

<u>IN THE COURT OF SHAUKAT AHMAD KHAN</u> SESSIONS JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Bail Application No

35/4 of 2023

Date of Institution

19.04.2023

Date of Decision

27.04.2023

AMBIL KHAN VS THE STATE

<u>ORDER</u>

2.

DPP, Umar Niaz for the State and Sana Ullah Khan Advocate for accused/petitioner present. Jehad Ullah and Imran Khan despite being served, not present. Record received. Arguments heard and record gone through.

Accused/petitioner, Ambil Khan s/o Lal Baz Khan, after being refused to be released on bail vide order dated 18:04.2023 of learned Judicial Magistrate-I, Tehsil Kalaya, District Orakzai, seeks his release on bail in case FIR No. 31, dated 04.04.2023, u/s 392 PPC/15AA & 5 Explosive Substances Act of Police Station Kalaya, wherein, as per contents of FIR, the local police acting on information regarding presence of some persons for the purpose of commission of offence on the spot, reached the place of occurrence, where at about 1300 hours three persons on seeing the police party abandoning 02 motorcycles tried to make their escape good from the spot, but they were overpowered. Out of the arrested persons, one disclosed his name as Muhammad Salam from whose possession a Kalashnikov along with a fix charger and

Shaukat Ahrhad Khan
Shaukat & Sessions Judge,
District & Sessions Mela

9

02 spare chargers, a knife and a hand grenade were recovered while the other two disclosed their names as Afsar Khan and Aman Ullah, the co-accused. Nothing incriminating was recovered from personal search of both the accused. Hence, the present FIR. During course of investigation, the accused disclosed that they have snatched the motorcycles from Jehad Ullah and Imran Khan. On 08.04.2023 the statements of the said Jehad Ullah and Imran Khan were recorded u/s 164 CrPC wherein they besides charging the aforecharged mentioned accused the present also accused/petitioner and 03 other accused for snatching two motorcycles, Rs. 360,000/- and two cellphones from them on 04.04.2023 at 1100 hours, where they were on their way to their house after collecting Rs. 360,000/- from one Ali Akbar at Zakha Khel village.

offence for which the accused/petitioner is charged falls within the prohibitory clause of section 497 Cr.P.C; but neither the accused/petitioner is directly nominated in the FIR nor he has been shown arrested nominated in the FIR nor he has been shown arrested recovered from his possession. So far, the statements of Jehad Ullah and Imran Khan are concerned, they have neither reported the matter to police nor later on they have approached the local police for recording their statements rather they have been called by the police after about 04 days of the occurrence, to the

It is evident from the record that though the

3.



police station where they have allegedly charged the present accused/petitioner along with co-accused for the commission of offence in their statements u/s 164 CrPC. Moreover, the accused/petitioner has remained in police custody for 02 days but nothing incriminating has either been recovered from his possession or on his pointation. Furthermore, the co-accused Afsar Khan has already been released by this court vide order dated 19.04.2023; therefore, the present accused/petitioner is also entitled to the concession of bail on the basis of rule of consistency.

- 4. Hence, in view of what is discussed above, the accused/petitioner is admitted to the concession of bail provided he submits a bail bond in the sum of Rs. 100,000/- with two sureties, each in the like amount to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate concerned/MOD. Sureties must be local, reliable and men of means.
- 5. Copy of this order be placed on police/judicial file. Consign.

Pronounced: 27.04.2023



SHAUKAT AHMAD KHAI Sessions Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela