




Serial No of order or proceedings	Date of Order Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
Order No.02	09.02.2023	<p>DPP, Umar Niaz for the State present. Accused/petitioners, Ayyub Khan, Ismail Khan, Muqarab Shah and Abdullah on ad-interim pre-arrest bail in person with their counsel present. Record received. Complainant Buland Khan present in person. Mr. Insaf Ali Advocate present and submitted Wakalatnama on behalf of complainant. Placed on file. He seeks time for arguments. Allowed. Case file be put up for arguments on 14.02.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (SHAUKAT AHMAD KHAN) Sessions Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela </p>
Order No.03	14.02.2023	<p>APP, Naid Wali for the State present. Accused/petitioners, Ayyub Khan, Ismail Khan, Muqarab Shah and Abdullah on ad-interim pre-arrest bail in person with their counsel present. Complainant Buland Khan present. Mr. Insaf Ali Advocate for complainant present.</p> <p>The above-named accused/petitioners seek confirmation of their ad interim pre-arrest bail in case FIR no. 123, dated 16.12.2022, u/s 506/34 PPC of Police Station Kalaya, the complainant vide DD No. 8 – Dated 22.12.2022 made a report to the local police to the fact that on 14.12.2022 at about 1345 hours the accused/petitioners while fixing a gate in the property of complainant, when restrained by him, got furious and started firing at him but he luckily escaped unhurt. Hence, the present FIR. On the same day, Hashim Khan, one of the co-accused in the instant case along with Muqarab</p> <p style="text-align: left;">  Shaukat Ahmad Khan District & Sessions Judge Orakzai at Baber Mela 14/02/23 </p>

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

(4)

Case Title: Ayub Khan etc vs State etc

Serial No of order or proceedings	Date of Order Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
<p>Contin. Order No.03</p>		<p>Shah, one of the present accused/petitioners at 1440 hours made a report to the police to the fact that on that day he along with his cousins while fixing a gate at the premises of their property when Buland Khan (complainant of the instant case) along with other co-accused duly armed came there, used abusive language, criminally intimidated and started firing at them but they luckily escaped unhurt.</p> <p>Today, the case was fixed for arguments; however, the complainant at the very outset stated that being cross case he has got no objection upon the confirmation of bail before arrest of accused/petitioners. The version of the complainant is also endorsed by his counsel.</p> <p>Hence, in view of what is discussed above, keeping in view the factum of cross version of the parties, making the case as one of further inquiry as to which of the party was aggressor and who has been aggressed upon and the fact that the complainant has also raised no objection upon the confirmation of BBA of the accused/petitioners, the instant application is accepted and the ad-interim bail earlier granted to the accused/petitioners is confirmed on the strength of existing bonds. Consign.</p> <p>Pronounced: 14.02.2023</p> <p align="right">  (SHAUKAT AHMAD KHAN) Sessions Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela </p>

