## DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ETC. VS KASHMIR KHAN ETC. Civil Revision No. 3/12 of 08.03.2023



## IN THE COURT OF SHAUKAT AHMAD KHAN DISTRICT JUDGE, ORAKZAI (AT BABER MELA)

 CIVIL REVISION NO.
 : 3/12 OF 2023

 DATE OF INSTITUTION
 : 04.01.2023

 DATE OF TRANSFER-IN
 : 08.03.2023

DATE OF DECISION : 08.03.2023

1. DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DISTRICT ORAKZAI

2. ACCOUNTANT, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OFFICE, ORAKZAI ......(PETITIONERS)

## -VERSUS-

1. KASHMIR KHAN S/O MAJOR ALI BAZ KHAN, CASTE ALI KHEL, TEHSIL UPPER, DISTRICT ORAKZAI

2. PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA THROUGH SECRETARY HOME

..... (RESPONDENTS)

Present: District Attorney Fayyaz Ahmad for petitioners.

: Mudassir Ijaz Advocate for respondent no. 1 present.

ORDER 08.03.2023

Register. District Attorney Fayyaz Ahmad for petitioners present. Mudassir Ijaz Advocate for respondent no. 1 also present. Arguments heard.

- (2). Impugned herein is the order dated 30.11.2022 of learned Senior Civil Judge, Orakzai vide which application of petitioners for rejection of plaint under order 7 rule 11 of the CPC has been turned town.
- (3). As per averments of the plaint, the respondent/plaintiff

  Levi Khasadar, Sub Jail Baber Mela, Orakzai

  Likat Ahinad khasadar, Sub Jail Baber Mela, Orakzai

  Likat Ahinad khasadar, Sub Jail Baber Mela, Orakzai

  Likat Ahinad khasadar, Sub Jail Baber Mela, Orakzai

  Commissioner Orakzai (petitioner/defendant no. 1) has provided

  food to the inmates of the Sub Jail at the rate of Rs. 140/- per head

  from July to November, 2017, amounting to Rs. 672,840/-, liable

  to be paid by the petitioners/defendants. The suit was contested by

  the petitioners/defendants through a written statement. Issues

## DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ETC. VS KASHMIR KHAN ETC. Civil Revision No. 3/12 of 08.03.2023



farmed and the case was fixed for pro and contra evidence. The petitioners/defendants submitted application for rejection of plaint under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC, reply of which was submitted by the respondent/plaintiff no. 1 and the learned trial court turned down the same. Hence, the present revision petition.

- (3). I heard arguments and perused the record.
- (4). Perusal of case file shows that as per contents of plaint, the respondent/plaintiff has prima facie got a cause of action. Moreover, the petitioners/defendants have already submitted written statement and on the basis of pleadings of parties, issues have been framed and the case is faxed for evidence. Furthermore, the question of limitation is also a mixed question of law and facts which cannot be determined without recording of pro and contra evidence.
- (4). Hence, in view of what is discussed above, it is held that the learned trial court has rightly turned down the application of petitioners/defendants for rejection of plaint. The instant civil revision being devoid of merits is dismissed. Consign. Copy of this order be sent to learned trial court for information.

**Pronounced** 08.03.2023

(SHAUKAT AHMAD KHAN)
District Judge, Orakzai
at Baber Mela