## THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE/EX-OFFICIO JUSTICE OF PEACE ORAKZAI, AT BABAR MELA

## Cr. Miscellaneous Application No.27/4 Of 2022

Zeenat Ali vs SHO etc

Serial No of	Date of	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or
order or proceedings	Order Proceedings	Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary
1	2	3
Order No. 7	05/01/2023	Petitioner in person; Sayed Basit Ali Shah Advocate
		for respondent's No. 02 to 04 and Mr. Zubair APP for the
		State are in attendance. Arguments heard; whereas, this is
		aimed to dispose of petition submitted under Section 22-A
		of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1898.
		2. Petitioner Zeenat Ali son of Makan Ali resident of
		Qaum Mani Khel village Tirayi Orakzai, contends that an
		amount of Rupees Five Lac (500,000/-) has forcibly been
		snatched by the Respondents No. 2 to 4 during his
	,	wrongful confinement. The SHO of Police Station Kalaya
		has not mentioned this fact in the FIR bearing No. 113
		dated 08-11-2022, registered under Section 342/34 of the
		Pakistan Panel Code, 1860. Consequently, petition in hand
		has been submitted for redressal.
		3. Comments of Station House Officer of Police Station
		Kalaya, Orakzai have been received. It was responded that
-		FIR under reference has been registered on written report
		of the petitioner which report was duly thumb impressed.
·	·	The insertion of present plea of the snatching is after
		thought and has got no nexus with the facts of the case.
		4. Perusal of case file reflects that it was petitioner who
	•	is complainant and FIR bearing No. 113 dated 08-11-2022,
		registered under Section 342/34 of the Pakistan Panel
		Code, 1860 has already been registered on his instance. If it
		all, the petitioner seeks investigation regarding the alleged
		snatching of amount during wrongful confinement, he
		would have presented the stance and material to the
(	100 as	Investigation Officer of the case for bringing truth to the
		surface. No such attempt has been made on part of the
	A Sesional	petitioner while jumping to the option of 22-A of the Code
ENTED	Statto.	of Criminal Procedure, 1898. Petitioner fails to point out

any neglect, failure or excess committed by the Police and thus jurisdiction under Section 22-A Code of Criminal Procedure, 1898 cannot be attracted. However, it is being observed that stance of the petitioner is his supplementary statement and if presented before IO, it shall be recorded as supplementary statement of complainant for further proceedings in accordance with law.

5. For what has been discussed above, application stands dismissed with the above observation. File be consigned to District Record Room, Orakzai after necessary completion and compilation within span allowed for.

Announced in open Court 05-01-2023

SAYED FAZAL WAĎŎOD

Additional Sessions Judge/Ex-officio JoP Orakzai at Baber Mela