullah and Imran Khan were recorded u/s 164 CrPC wherein they besides charging the afore-mentioned accused also charged the present accused/petitioner along with 03 other accused for snatching two motorcycles, Rs. 360,000/- and two cellphones from them on 04.04.2023 at 1100 hours, where they were on their way to their house after collecting Rs. 360,000/- from one Ali Akbar at Zakha Khel village. Though the accused/petitioner is not directly nominated in the FIR; however, he has been nominated by Jehad Ullah and Muhammad Imran in their statements recorded u/s 164 CrPC. Counsel for the accused/petitioner failed to point out any malafidi or ulterior motive on behalf of the said Jehad Ullah and
Jehad Ullah and Imran Khan. On 08.04.2023 the statements of the said Jehad Ullah and Imran Khan were recorded u/s 164 CrPC wherein they besides charging the afore-mentioned accused also charged the present accused/petitioner along with 03 other accused for snatching two motorcycles, Rs. 360,000/- and two cellphones from them on 04.04.2023 at 1100 hours, where they were on their way to their house after collecting Rs. 360,000/- from one Ali Akbar at Zakha Khel village. Though the accused/petitioner is not directly nominated in the FIR; however, he has been nominated by Jehad Ullah and Muhammad Imran in their statements recorded u/s 164 CrPC. Counsel for the accused/petitioner failed to point out any malafidi or ulterior motive on behalf of the said Jehad Ullah and
statements of the said Jehad Ullah and Imran Khan were recorded u/s 164 CrPC wherein they besides charging the afore-mentioned accused also charged the present accused/petitioner along with 03 other accused for snatching two motorcycles, Rs. 360,000/- and two cellphones from them on 04.04.2023 at 1100 hours, where they were on their way to their house after collecting Rs. 360,000/- from one Ali Akbar at Zakha Khel village. Though the accused/petitioner is not directly nominated in the FIR; however, he has been nominated by Jehad Ullah and Muhammad Imran in their statements recorded u/s 164 CrPC. Counsel for the accused/petitioner failed to point out any malafidi or ulterior motive on behalf of the said Jehad Ullah and
recorded u/s 164 CrPC wherein they besides charging the afore-mentioned accused also charged the present accused/petitioner along with 03 other accused for snatching two motorcycles, Rs. 360,000/- and two cellphones from them on 04.04.2023 at 1100 hours, where they were on their way to their house after collecting Rs. 360,000/- from one Ali Akbar at Zakha Khel village. Though the accused/petitioner is not directly nominated in the FIR; however, he has been nominated by Jehad Ullah and Muhammad Imran in their statements recorded u/s 164 CrPC. Counsel for the accused/petitioner failed to point out any malafidi or ulterior motive on behalf of the said Jehad Ullah and
afore-mentioned accused also charged the present accused/petitioner along with 03 other accused for snatching two motorcycles, Rs. 360,000/- and two cellphones from them on 04.04.2023 at 1100 hours, where they were on their way to their house after collecting Rs. 360,000/- from one Ali Akbar at Zakha Khel village. Though the accused/petitioner is not directly nominated in the FIR; however, he has been nominated by Jehad Ullah and Muhammad Imran in their statements recorded u/s 164 CrPC. Counsel for the accused/petitioner failed to point out any malafidi or ulterior motive on behalf of the said Jehad Ullah and
accused/petitioner along with 03 other accused for snatching two motorcycles, Rs. 360,000/- and two cellphones from them on 04.04.2023 at 1100 hours, where they were on their way to their house after collecting Rs. 360,000/- from one Ali Akbar at Zakha Khel village. Though the accused/petitioner is not directly nominated in the FIR; however, he has been nominated by Jehad Ullah and Muhammad Imran in their statements recorded u/s 164 CrPC. Counsel for the accused/petitioner failed to point out any malafidi or ulterior motive on behalf of the said Jehad Ullah and
