

| Serial No of order or proceedings | Date of<br>Order<br>Proceedings<br>2 | Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.  |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| Order No.02                       | 14.02.2023                           | APP, Naid Wali for the State present.   |
| Order 110.02                      |                                      | Accused/petitioners, Wali Khan, Ashraf Ullah, Abdul Qayyum and Gul Raj on ad-interim pre-arrest bail along with their counsel present. Record received. Mr. Abid Ali Advocate present and submitted Wakalatnama on behalf   |
| . ; . ?                           |                                      | of Muqarab Shah, the complainant party. Placed on file.  The above-named accused/petitioners seek confirmation of their ad interim pre-arrest bail in case FIR no. 123, Dated 16.12.2022, u/s 506/34 PPC of Police  |
|                                   |                                      | Station Kalaya, the complainant vide DD No. 7 – Dated 14.12.2022 along with Muqarab Shah at 1440 hours made a report to the police to the fact that on that day he along with his cousins while fixing a gate at the premises of their property when Buland Khan (complainant of the        |
|                                   |                                      | instant case) along with other co-accused duly armed came there, used abusive language, criminally intimidated and started firing at them but they luckily escaped unhurt. On the same day, Buland Khan vide DD   |
|                                   |                                      | No. 8 – Dated 22.12.2022 made a report to the local police to the fact that on 14.12.2022 at about 1345 hours the accused/petitioners (complainant party in the instant case) while fixing a gate in the property of complainant, when restrained by him, got furious and started firing at |
|                                   |                                      | him but he luckily escaped unhurt.  Today, the case was fixed for arguments; however, counsel for the complainant at the very outset stated that being cross case the complainant has got no objection  |
|                                   |                                      | upon the confirmation of bail before arrest of accused/petitioners.  Hence, in view of what is discussed above, keeping   |
|                                   |                                      | in view the factum of cross version of the parties, making the case as one of further inquiry as to which of the party  |
|                                   | IS JUDGE                             | was aggressor and who has been aggressed upon and the fact that the complainant has also raised no objection upon the confirmation of BBA of the accused/petitioners,   |
| MC1 & SE                          | TANON H                              | the instant application is accepted and the ad-interim bail earlier granted to the accused/petitioners is confirmed on the strength of existing bonds. Consign.  Pronounced:  |
| 1510                              | * ORA                                | 14.02.2023  (SHAUKAT AHMAD KHAN)  Sessions Judge, Orakzai  at Baber Mela  |