snatching two motorcycles, Rs. 360,000/- and two cellphones from them on 04.04.2023 at 1100 hours, where they were on their way to their house after collecting Rs. 360,000/- from one Ali Akbar at Zakha Khel village. Though the accused/petitioner is not directly nominated in the FIR; however, he has been nominated by Jehad Ullah and Muhammad Imran in their statements recorded u/s 164 CrPC. Counsel for the accused/petitioner failed to point out any malafidi or ulterior motive on behalf of the said Jehad Ullah and
cellphones from them on 04.04.2023 at 1100 hours, where they were on their way to their house after collecting Rs. 360,000/- from one Ali Akbar at Zakha Khel village. Though the accused/petitioner is not directly nominated in the FIR; however, he has been nominated by Jehad Ullah and Muhammad Imran in their statements recorded u/s 164 CrPC. Counsel for the accused/petitioner failed to point out any malafidi or ulterior motive on behalf of the said Jehad Ullah and
where they were on their way to their house after collecting Rs. 360,000/- from one Ali Akbar at Zakha Khel village. Though the accused/petitioner is not directly nominated in the FIR; however, he has been nominated by Jehad Ullah and Muhammad Imran in their statements recorded u/s 164 CrPC. Counsel for the accused/petitioner failed to point out any malafidi or ulterior motive on behalf of the said Jehad Ullah and
collecting Rs. 360,000/- from one Ali Akbar at Zakha Khel village. Though the accused/petitioner is not directly nominated in the FIR; however, he has been nominated by Jehad Ullah and Muhammad Imran in their statements recorded u/s 164 CrPC. Counsel for the accused/petitioner failed to point out any malafidi or ulterior motive on behalf of the said Jehad Ullah and
collecting Rs. 360,000/- from one Ali Akbar at Zakha Khel village. Though the accused/petitioner is not directly nominated in the FIR; however, he has been nominated by Jehad Ullah and Muhammad Imran in their statements recorded u/s 164 CrPC. Counsel for the accused/petitioner failed to point out any malafidi or ulterior motive on behalf of the said Jehad Ullah and
Khel village. Though the accused/petitioner is not directly nominated in the FIR; however, he has been nominated by Jehad Ullah and Muhammad Imran in their statements recorded u/s 164 CrPC. Counsel for the accused/petitioner failed to point out any malafidi or ulterior motive on behalf of the said Jehad Ullah and
Though the accused/petitioner is not directly nominated in the FIR; however, he has been nominated by Jehad Ullah and Muhammad Imran in their statements recorded u/s 164 CrPC. Counsel for the accused/petitioner failed to point out any malafidi or ulterior motive on behalf of the said Jehad Ullah and
nominated in the FIR; however, he has been nominated by Jehad Ullah and Muhammad Imran in their statements recorded u/s 164 CrPC. Counsel for the accused/petitioner failed to point out any malafidi or ulterior motive on behalf of the said Jehad Ullah and
by Jehad Ullah and Muhammad Imran in their statements recorded u/s 164 CrPC. Counsel for the accused/petitioner failed to point out any malafidi or ulterior motive on behalf of the said Jehad Ullah and
recorded u/s 164 CrPC. Counsel for the accused/petitioner failed to point out any malafidi or ulterior motive on behalf of the said Jehad Ullah and
accused/petitioner failed to point out any malafidi or ulterior motive on behalf of the said Jehad Ullah and
ulterior motive on behalf of the said Jehad Ullah and
Muhammad Imran or local police for falsely implicating
him in the instant case.
Hence, the accused/petitioner is not entitled to
concession of pre-arrest bail at this stage. Therefore, ad-
interim bail earlier granted to the above-named
accused/petitioner is hereby recalled and the BBA in hand
resultantly stands dismissed. Consign.
Pronounced: 11.05.2023
(SHAUKAT AHMAD KHAN) Sessions Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela

•••

S